

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THURSDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

: **PRESENT:**

**THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE APARESH KUMAR SINGH
AND**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.M. MOHIUDDIN

IA Nos. 1 and 2 OF 2025 IN WP NO: 30217 OF 2025, IA. Nos. 1 and 2 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30218 of 2025, IA. No. 1 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30274 of 2025, IA. Nos. 1 and 2 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30279 of 2025, IA. No. 1 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30335 of 2025, IA. No. 1 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30503 of 2025, IA. Nos. 2 and 3 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30512 of 2025, IA. No. 1 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30552 of 2025, IA. Nos. 1 and 2 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30557 of 2025 and IA. Nos. 1, 2 and 3 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30615 of 2025

WP. No. 30217 of 2025

Between:

1. Buttemgari Madhava Reddy, S/o Jai Hind Reddy
2. Samudrala Ramesh, S/o Samudrala Lingamurthy

...Petitioners
(Petitioners in WP 30217 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep.by its Chief Secretary, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Department Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana Backward Classes Welfare(B) State, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad
4. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, General Administration(Cabinet) Department, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
5. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Law and Legislative Department, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad
6. The State Election Commission, Telangana, Rep by its Chief Electoral Officer, PTI Building, 1st Floor, DTCP Building, Opp AC Guards, Hyderabad, Telangana - 500004
7. The District Collector, Medchal Malkajgiri Medchal Malkajgiri District, Telangana
8. The District Collector, Peddapalli, Peddapalli District, Telangana.
9. Talakokkula Raju, S/o. T.Yallaiah, Occ: Advocate, R/o. 3-41-374, Plot No.15/Laxmi Nagar, Near AOC Gate, Picket, Nehrunagar, Secunderabad.
(R-9 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 3 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
10. C.Shanthappa S/o. Late Yellappa, Occ: Advocate, R/o. H.No. 1-8-1, Plot No. 34, Baghlingampally, Hyderabad.
(R-10 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 4 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)

11. Jajula Srinivas Goud, S/o. Late Sattaiah, Occ: National President, B.C. Welfare Association, R/o. Plot No. 502, Royal Maruthi Homes, Street No.1, Habsiguda, Hyderabad.
(R-11 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 5 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
12. R.Krishnaiah, S/o. Sri R. Advappa, Occ: Politician (Member of Parliament, Rajya Sabha), R/o. 1-9-669/43/A, Vidyanagar, Hyderabad-500 044
(R-12 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 6 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
13. Adi Srinivas, S/o. Govindu, Member of Legislative Assembly and also Government Whip, permanent R/o. Rudrangi Vg., Rudrangi Mandal, (erstwhile, Chendurthi Mandal), Rajanna Sircilla District, presently residing at Hyderabad.
(R-13 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 7 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
14. B.C. Intellectuals Forum, A Registered Society (Vide Regd. No. 16/2025), under the Telangana Societies Registration Act, 2001, 2-2-3/B/1&2/501, Flat No. 501, Sneha Nilayam, C Lane, DD Colony, Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad, Telangana, rep. by its President, Mr. Togarla Chiranjeevulu, S/o. Late Sri Naresh.
(R-14 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 8 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
15. C. Vinay Kumar, S/o. Vinod Kumar, (Ex-Secretary, Hyderabad District Committee of Telangana NSUI), R/o. H.No. 1-8-185, Street No.10, Chikkadpally, Hyderabad.
(R-15 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 9 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
16. Goute Ganesh, S/o. Goute Pochaiah, Occ: Shiv Sena Greater Hyderabad President, Sirisilla Constituency Incharge, R/o. 3-5-1038, Beside, PRTU Office, Narayanaguda, Himayathnagar, Hyderabad-500 029
(R-16 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 10 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
17. Telangana Rajyadhikara Party, Rep. by its President, Sri Chintapandu Naveen @ Teenmar Mallanna, S/o. Bagayya, Occ: Journalist, Plot No.10 Part, Sy. No. 95, 2nd Floor, FCA Godown Road, Chanikyapuri Colony, Mallapur, Medchal Malkajgiri District.
18. Telangana Rajyadhikara Party, Rep. by its General Secretary, Sudagani Hari Sankar Goud, S/o. Rajaiah Goud, Occ: Advocate, Plot No.10 Part, Sy. No. 95, 2nd Floor, FCA Godown Road, Chanikyapuri Colony, Mallapur, Medchal Malkajgiri District, R/o. Varoor Village, Mootakonda Mandal, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District, Telangana State.
(RR-17 & 18 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 11 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
19. V.Hanumantha Rao, S/o. Late V. Laxmaiah, Occ: Ex-MP(RS), R/o. H.No. 2-2-185/56/14/B, DD Colony, Bhaghamberpet, Hyderabad, State of Telangana-500 013
20. R. Laxman Yadav, S/o. late Narsaiah Yadav, Occ: General Secretary of All India Yadava Maha Sabha, R/o. CIB Quarters, Nehru Nagar, Kachiguda, Hyderabad.
21. Mettu Sai Kumar, S/o. Mettu Jai Ram, Occ: President of Fisheries Cooperative Society, Government of Telangana, R/o. H.No. 11-1-519-520, Aghapura, Mahankali Temple, Bhoiguda Kaman, Nampally, Hyderabad.
(RR-19 to 21 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 12 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)

22. Gujja Sathyanarayana S/o. Yadagiri, Occ: National Vice President of National Backward Classes Welfare Association, R/o. Plot No. 81, H.No. 2-1-280/2, Road No.6, Mamta Nagar, Nagole, Ranga Reddy District.
23. Allampally Ramakoti, S/o. Late Krishnaiah, Occ: President of Backward Classes (BC) Welfare Association, Regd. No. 795/2020, R/o. Plot No. 170, Road No.8, Sri Srinivasapuram Colony, Vanasthalipuram, L.B. Nagar, Hyderabad, Ranga Reddy District.
(RR-22 & 23 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 13 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
24. Kasulavada Shankaraiah, S/o. Ramaiah, Occ: Member of Legislative Assembly of Shadnagar Constituency, R/o. Shadnagar, Ranga Reddy District.
25. G. Ananthaiah, S/o. Yadaiaha, R/o. H.No. 9-32, Road No. 4A, Dwarakhapuram, Dilsukhnagar, Ranga Reddy District.
(RR-24 & 25 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 14 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
26. Cherukula Rajender, S/o. Late Cherukula Chandraiaha, Occ: President of BC Sangam, State of Telangana, Regd. No. 166 of 2021, R/o. H.No. 2-740/75/25, VK Dhage Nagar, Opposite Red Cross Hospital, Gaddiannaram, Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy District.
27. Pochaboina Srihari, S/o. Balaiah, Occ: President of Telangana Sheep Breeders Cooperative Society, R/o. H.No. 2-30, Kasthuripally, Sikandlapur, PO: Sikindlapur, Medak District.
(RR-26& 27 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 15 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
28. Telangana BC Political Front, A registered Society (Vide Regd.No. 121/2025), under the Telangana Societies Registration Act, 2001, # 1-8-3/27/6, Surya Nagar, Chikkadpally, Hyderabad, Telangana, rep. by its President, Mr. Balagoni Balraj Goud, S/o. Sri B. Lingamaiah Goud
(R-28 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 16 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
29. Gujja Sri Krishna, S/o. Late G. Sri Ramulu, Occ: Convenor, National BC Welfare Association, R/o. Vidyanagar, Hyderabad.
(R-29 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 17 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
30. Kasani Veeresh Mudhiraj, S/o. Late K. Krishna, Occ: Social Service, Designation: Telangana BC Maha Sabha Honorary President, R/o. 1-50, Bachpally, Quthbullapur, Ranga Reddy District.
31. Dr. Ravula Jagadeeshwar Prasad Mudiraj, S/o. Late Goverdhan, Occ: General Secretary, Telangana State Mudiraj Mahasabha, Regd. No. 24/1954, R/o. H.No. 5-11-585, Sri Nagar colony, Opp: S B H K U, Hanamkonda, Warangal District.
32. K.Chandraiah Mudiraj, S/o. Late Lingaiah, Occ: Organizing Secretary, Telangana State Mudiraj Mahasabha, Regd. No. 24/1954, R/o. H.No. 8-56, Maheshwaram, Ranga Reddy District.
(RR-30 to 32 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 18 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
33. Tejavath Bellaiah Naik, S/o. Echa Naik, Occ: Chairman of Telangana Tribal Development Finance Corporation, R/o. H.No. 1-5-57/A/104, Street No.8, VV Nagar, Secunderabad, Hyderabad.

