



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
HABEAS CORPUS WRIT PETITION No. - 125 of 2026

Sachin Arya @ Sachin Bhartiya And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Uttar Pradesh And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Nehal Kumar Banaudha, Rahul Yadav, Swarn Kumar Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 46

HON'BLE SIDDHARTH, J.
HON'BLE GARIMA PRASHAD, J.

Ms. Manju Thakur, learned A.G.A.-Ist prays for and is allowed 24 hour's time to seek instructions from the concerned respondents.

Put up this case as a fresh case tomorrow i.e., on 11.02.2026.

In case of failure, to comply this order, the investigating officer will be present before this court personally at 10:00 am on the next date fixed.

February 10, 2026
Rohit

(Garima Prashad,J.) (Siddharth,J.)



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
HABEAS CORPUS WRIT PETITION No. - 125 of 2026

Sachin Arya @ Sachin Bhartiya And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Uttar Pradesh And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Nehal Kumar Banaudha, Rahul Yadav, Swarn Kumar Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: G.A.

Court No. - 46

HON'BLE SIDDHARTH, J.
HON'BLE JAI KRISHNA UPADHYAY, J.

Supplementary affidavit filed today is taken on record.

Ms. Manju Thakur, learned A.G.A.-Ist has received instructions today at 10:35 a.m.

She undertakes to file a counter affidavit on behalf of respondent nos. 4 and 5 by tomorrow.

Put up this case as a fresh case tomorrow, i.e., on 12.02.2026.

February 11, 2026
Rohit

(Jai Krishna Upadhyay,J.) (Siddharth,J.)



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

HABEAS CORPUS WRIT PETITION No. - 125 of 2026

Sachin Arya @ Sachin Bhartiya And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Uttar Pradesh And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Nehal Kumar Banaudha, Rahul Yadav, Swarn Kumar Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: G.A.

Court No. - 46

HON'BLE SIDDHARTH, J.

HON'BLE JAI KRISHNA UPADHYAY, J.

1. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of Respondent No.4 is taken on record.
2. Since the petitioner has been arrested for committing offences under Section 3 and Section 25 (1B) (a) of the Arms Act, 1959, for which the minimum sentence to be awarded is two years which may extend to five years and, therefore, the arrest of the petitioner No.1, Sachin Arya @ Sachin Bhartiya, is, prima facie, illegal and in violation of the mandate of the Apex Court in the case of Satendra Kumar Antil vs. C.B.I. & another, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 162.
3. The petitioner No.1, Sachin Arya @ Sachin Bhartiya, is directed to be released forthwith without waiting for the supply of certified copy of this order to the Respondent Nos. 4 and 5.
4. Since the Respondent No.4, S.H.O., Police Station, Dhoomanganj, Prayagraj is present in Court, the order shall be complied forthwith without waiting for certified copy of this order.
5. On the next date, the date and time when the petitioner no.1 was released from the custody shall be disclosed in the affidavit to be filed by respondent no.4.
6. The Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 are directed to show cause as to what punishment should be awarded to them for violating the mandate of the

Apex Court in the case **Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation & Another**) (2026 SCC OnLine SC162), by the next date fixed.

7. Put up on 17.2.2026 as fresh.

8. Registrar (Compliance) is directed to communicate a copy of this order to the A.C.P. concerned within 24 hours.

9. Let a certified copy of this order be issued today.

(Jai Krishna Upadhyay,J.) (Siddharth,J.)

February 12, 2026

Kumar Manish



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
HABEAS CORPUS WRIT PETITION No. - 125 of 2026**

Sachin Arya @ Sachin Bhartiya And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Uttar Pradesh And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Nehal Kumar Banaudha, Rahul Yadav, Swarn Kumar Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: G.A.

Court No. - 46

**HON'BLE SIDDHARTH, J.
HON'BLE JAI KRISHNA UPADHYAY, J.**

1. Heard Shri Swarn Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the petitioners, learned A.G.A. for State-respondents and perused the pleadings on record.

2. On 12.2.2026, following order was passed-:

"1. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of Respondent No.4 is taken on record.

2. Since the petitioner has been arrested for committing offences under Section 3 and Section 25 (1B) (a) of the Arms Act, 1959, for which the minimum sentence to be awarded is two years which may extend to five years and, therefore, the arrest of the petitioner No.1, Sachin Arya @ Sachin Bhartiya, is, prima facie, illegal and in violation of the mandate of the Apex Court in the case of Satendra Kumar Antil vs. C.B.I. & another, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 162.

3. The petitioner No.1, Sachin Arya @ Sachin Bhartiya, is directed to be released forthwith without waiting for the supply of certified copy of this order to the Respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

4. Since the Respondent No.4, S.H.O., Police Station, Dhoomanganj, Prayagraj is present in Court, the order shall be complied forthwith without waiting for certified copy of this order.

5. On the next date, the date and time when the petitioner no.1 was released

from the custody shall be disclosed in the affidavit to be filed by respondent no.4.

6. The Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 are directed to show cause as to what punishment should be awarded to them for violating the mandate of the Apex Court in the case Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation & Another) (2026 SCC OnLine SC162), by the next date fixed.

7. Put up on 17.2.2026 as fresh.

8. Registrar (Compliance) is directed to communicate a copy of this order to the A.C.P. concerned within 24 hours.

9. Let a certified copy of this order be issued today. "

3.In compliance of the aforesaid order two compliance affidavits have been filed on behalf of respondent nos. 4 and 5 today, stating that in compliance of the order of this Court dated 12.2.2026, petitioners were released on 13.2.2026 at 8.40 A.M. from District Jail, Naini.They have tendered their unconditional apology and prayed for forgiveness too.

4.We find that order dated 12.2.2026 was passed by this court around 11 A.M. and direction was issued to release the petitioners forthwith without waiting for the supply of certified copy of this order to the respondent nos. 4 (Station House Officer, P.S. Dhoomanganj, District Nagar (Commissionerate Prayagraj) and 5 (Sub-Inspector, Digvijay Singh, Incharge of T.P. Nagar P.S. Dhoomanganj, District - Nagar (Commissinerate Prayagraj).At that time respondent no.4 was present in court.

5.It is clear that order of this court was complied after about 20 hours of passing of the same. Therefore, this court finds that respondent nos. 4 and 5 have no respect for law of the land. The petitioners have allegedly committed offences punishable upto or below seven years and against the judgement of the Apex Court in the case of **Satendra Kumar Antil vs. C.B.I. & another, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 162**, the respondent nos. 4 and 5, have arrested the

petitioners. Therefore conduct of the respondent nos. 4 and 5 amounts to contempt of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

6. Therefore, we hereby direct the Commissioner of police, Prayagraj to take appropriate action against the respondent nos. 4 and 5 within three days and communicate the same to this court on the next date fixed.

7. Put up this case on 23.2.2026 as a fresh case.

8. Registrar (Compliance) of this court is directed to communicate this order to the Commissioner of Police , Prayagraj within 36 hours

(Jai Krishna Upadhyay,J.) (Siddharth,J.)

February 17, 2026

Atul kr. sri.



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

HABEAS CORPUS WRIT PETITION No. - 125 of 2026

Sachin Arya @ Sachin Bhartiya And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Uttar Pradesh And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Nehal Kumar Banaudha, Rahul Yadav, Swarn Kumar Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: G.A.

Court No. - 46

**HON'BLE SIDDHARTH, J.
HON'BLE HARVIR SINGH, J.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.G.A. for the State.
2. We are not satisfied from the compliance of order dated 17.2.2026 of this Court as informed by learned A.G.A., therefore, the Commissioner of Police, Prayagraj is directed to file his personal affidavit clearly informing about the action taken against respondents Nos. 4 and 5 in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Satendra Kumar Antil vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and others*** (2026 SCC OnLine SC162) as well as the decision of this Court in the case of ***Umang Rastogi and others vs. State of UP and 3 others*** passed in Habeas Corpus Petition No. 35 of 2026.
3. Commissioner of Police, Prayagraj shall also state in his affidavit, whether he has received any circular issued by the Director General of Police, Lucknow regarding compliance of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Satendra Kumar Antil*** (supra) and if any circular has been issued, the same be brought on record and it shall also be informed whether the same has been sent to all the police stations of the Commissionerate Prayagraj or not.
4. Put up this case as fresh on 25.02.2026.
5. In case, the order of this Court is not complied with, the Commissioner

of Police, Prayagraj shall appear before this Court on 25.02.2026 at 10:00 AM sharp.

6. Registrar (Compliance) of this Court is directed to communicate this order to the Commissioner of Police, Prayagraj forthwith.

(Harvir Singh,J.) (Siddharth,J.)

February 23, 2026
Faridul



2026:AHC:42286-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
HABEAS CORPUS WRIT PETITION No. - 125 of 2026

Sachin Arya @ Sachin Bhartiya And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Uttar Pradesh And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Nehal Kumar Banaudha, Rahul Yadav, Swarn Kumar Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: G.A.

Court No. - 46

HON'BLE SIDDHARTH, J.
HON'BLE HARVIR SINGH, J.

1. In compliance with order dated 23rd February, 2026, personal affidavit has been filed by the Commissioner of Police, Commissionerate Prayagraj and the same is taken on record.
2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner No.1 was illegally detained in police station Dhoomanganj, District Nagar (Commissionerate Prayagraj) from 24.1.2026 to 29.1.2026. This averment has been made by him in paragraphs 8 and 9 of the writ petition, as well as para 5 of the supplementary affidavit, which have not been replied, as no counter affidavit has been filed by the State.
4. Since this petition is in the nature of Habeas Corpus, the direction for compensation cannot be granted. However, it is open for the petitioner to avail any other remedy, available under law.
5. Since the petitioner No.1 has already been released from jail, the present petition is **disposed of** and consigned to record.
6. The Commissioner of Police, Commissionerate Prayagraj is expected to take the decision, already taken by him, to its logical end.

February 25, 2026
Gurpreet Singh

(Harvir Singh,J.) (Siddharth,J.)