



Dharmashastra
National Law University, Jabalpur



MCC DNLU



Presents

REVĀ National Moot Court Competition

11 – 13TH APRIL, 2026

about रेवा

Building on the triumph of its debut, DNLU returns with the second chapter of its flagship academic & cultural event, REVĀ. The name "REVĀ" has been thoughtfully chosen to embody the essence of Jabalpur, where our university is located, and to capture the vibrant spirit of the fest. Derived from Sanskrit, "रेवा" symbolizes motion, agility, and dynamism. It is also another name for the River Narmada, an iconic feature of Jabalpur, and a revered Raag in Indian music.

The fest aims to foster camaraderie among students from across the country. Whether you're grooving at the DJ night, challenging your wits in quizzes, or immersing yourself in the magic of a live concert. "REVĀ" promises an unforgettable experience. With electrifying performances and an energetic ambiance, this is one event you won't want to miss!

about पड़

Established in 2018 under the Madhya Pradesh Dharmashastra National Law University Act, DNLU Jabalpur is a premier institution dedicated to advancing value-based legal education and high-impact research. The university strives to bridge the gap between academic excellence and social relevance, nurturing ethically responsible professionals through specialized training for students, scholars, and administrative officials. By prioritizing a culture of creativity, wisdom, and judicial integrity, DNLU has rapidly distinguished itself as a center of excellence within the national and international legal landscapes.

about the competition

REVĀ National Moot Court Competition 2026 is an esteemed event that attracts law students from across the nation, providing them with an invaluable opportunity to engage in the practice of law in a competitive and intellectually stimulating environment. Designed to simulate real courtroom proceedings, the competition allows participants to develop their advocacy skills and legal acumen while fostering camaraderie among peers. Competitors will have the chance to represent both sides of the case, engaging in extensive research and preparing written submissions, including memorials that outline their legal arguments. Throughout the competition, they will be evaluated by a distinguished panel of judges comprising seasoned legal practitioners, academics, and industry experts, providing participants with insightful feedback on their performances. Beyond honing their legal skills, participants will also gain experience in teamwork, time management, and effective communication.

REVĀ National Moot Court Competition 2026 will not only nurture the next generation of legal professionals but also serves as a platform for networking, collaboration, and the exchange of ideas among law students and practitioners. With a commitment to excellence, the competition aims to inspire participants to become not only skilled advocates but also conscientious legal professionals who contribute positively to society and the field of law.

important dates

Commencement of Provisional Registration, Release of Moot Problem and Rulebook	15/02/2026
Last Date of Registration and Payment for Round-I	01/03/2026
Last Date of Seeking Clarifications	05/03/2026
Release of Clarifications	08/03/2026
Submission of Memorial: Soft Copy	26/03/2026
Result of Memorial Qualification Round	30/03/2026
Start of Round-II Registration and Payment	30/03/2026
Last Date of Registration for Round-II	01/04/2026
Submission of Memorial: Hard Copy (through post)	08/04/2026
Exchange of Memorial	11/04/2026
Researcher's Test	11/04/2026
Preliminary Rounds and Semi-Final Round	12/04/2026
Final And Valedictory Ceremony	13/04/2026



Statement of facts

- 1.** In the State of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur City is a rapidly developing urban centre, widely recognised for its expanding education sector and highly competitive academic environment. There are several good schools and educational institutions including R.R. Public School, where two meritorious students, Aakash Sharma and Aarohi Verma, are presently studying in Class XII.
- 2.** Aakash Sharma, born on 16 August 2008 belongs to a middle-class family, with his father working as a hardworking government clerk whereas Aarohi Verma, born on 16 February 2009 comes from a wealthy and influential family, as her father is a successful businessman and her mother is a senior school teacher. They both are residents of Jabalpur City and are known for their academic excellence.
- 3.** In early 2024, Aakash and Aarohi became friends while participating in school events and preparing for a competitive exam at the same coaching centre. Over time, their friendship developed into a strong romantic relationship built on mutual trust and affection. Eventually, their relationship developed into a consensual sexual relationship, which was completely voluntary and free from any force, coercion, threat, deception, or inducement.
- 4.** The turning point came in December 2025, when Aarohi discovered that she was pregnant just before her board exams. Feeling shocked and frightened, she disclosed the truth to her parents in the hope of receiving parental support. Instead, her revelation caused panic and outrage in her family, as they feared damage to their social reputation. Aarohi's parents confiscated her phone, locked her in the room, and began making arrangements with a doctor to forcibly terminate her pregnancy against her will.
- 5.** Desperate to protect her unborn child, Aarohi managed to escape from her house and ran to Aakash. Understanding the seriousness of the situation and fearing that society would stigmatise their child as "illegitimate," the two teenagers decided to get married immediately. They both went to a local temple in Jabalpur City, purchased flower garlands, and requested the pujari to conduct a marriage ceremony.

6. However, the pujari noticed that they were not of legal marriageable age and he refused to solemnize their marriage, stating that it was illegal. Furthermore he threatened to call the police. Terrified by this, Aakash and Aaroahi fled from the temple and ran toward a nearby village in search of shelter. Aaroahi's relative spotted them while going to the village, who called her parents and then both the family reached the village and took their children with them.

7. Immediately thereafter, Aaroahi's father lodged FIR No. 214/2025 at the Civil Lines Police Station against Aakash Sharma. The FIR alleged serious offences under the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, along with Sections 3, 4, and 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (POCSO Act). Aaroahi's parents asserted that since Aaroahi was a minor, her consent had no legal validity, thereby making Aakash guilty of statutory rape.

8. Aakash's family was deeply aggrieved by the initiation of criminal proceedings. Viewing the allegations as unjust and asserting that Aakash was being falsely portrayed as an offender despite the admitted consensual nature of the relationship, Aakash's father lodged a cross-FIR, being FIR No. 219/2025, against Aaroahi Verma. It was contended therein that the provisions of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 are expressly gender-neutral, and therefore, if any offence were presumed to have occurred, Aaroahi would be equally implicated in the alleged acts.

9. The registration of both FIRs led to strict intervention by the State authorities. Aakash was arrested, and proceedings were initiated before the Juvenile Justice Board, severely disrupting his education and destroying his future prospects. Aaroahi was removed from her family environment and referred to the Child Welfare Committee. These proceedings caused serious psychological distress to both teenagers, resulting in the complete disruption of their academic year, mental peace, and personal dignity.

10. Unable to bear the separation and being labeled as criminals, Aakash Sharma and Aaroahi Verma filed a petition before the High Court for quashing of FIR under Section 528 of BNSS through their guardians. They contend that their relationship was consensual and that they are not a "perpetrator and victim," but rather two young individuals in love who are being unfairly subjected to criminal prosecution.

11. Amongst all this, in the recent years, constitutional courts across Indica have expressed serious concern over the rigid application of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, particularly in cases involving consensual sexual relationships between adolescents. Judicial records and reports of Child Welfare Committees indicated rise in prosecutions arising from voluntary relationships between adolescents aged 16 to 18 years, frequently initiated due to parental opposition rather than allegations of coercion or abuse, resulting in criminalization, prolonged proceedings, and significant disruption to the personal and educational development of the adolescents involved.

12. Taking note of repeated judicial observations, recommendations of child rights experts, and empirical studies highlighting the misuse of the Act in cases of consensual adolescent intimacy, the Government of Indica enacted the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences (Amendment) Act, 2026, in January introducing Section 12A. The legislative intent behind the amendment was to distinguish consensual, non-exploitative adolescent relationships from instances of child sexual abuse, while continuing to uphold the core protective objectives of the statute. Section 12A provides that “Nothing contained in Chapter II of the Act shall apply to consensual relationships between adolescents who are close in age, or where the age difference does not exceed two years”.

13. The couple Aarohi and Aakash heavily relied on this provision as it is appropriate in their case, where a minimal age gap and clear consent existed between the two minors. It acts as a shield to prevent the law from treating adolescent lovers as dangerous sexual offenders, acknowledging that teenage romance is a biological and social reality.

14. However, the path to relief was blocked by a national non-governmental organization, ‘Collective child rights Indica’ which intervened in the proceedings challenging the recent amendment introduced by the Government of Indica to the POCSO Act, 2012. The intervening NGO filed a writ petition before the High Court of Jabalpur challenging the constitutional validity of Section 12A of the POCSO Act.. The NGO contended that any statutory recognition of consent below

the age of eighteen years is inherently unconstitutional and would substantially dilute the protective object, preventive purpose, and deterrent framework of child protection legislation, thereby undermining the State's obligation to safeguard children from sexual exploitation and abuse.

15. On the other hand, the petitioners supported the legislative intent underlying Section 12A and argued that the absence of a close-in-age exemption would violate their fundamental rights to equality, privacy, dignity, and personal autonomy guaranteed under Articles 14, 19, and 21 of the Constitution of India. They contended that criminalizing consensual adolescent relationships disregards developmental realities and results in arbitrary state interference in private life, thereby undermining the very principles of fairness, proportionality, and substantive due process that underpin constitutional governance.

16. In light of the foregoing facts and circumstances, the High Court of Jabalpur, finds that identical questions of law and overlapping factual issues were involved, clubbed the writ petition filed by the intervening NGO with the pending criminal miscellaneous petitions. Accordingly, the High Court framed the issues given below and the matter is listed for final hearing.

ISSUES

- 1.** Whether the FIRs registered under the BNS, 2023 and the POCSO Act, 2012 are liable to be quashed by the High Court in the exercise of its inherent and writ jurisdiction, given the consensual nature of the relationship?
- 2.** Whether the High Court has the authority to grant protection or permit the marriage of two minors under the "best interests of the child" principle to prevent the child from being born into illegitimacy?
- 3.** Whether the recent amendment to the POCSO Act that protects consensual sexual relationships between adolescents who are close in age, or where the age difference does not exceed two years is constitutional?

NOTE FOR PARTICIPANTS

- All laws of India are pari materia to laws of India unless otherwise specified.
- Teams may rely on constitutional principles, statutory interpretation, international conventions, and judicial precedents.
- The facts are fictional and intended purely for academic purposes.
- The teams are free to frame appropriate sub issues at their discretion, however, the main issues shall remain strictly as provided above.

Disclaimer: This moot proposition has been drafted by Dr. Animesh Jha, Assistant Professor of Law, DNLU, and any direct or indirect contact with him regarding the proposition shall lead to immediate disqualification.



rules of the competition

Introductory

Short Title: These Rules shall be called REVĀ National Moot Court Competition Rules, 2026.

1. Definitions: Unless otherwise stated following shall be construed herein as:

- a.** "Administrator" shall mean the Moot Court Committee, DNLU Jabalpur;
- b.** "Competition" means REVĀ National Moot Court Competition, 2026;
- c.** "Participating Team" means the team which has registered itself for the competition as per the rules of registration;
- d.** "Round-I" means the Memorial Qualification Round;
- e.** "Round-II" means the physical rounds to be held in the premises of the University;
- f.** "Rules" means REVĀ National Moot Court Competition Rules, 2026;
- g.** "Organizers" means the Dharmashastra National Law University.

General Rules

2. Date: The Competition shall be organized from **11th to 13th April, 2026.**

3. Dress Code: The participants shall adhere to the following dress code when present in any courtroom during the Competition.

- a.** Ladies: White Shirt and Black Pant or Skirt along with Black Coat and Black Shoes; and
- b.** Gentlemen: White Shirt, Black Trousers and Black Tie, and Black Coat and Black Shoes.

