

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. _____ OF 2025
(@ SLP(CRL.) NO.3287/2025)

SEEMAN

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE REP. BY ITS INSPECTOR OF POLICE & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

2. Being aggrieved by the order dated 17.02.2025 passed by the learned single judge of the Madras High Court passed in Criminal O.P. No.21670/2023, the appellant is before this Court.

3. We have heard learned senior counsel for the appellant and learned senior counsel for the second respondent as well as learned standing counsel for the first respondent on several dates.

4. On 24.09.2025, this Court passed the following orders:

“Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner and the second respondent respectively submitted that in order to bring about a quietus to all controversies between the parties, they would file appropriate affidavits withdrawing all allegations against each other and also tender an apology with regard to the allegations made against each other.

Till the said step is taken, both the petitioner as well as the second respondent are directed not to make any statement about the pending Special Leave Petition before any media including press, both digital or otherwise, as well as social media.

Any violation of this direction shall be viewed seriously by this Court.

List on 08.10.2025.”

5. Pursuant to the said order, the appellant herein has filed an affidavit tendering an unconditional apology to the second respondent herein. For ease of reference, the affidavit dated 26.09.2025 filed by the appellant herein is extracted as under:

"The present affidavit is being filed by the Petitioner pursuant to the Order of this Hon'ble Court dated 24.09.2025 which encouraged the parties to give a quietus to "all controversies" between the parties by filing appropriate affidavits withdrawing allegations and making apologies for the allegations made against each other. In this spirit, the Petitioner tenders the following:

1. The petitioner unreservedly apologizes for any pain or hurt that has been caused to the Respondent No.2 as a consequence of his words or actions.
2. The Petitioner herein unconditionally withdraws all statements and allegations that have been made by him against the Respondent No.2.
3. The Petitioner undertakes not to make any comments to the media (mainstream or social) regarding the Respondent or her conduct and is hopeful that the Respondent No.2 will extend the same courtesy to him.
4. The Petitioner also undertakes not to communicate or reach out to the Respondent, and if any need arises, it will only do so via the Advocates for the parties.
5. The Petitioner prays that the present proceedings may be concluded and disposed off in view of the above."

6. The second respondent has also filed her affidavit which is extracted as under:

1. That I am the prosecutrix/Respondent No.2 in the present Special Leave Petition and being aware of the facts and circumstances of the instant case, I am competent to swear the present Affidavit.

2. That the instant Affidavit is being filed in compliance with this Hon'ble Court's order dated 24.09.2025 directing the parties to file appropriate Affidavits.
3. That on an unconditional apology of the Petitioner herein to restore my dignity as a lady, I am willing to withdraw my complaint/FIR against him in order to put quietus to this case as suggested by this Hon'ble Court.
4. That I further undertake not to make any uncalled for statement against the petitioner concerning this case in the future before any media including press both digital or otherwise, as well as social media, and to fully abide by any other direction as may be passed by this Hon'ble Court.
5. That this Hon'ble Court may also kindly consider directing the Petitioner to make appropriate monetary compensation to me, on a without prejudice basis, to compensate me for all the hardships I have suffered over the years because of the Petitioner and his agents. While considering the aspect of compensation, this Hon'ble Court may kindly take note of the fact that I lost my sole surviving parent in 2021, and as on day, I am solely taking care of my paralyzed sister, which is a substantial liability on me.
6. That the instant Affidavit is being filed for the kind consideration of this Hon'ble Court."

7. The object and purpose of filing these affidavits filed by the parties is in order to give a quietus to all disputes between the parties. The affidavits have been filed in a spirit of bringing to an end of all litigations and Court's proceedings between the parties. The parties do not intend to continue any litigation. In fact in that spirit the appellant has unconditionally apologized and unconditionally withdrawn all allegations against respondent No.2 and has also undertaken not to communicate or attempt to reach out to respondent No.2.

8. Similarly, respondent No.2 in response to the unconditional apology tendered by the appellant has withdrawn her complaints/FIRs against the appellant in order to give a quietus to the disputes between the parties.

9. Respondent No.2 has also undertaken not to make any statement against the appellant herein before any media both digital or other media including social media.

10. Learned Senior counsel appearing for respondent No.2 submitted, the fact that respondent No.2 is withdrawing her complaints/FIRs against the appellant would also imply or mean an apology which is being tendered by respondent No.2 to the appellant. The same is in order to give a quietus to all disputes between the said parties.

11. We have heard learned senior counsel for the private parties and learned standing counsel for the respondent-State and they submitted that having regard to the affidavits filed by the appellant and respondent No.2 appropriate orders may be made in the appeal.

12. Taking note of the submissions of learned senior counsel for the appellant and learned senior counsel for respondent No.2 herein as well as the affidavits that have been filed by them, we find that interest of justice would be served if impugned order is set aside. We say so for the reasons that respondent No.2 has stated in her affidavit that she would withdraw all the complaint(s) and FIR(s) filed by her against the appellant herein.

13. In the circumstances, the impugned order is set aside and the appeal is allowed and disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

14. Taking note of what has been stated by respondent No.2, the complaint, namely, FIR in crime No.1007/2011 stands quashed.

15. The appellant and respondent No.2 shall abide by the statements made in the affidavits both in letter and spirit.

16. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

....., J.
(B.V. NAGARATHNA)

....., J.
(R. MAHADEVAN)

NEW DELHI;
OCTOBER 08, 2025

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 3287/2025
[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17-02-2025
in CRLP No. 21670/2023 passed by the High Court of Judicature at
Madras]

SEEMAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE REP. BY ITS INSPECTOR OF POLICE & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 55228/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT

IA No. 223889/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 55226/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 08-10-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gopalsankar Narayanan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S. Prabhu Ramasubramaniam, Adv.
Mr. S. Ruben, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Kumar. A, Adv.
Mr. T.illayarasu, Adv.
Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sabarish Subramanian, AOR
Mr. S.Madhavan, Adv.
Mr. Vishnu Unnikrishnan, Adv.
Ms. Jahnvi Taneja, Adv.
Mr. Veshal Tyagi, Adv.
Mr. Danish Saifi, Adv.
Ms. Arpitha Anna Mathew, Adv.

Mr. Shadan Farasat, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Jatin Bhardwaj, AOR
Mr. Harsh Tikoo, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Rai, Adv.
Ms. Sama Zehra, Adv.
Mr. Kamal Kishore, Adv.
Mr. Ramkishan Saraswat, Adv.
Ms. Sangeeta, Adv.

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

- 1. Leave granted.**
- 2. The appeal is allowed and disposed of in terms of the signed order which is placed on the file.**
- 3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.**

(RADHA SHARMA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(DIVYA BABBAR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)