

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.A.ABDUL HAKHIM**

**Monday, the 15th day of December 2025 / 24th Agrahayana, 1947
WP(C) NO. 43123 OF 2025(M)**

PETITIONERS:

**BLUE STAR ALUMINIUM & DOOR HOUSE, REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR MR
VEERAN KUTTY, AGED 51 YEARS S/O MUHAMMED , RESIDING AT MASSIKKAL ,
CHELAKODE, THRISSUR DISTRICT , KERALA, PIN - 680587**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE FEDERAL BANK LTD, REPRESENTED BY ITS AUTHORIZED OFFICER
CHERUTHURUTHY BRANCH , GROUND FLOOR , SREEHARI ARCADE, CHERUTHURUTHY
, THRISSUR DISTRICT , KERALA, PIN - 679531**
- 2. ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, MAHARASHTRA STATE CYBER , 102
& 103 , SECTOR 2 , MILLENIUM BUSINESS PARK , MAHAPE , NAVI MUMBAI ,
MAHARASHTRA EMAIL : IG.CBR-MAH@GOV.IN , PI2.CPAW-MAH@GOV.IN, PIN -
400710**
- 3. ADDL R3.STATION HOUSE OFFICER , MAHARASHTRA STATE CYBER, 102 & 103 ,
SECTOR 2 , MILLENIUM BUSINESS PARK , MAHAPE , NAVI MUMBAI ,
MAHARASHTRA, PIN-400710**
- 4. ADDL.R4.STATION HOUSE OFFICER , CHERUTHURUTHY POLICE
STATION, CHERUTHURUTHY PO, THRISSUR CITY, KERALA, PIN-4679531.**
- 5. ADDL.R5.STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH
COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM-682035 .(ADDL.R3, ADDL.R4 & ADDL.R5 ARE
IMPLEADED in W.P.(C) 43123/2025 AS PER ORDER DATED 15.12.2025 IN IA
1/2025)**

**Writ petition (civil) praying inter alia that in the circumstances
stated in the affidavit filed along with the WP(C) the High Court be
pleased to Issue a Writ of Mandamus or any appropriate
writ/order/direction commanding Respondent No.1 to lien on the
petitioner's account shall be confined to the disputed amount mentioned in
Ext.P2 & to allow permitting bank account operations .**

**This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and
the affidavit filed in support of WP(C) and this Court's order dated
10.12.2025 and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.MUHAMMED ZAIN SHABEER
P.P., SHIBU BABU, ANISH M.M, ARUN KUMAR C.S., RIYAS B.S., DHANYA PALAN,
VIMAL KUMAR.A.V. & MUHAMMADALI MANEKKATHODI, Advocates for the petitioner
SRI. MOHAN JACOB GEORGE, Advocate for R1 and of GOVERNMENT PLEADER for
Addl. R4 & R5 the court passed the following:**

M. A. ABDUL HAKHIM, J.

I.A. No.1 of 2025 & W.P.(C) No.43123 of 2025

Dated, this the 15th day of December, 2025

ORDER

1. Adv. Sri. Yeshwanth Shenoy appeared and insisted that this Court must hear him, as he has been making submissions on the instructions from Adv. Muhammed Zain Shabeer P.P., who is the Counsel appearing for the Petitioner in this matter. Adv. Sri. Yeshwanth Shenoy submitted that he does not need Vakalath to argue the case as he has oral instructions from the Counsel for the Petitioner to make submissions. Adv. Sri. Yeshwanth Shenoy invited my attention to the first Proviso to Rule 17(1) of the Rules of the High Court of Kerala, 1971, which, according to him, enables him to appear and argue on the instructions of the Counsel for the Petitioner. Adv. Sri. Yeshwanth Shenoy wanted to make submissions regarding the legality of the Order dated 10.12.2025 passed by this Court in this Writ Petition.
2. Rule 17(1) of the Rules of the High Court of Kerala, 1971, provides that no Advocate shall be entitled to act in any proceeding unless he files a Vakalath. The first Proviso to Rule

W.P. (C.) No.43123 of 2025

2

17(1) provides that where an Advocate already on record in any proceeding appoints another Advocate to appear for him at the hearing of the case, it shall not be necessary to file a Vakalath. Adv. Sri. Yeshwanth Shenoy has not produced any such Written Appointment from the Counsel for the Petitioner. Adv. Sri. Yeshwanth Shenoy cannot be permitted to address arguments on oral instructions, if any, from the Counsel for the Petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer of Adv. Sri. Yeshwanth Shenoy for permitting him to address arguments in this Writ Petition is declined.

3. I heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioner, Sri. Muhammed Zain Shabeer P.P.

4. The Petitioner has filed I.A. No.1 of 2025 to implead one Requisitioning Authority, SHO of the Police Station that covers the area of the address of the Petitioner in the Writ Petition, and the State of Kerala as Additional Respondent Nos.3 to 5. The impleadment of the local SHO is sought in compliance with the direction in the Order of this Court dated 10.12.2025 in this Writ Petition. This Court fails to understand when the Petitioner has filed I.A. No.1 of 2025 to implead the local SHO in compliance with the aforesaid Order dated 10.12.2025, how arguments can

W.P. (C.) No.43123 of 2025

3

be addressed challenging the legality of the direction to implead the local SHO in the said Order.

5. Since the Writ Petition is not admitted, I.A. No.1 of 2025 is allowed without notice to the Additional Respondents sought to be impleaded. The Registry is directed to carry out the impleadment. The learned Government Pleader takes notice for the additionally impleaded Respondent Nos.4 and 5.

6. The Petitioner is directed to serve a copy of the Writ Petition and the I.A. to the learned Government Pleader.

7. The learned Government Pleader is directed to get instructions from the Respondent No.4/SHO of Cheruthuruthy Police Station regarding the details mentioned in the Order dated 10.12.2025.

8. Post on 22.01.2026.

sd/-

M. A. ABDUL HAKHIM,
JUDGE

SKP