4. Language: The language of the Competition shall be English.

5. Eligibility: The Competition shall be open to students who are:

- i.** Pursuing an integrated 5-year LL.B. programme in India; or
- ii.** Pursuing a 3-year LL.B. programme in India.

Note: Each institution is permitted a maximum of two teams for the Competition.

III. Eligibility and Team Composition

6. Team Composition: Each team shall constitute a minimum of two members and a maximum of three members. Under no condition shall a team consisting of more than 3 members be allowed to participate.

7. Three-Member Team: For 3-member teams, roles must be assigned at registration: two members as speakers and the third as a researcher. These designations are fixed once the team registers.

8. Two-Member Team: In the event, a team consists of two members then such a team shall designate both the members as speakers and **no member in the team shall be allowed to be represented as a researcher and take the researcher's test.**

9. Change in Team Composition: Any change in team composition must be intimated to the Moot Court Committee at the earliest. Any change in team composition must be communicated via email to the designated address. The request must include an updated registration form and an authority letter signed by the Head of the Institution. No changes will be permitted after memorial submissions.

IV. Registration

10. Each institution must first, provisionally register itself through its designated moot court society for the Competition, to reserve slots by mailing the Moot Court Committee at **mcc@mpdnl.u.ac.in**.

11. Only upon the confirmation of the provisional registration by the Administrators, each team shall register for the Competition by filling out the registration form before **01/03/2026** (Round I registration) by filling out the google form given below.

<https://forms.gle/wjNJrfU4NPPSjieB8>

The teams are strongly encouraged to complete the registration form at the earliest possible. Prior payment of the registration fee is required in order to submit the online registration form. In case a team fails to complete the final registration, the next team which completed the provisional registration shall be considered for the final registration.

12. While filling out the registration form, the teams have to choose a primary contact person. All communications concerning the Competition will be sent by e-mail to the nominated contact person. It is that person's responsibility to convey all relevant information and distribute all relevant material to the team.

13. Registration Fees: All teams registering for the competition shall be charged with an initial fee of **INR 1000/-** per team (For Round-I). The teams short listed after the memorial selection round are further required to pay **INR 800/-** per person (without accommodation) and **INR 1500/-** per person (inclusive of accommodation and food) to complete the final registration.

14. Mode of Payment: All the required transactions i.e., the registration fees and the fees required after qualifying the memorial selection round (Round-I) shall be paid online through the link provided below:

<https://erp.mpdnl.u.ac.in/events/eventdetails.php?slug=47a593efd56b23f>

Note: For Round-II, the teams who qualified in Round-I shall positively make the final payment on or before **01/04/2026**, failing which their slot for Round-II shall be forfeited. In such a case, the next team in line as per the rankings of Round-I shall be provided the failing team's spot in the physical round.

15. Allotment of Team Code: Every team which has successfully completed the Registration requisites under the Rules shall be allotted a unique code after the closure of the Registration for the Competition. Once allotted, every team must use the team code for any communication with the Organizers during the course of the Competition.

V. Format of the Competition

16. Format: There shall be a qualification round (Round-I) which shall be on the basis of the memorials for both the sides. **16 teams** will then qualify for the Round-II.

17. Physical Rounds: The Round-II of the Competition will be held offline and is divided into:

- a.** Preliminary Rounds,
- b.** Semi-Final Rounds; and
- c.** Final Rounds

18. Draw of Lots: The match-up of teams in Preliminary Rounds shall be determined on the basis of the draw of lots. The draw of lots shall take place on **11/04/2026**.

19. Preliminary Rounds:

- a.** Each team shall argue from both sides in the Preliminary Rounds.
- b.** No team shall argue the same side twice in the Preliminary Rounds.

