

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petitions for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 23621-23622/2025

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 09-06-2025 in PIL No. 96/2024 24-07-2025 in PIL No. 96/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

ROHIT MANOHAR JOSHI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 204176/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 21842/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 209631/2025 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 204195/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES and IA No. 631/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 09-03-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Ronita Bhattacharya Bector, Adv.
Ms. Srishti Agnihotri, AOR
Ms. Sadhana Madhavan, Adv.
Ms. Kavana Rao, Adv.
Mr. D.P. Singh, Adv.
Ms. Tara Elizabeth Kurien, Adv.
Ms. Anchal Kanthed, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv.
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Krishna, Adv.
Mr. Adarsh Dubey, Adv.
Ms. Chitransha Singh Sikarwar, Adv.

Ms. Anagha S. Desai, AOR
Mr. Sanchit Agrahari, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Singh, Adv.
Mr. Pratik Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Parth Johri, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Madhur Duggal, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, AOR
Ms. Adviteeya, Adv.

Mr. Satyajit A. Desai, Adv.
Mr. Satya Kam Sharma, AOR

Mr. Prashant Shrikant Kenjale, AOR

Mr. Shirish K. Deshpande, AOR
Ms. Rucha Pravin Mandlik, Adv.
Mr. Mohit Gautam, Adv.
Mr. Raghav Arora, Adv.
Mr. Viraj Parakh, Adv.

M/S. Black & White Solicitors, AOR
Mr. Suhaskumar Kadam, Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Mishra, AOR
Mr. Shrimay Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Sachin Jaysing Patil, Adv.
Mr. Geo Joseph, Adv.
Mr. Risvi Muhammed, Adv.
Ms. Vishnu Priya, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Patil, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. We are informed that the Guidelines issued by Central Pollution Control Board in the year 2020 and the nature thereof are under challenge before the High Court and that the matter is currently *sub-judice*.

2. That being so, we dispose of these Special Leave Petitions with liberty to the petitioner(s) to assist the High Court in those pending proceedings. The remaining directions issued by the High Court were time-bound and the Special Leave Petitions *qua* those directions have, thus, become infructuous.

3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand closed.

(NITIN TALREJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR