



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATHISH NINAN

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. KRISHNA KUMAR

WEDNESDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF MARCH 2026 / 27TH PHALGUNA, 1947

WA NO. 3142 OF 2025

AGAINST THE ORDER DATED IN WP(C) NO.46555 OF 2025 OF HIGH COURT
OF KERALA

APPELLANTS/ RESPONDENTS 1 AND 2 :

- 1 THE DIRECTOR,
DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT,
KOCHI ZONAL OFFICE,
KANOOS CASTLE,
A.K. SESHADRI ROAD,
KOCHI, PIN - 682011
- 2 THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR,
DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT,
KOCHI ZONAL OFFICE,
KANOOS CASTLE,
A.K. SESHADRI ROAD,
KOCHI, PIN - 682011
- 3 *THE SPECIAL DIRECTOR OF ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE
(ADJUDICATION), [APPELLANT 3 TRANSPOSED AS R2]
DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT,
PRAVARTAN BHAWAN, DR. APJ ABDUL KALAM ROAD,
NEW DELHI, PIN - 110011

BY ADV SHRI.JAISHANKAR V.NAIR, SC, ENFORCEMENT
DIRECTORATE

RESPONDENTS/PETITIONER/3RD RESPONDENT:

- 1 KERALA INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT FUND BOARD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
SECOND FLOOR,
FELICITY SQUARE,
MG ROAD, STATUE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001



2 THE SPECIAL DIRECTOR OF ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE
(ADJUDICATION) ,
DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT, PRAVARTAN BHAWAN, DR. APJ
ABDUL KALAM ROAD, NEW DELHI, PIN-110011, THE 3RD
APPELLANT IS TRANSPOSED AS THE 2ND RESPONDENT AS PER
ORDER DATED 29/01/2026 IN IA 1/2026 IN WA 3142/2025.

BY ADVS.
SPL.G.P.SRI.V.MANU
SMT.ALKA WARRIAR, CGC
SHRI.K.GOPALAKRISHNA KURUP, ADVOCATE GENERAL
SHRI.V.MANU, SPL.G.P. TO A.G.

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 18.03.2026, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



Sathish Ninan & P.Krishna Kumar, JJ.

WA No.3142 of 2025

Dated this the 18th day of March, 2026

JUDGMENT

Sathish Ninan, J.

This appeal is filed against an interim order of stay, which was granted for a period of three months. The order of stay was passed on 16.12.2025. The period of the interim order has since expired. Accordingly, the challenge against the interim order does not survive.

2. Concern was expressed to the parties as to whether it would be proper to hear the challenge against the impugned order when it has worked itself out. It was suggested to the parties that leaving open the contentions of the parties the Writ Appeal can be dismissed as infructuous. Both sides were not averse to such course.

3. Accordingly, without expressing anything on the merits and leaving open all the contentions of both sides, the writ appeal is dismissed as infructuous.

In view of the urgency raised by the parties, we request the learned Single Judge to list the WP(C)No.46555/2025 tomorrow, i.e., 19.03.2026 itself. Registry to take steps accordingly.

Sd/-

Sathish Ninan, Judge

Sd/-

P.Krishna Kumar, Judge