20. Scoring in the Preliminary Round:

- a.** The qualification of teams to the Advanced Rounds shall be determined on the basis of the win or loss of the team in each preliminary Round.
- b.** Win or loss of a team shall be determined on the basis of the aggregate score.
- c.** The team with the higher aggregate score shall win the round.

The aggregate score of a team shall be computed as the total of –

- Score of Speaker 1;
- Score of Speaker 2; and
- Half the score of the memorial.

21. Arrangement of Ranking: The teams in the Preliminary Rounds shall be ranked on the basis of the below-mentioned criteria.

- a.** The teams shall be first differentiated on their win-loss record after the Preliminary Rounds;
- b.** If there is a tie, the team with the higher aggregate score will be allotted

the higher rank;

c. If the tie subsists, the team with the higher speaker score will be allotted the higher rank;

d. If the tie still subsists, the team with the highest individual speaker score out of speakers of tied teams will be allotted the higher rank;

e. If the tie still subsists, the team with the higher memorial score will be allotted a higher rank;

f. If the tie still subsists, then the rank shall be determined by the toss of the coin.

22. Results: The results of the Preliminary Rounds shall be declared soon after the conclusion of both the Preliminary Rounds. The top four teams as per the criterion laid down under Rule 20 and Rule 21 will qualify for the Advanced Rounds.

23. Advanced Rounds: The semi-finals and finals shall constitute the Advanced Rounds of the Competition.

24. Semi-finals:

a. Top Four teams from the Preliminary Rounds shall qualify for the Semi-Finals.

b. The team shall argue only one side in the semi-finals. The side of the team shall be decided by way of the draw of lots.

c. The semi-final shall be a knock-out round.

d. The win-loss shall be decided on the basis of the aggregate speaker score.

NOTE: No memorial score will be added in the semi-final. The win-loss shall be determined on the basis of the score so computed. The team with the higher aggregate score shall proceed to the final round.

25. Finals: In the final rounds, the win-loss shall be decided on the basis of the aggregate speaker score. No memorial score will be added in the finals. The win-loss shall be determined on the basis of the score so computed.

26. Strict Adherence to Rules Regarding Memorials: All requirements have to be strictly followed. Non-adherence to the same will attract a penalty subject to the discretion of the organizers.

27. Memorials to be Prepared from Both Sides: Each team must prepare memorials for both sides of the dispute (Appellant/Petitioner and Respondent).

28. Submission of Soft Copy and Hard Copy of Memorials:

a. Submission of Soft Copy:

i. The soft copies of the memorial from each side should positively reach the Organizers at mcc@mpdnlu.ac.in in both PDF and Word format latest by 26/03/2026, before 12:59 PM.

ii. The number of pages of memorials **must not exceed 32 pages** (each side).

iii. Memorials shall be sent as an attachment with the mail in the form of a single file for each side of the memorial in PDF as well as Word format.

iv. A penalty of 1 mark shall be levied in case the memorial is submitted in any other format or as a multiple file by the team.

v. The team submitting the soft copy 2 days after the last date of the submission of the soft copy of the memorial will be disqualified from the competition.

vi. A penalty of 1 mark per hour per side shall be deducted in case of delay in submission of soft copy of memorial.

b. Submission of Hard Copy:

i. Five copies of memorial each for the applicant and the respondent in hard copy, latest by **08/04/2026**.

ii. A penalty of 1 mark per day per side shall be deducted in case of delay in submission of hard copy of memorial.

29. General Conditions for Memorials:

a. To maintain anonymity, Memorials must be devoid of any identifying information, marks, or symbols that could reveal a team's identity. The only permissible identification is the assigned Team Code, which must be

placed on the upper-right corner of the cover page. Any breach of this anonymity rule may result in disqualification or penalty.

b. Appellant memorials are required to have a Blue cover and Respondent memorials are required to have a Red cover.

c. A penalty of 1 mark per side shall be levied in case the team uses the wrong cover page for each side of the memorial.

30. Guidelines for Formatting:

a. Memorial Structure: The memorial must have the following pages only:

- i.** Cover Page – The cover page shall contain the case title, side of the memorial, year of competition, name of the forum and team Code in the top right corner.
- ii.** Table of Contents
- iii.** List of Abbreviations
- iv.** Index of Authorities
- v.** Statement of Jurisdiction
- vi.** Statement of Facts
- vii.** Issues Raised
- viii.** Summary of Arguments
- ix.** Pleadings/Arguments Advanced
- x.** Prayer

b. Team Code:

The team code must be ascribed to the up-right corner of the cover page. The code must be preceded by the side for which the memorial is prepared. The teams must use “A” for Appellant, P for “Petitioner” and R for “Respondent.” For example: in case the Team Code is 100 the team must write “A- 100” in case of memorial for Appellant and “R-100” in case of memorial for Respondent.

c. Main body:

i. Type: Times New Roman

ii. Font Size: 12 points

iii. Line Spacing: 1.5

d. Footnotes:

i. Type: Times New Roman

ii. Line Spacing: 1

e. Pagination: All pages must be numbered at the bottom center.

f. Margin: Margin of 1 inch on all sides of the memorial should be left.

g. Citation Format: The 20th Edition of Bluebook system of citation should be followed throughout the memorial.

31. Penalty: A penalty of 1 mark per violation per side shall be levied in case of non adherence with the aforementioned guidelines for formattin.

32. Marking Criteria For Memorial:

	Marking Criteria	Marks Allotted
1.	Recognition of issues: complete and correct recognition and weighing of issues	10 Marks
2.	Correct primary and alternative submissions	10 Marks
3.	Identification of legal principles	20 Marks
4.	Quality and extent of research: Use of relevant case law, academic writings etc	20 Marks
5.	Appreciation and application of facts	10 Marks
6.	Logical structure and clarity of thought	10 Marks
7.	Ingenuity	10 Marks
8.	Overall Impression–: Formatting, citations etc	10 Marks
	Total	100 Marks

33. Plagiarism: Memorials must be original work. If a submission exceeds a 10% plagiarism threshold (excluding citations of case law, doctrines, and legal principles), the Committee reserves the right to impose appropriate sanctions, up to and including disqualification from the Competition

34. Timings for the Oral Pleadings

a. Preliminary Rounds:

i. Each team is allotted 30 minutes to present their oral arguments;

- ii. The timing can be divided between both the speakers according to the wishes of the team subject to a maximum of 17 minutes and a minimum of 13 minutes per speaker;
- iii. The time division has to be informed to the Court Manager/Clerk before the beginning of the oral arguments.
- iv. A maximum of 2 minutes (Excluding the above time) may be reserved for rebuttal or sur-rebuttal which shall be at the discretion of the judges.
- v. The allotment of extra time shall be at the discretion of the judges.

b. Semi-Final and Final Rounds:

- i. Each team is allotted 60 minutes to present their oral arguments.
- ii. The timing can be divided between both the speakers according to the wishes of the team subject to a maximum of 35 minutes and a minimum of 25 minutes per speaker.
- iii. The time division has to be informed to the Court Manager/Clerk before the beginning of the oral arguments.
- iv. A maximum of 5 minutes may be reserved for rebuttal or sur-rebuttal which shall be given at the discretion of the judges.
- v. The allotment of extra time shall be at the discretion of the judge

	Marking Criteria	Marks Allotted
1.	Evidence of Original Thought	20 Marks
2.	Knowledge of Law and Facts	20 Marks
3.	Proper and Articulate Analysis	20 Marks
4.	Correct Format and Citation	20 Marks
5.	Extent and Use of Research	20 Marks
	Total	100 Marks

VIII. Researcher's Test

36. Researcher's Test

- a. The identified researcher during the formal registration shall take the researcher's test.
- b. In the case of a two-member team, **no member of the team will be allowed to take the Researcher's test.**
- c. The test shall contain questions related to the moot problem, case laws and the relevant law.
- d. It shall be an offline pen-paper based and objective test. 30 Questions will be asked.
- e. In tabulation of results for the researchers' test, the total marks obtained by the researcher plus fifty per cent of the memorial score will be considered. In case of a tie, the researcher with the higher memorial score will be allotted a higher rank. If the tie subsists, the research belonging to the team with the higher aggregate score will be allotted a higher rank. If the tie still subsists, then the rank shall be determined by a toss of coin.

IX. Awards And Prizes

37. Awards: The following awards shall be awarded in the Competition.

AWARD CATEGORY	PRIZE MONEY
Winners	Rs. 30,000
Runners up	Rs. 20,000
Best speaker	Rs. 5,000
Best researcher	Rs. 5,000
Best memorial (petitioner)	Rs. 7,500
Best memorial (respondent)	Rs. 7,500

X. Penalties

38. Non – Disclosure of Identity: Teams shall not disclose their identity, i.e., the name of their institution, city, etc. or any other information which has the effect of disclosing their identity and affiliation with a particular university or institution. Such disclosure shall result in disqualification subject to the discretion of the Organizers.

XI. Dispute Resolution

39. The Organizing Committee shall form a Dispute Resolution Committee for the resolution of any dispute pertaining to the manner of conduction of the moot or any other matter incidental thereto. The Dispute Resolution Committee shall become operational on receipt of an application of grievance, where post the dispute resolution process, the decision of such Committee shall be final and binding.

XII. Miscellaneous

40. Copyright: The copyright with regard to the memorials submitted for participation in the Competition is assigned by participants and shall also vest completely and fully with the Organizers. The participants shall certify the originality of the memorials and the materials used and shall be responsible for any claim or dispute arising out of further use and exhibition of these materials. The Organizers shall have the right to publicly display, distribute either electronically or otherwise and they shall not be responsible for any liability to any person for any loss caused by errors or omissions in this collection of information, or for accuracy, completeness or adequacy of the information contained in these materials.

41. Power to Amend or Alter Rules: The rules mentioned herein are not exhaustive. The Organizers reserve the right to alter, amend or add to the rules mentioned herein at any point in time. All decisions made by Organizers in case of any disputes, doubts or related issues shall be final and binding. Imposition of all penalties including disqualification rests solely with the organizers in case of failure to comply with the rules mentioned herein.

Disclaimer: The problem is fictitious and any resemblance to any person, living or dead, or incident, past or present, is coincidental and not intended by the authors of the problem.

PATRON

Prof. (Dr.) Manoj K. Sinha

Vice-Chancellor

DNLU, Jabalpur

CHIEF ADVISOR

Prof. Praveen Tripathi

Registrar

DNLU, Jabalpur

FACULTY ADVISOR

Mr. Shashank Pathak

Assistant Professor of Law

DNLU, Jabalpur

Khushi Dwivedi

Convenor

Chandrika Shakyawar

Co-convenor

SENIOR MEMBERS

Nikita Mirdha

Shuchi Tyagi

Arunima Tomar

Vedant Jha

STUDENT ADVISOR

Ashish Kumar Patel

JUNIOR MEMBERS

Apoorva Vaishishtha

Shivam Parmar

Saksham Gaur

Tanmai Mishra

Priyam Mangal

Rahee Hansda

Yuvraj Singh Kansana

Nakshatra Rai

Anushka Sharma

Aashi Arora



CONTACT US

Ms. Khushi Dwivedi
Convenor

 **+91 8878 573067**
+91 8815 777824

Ms. Chandrika Shakyawar
Co-convenor

 **+91 83495 19521**



Mail us at

mcc@mpdnlu.ac.in



Our Location

Dharmashastra National Law University,
BRBRAITT Campus, Ridge Road, South
Civil Lines, Jabalpur (M.P.) 482001

VIEW ON GOOGLE MAPS