34. Pandula Saidulu, S/o. Pandula Maraiah, Occ: State Coordinator of Telangana Vidya Vanthula Vedika, R/o. Yarragudem, Marupaka, Nalgonda District.
(RR-33 & 34 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 19 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
35. Nakka Srinivas, S/o. Late Venkateswarlu, Occ: Law Student, R/o. Sunyapahad Village, Palakedu Mandal, Suryapet District.
(R-35 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 20 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
36. Komireddy Vijay Azad, S/o. Late Komireddy Ramulu, Occ: Advocate, R/o. Vellula Village, Metpally Mandal, Jagtial District.
(R-36 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 21 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
37. D. Vijaypal Reddy, S/o. Late Narasimha Reddy, General Secretary, Federation of Farmers Associations in Telangana, R/o. 1-7-19/A, JS Nagar, Street No.8, Habsiguda, Hyderabad-500 007.
(R-37 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 22 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
38. M/s. Jatiya Nayeebrahmana Seva Sangam (Nabhik Samaj, a regd. Society No. 430/2020, rep. by its President, Mr. Jagadish Kumar Awar, S/o. Late Bagaiah Awar, office at H.No. 6-3-309/A, Panjagutta, Poshamma Basti, Hyderabad, Telangana.
39. Mr. Mangali Chinna Adi Murthy, S/o. M.C. Adenna, Occ: Advocate, R/o. H.No. 13-152, Mallikarjuna Nagar Colony, Badangpet, Kanchanbagh, Hyderabad, Telangana.
(RR-38 & 39 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 23 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
40. D. Bhaskar Prajapathi, S/o. Pandaiah, Occ: BC Vidyarthi Sangam State Convenor, H.No. 17-13A, Janga Reddy Pally Village, Amangal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
(R-40 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 24 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
41. Makkam Singh Raj Takur, S/o. Kishan Singh, Occ: Member of Legislative Assembly (MLA), Telangana State, R/o. H.No. 3-5-174, Masjid Turning, Ramagundam Town, Peddapalli District.
(R-41 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 25 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
42. K. Indira @ Indira Shoban D/o. Jangaiah, Occ: TPCC Official Spokes Person, R/o. Flat No. 203 & 303, Vasundhara Grand Apartments, Gandhi Nagar, Musheerabad, Hyderabad-500 080
(R-42 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 26 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
43. Niradi Bhupeshsagar, S/o. N. Laxminarayana, Occ: President Greater Hyderabad BC Welfare Association, R/o. 29-1508/1/7/2/1, Hill Colony, Neredmet, Malkajgiri, Medchal District, PIN 500 056
(R-43 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 27 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
44. Singam Charan Koushik, S/o. Singam Veeramallu, Occ: TPCC Spokes Person, R/o. H.No. 1-9-15/123 & 129, Koushikis Woods, Flat No. 101, Lane 20, Ravindra Nagar Colony, Street No.8, Habsiguda, Uppal, Secunderabad, PO Jama I Osmania, Hyderabad District.
(R-44 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 28 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)

45. Communist Party of India, Telangana, State Council, Telangana, Maqdoom Bhavan, Himayathnagar, Hyderabad. rep. by its State Secretary, Kunamneni Sambasiva Rao, S/o. Venkata Rattaiah, R/o. Quarter No. 601, MLA Quarters, Himayathnagar, Hyderabad.
(R-45 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 29 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
46. Krishna Moorthy Prayaka Rao, S/o. Late Rajaiah, Occ: Secretary, Jana Adhikara Samiti, presently, R/o. Flat No.310, VSS Nandadeep Apartments, Beside RTO Office, Kompally-500 067.
(R-46 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 30 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
47. Mohammed Shabbir Ali, S/o. Mohammed Masoom, Occ:Advisor to SC/ST/OBC/Minorities Welfare Dept., Govt. of Telangana, Secretariat, Hyderabad, R/o. H.No. 8-2-293/82/O/J3/211, Road No. 76, Jubilee Hills, Shaikpet, Hyderabad.
(R-47 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 31 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
48. Ailaboyena Ramesh Yadav, S/o. Ailaboyena Papaiah, Occ: National Secretary, All India Yadav Mahasabha, R/o. 14-158, Siddanthi, Shamshabad, Ranga Reddy District.
(R-48 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 32 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
49. Narayanavarapu Srinivasarao, S/o. Chalamaiah, Occ: President, Khammam District, R/o. H.No. 1-42, BC Colony, Sathupalli, Rejarla, District Khammam-507 303, Telangana State.
(R-49 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 33 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
50. Naganamoni Chenna Ramulu, S/o. N. Venkata Swamy, Occ: President, Backward Classes Federation, (BSF), R/o. 3-4-155, RTC Colony, LB Nagar, Rangareddy, Telangana.
(R-50 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 34 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
51. L.Sravani, W/o. L Srikanth Goud, Occ:General Secretary, Telangana State BC Womens Association, Dundigal, KV Ranga Reddy, Telangana State-500 043.
(R-51 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 35 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
52. Vemula Venkata Ramakrishna, S/o. Vemula Yadaiah, Occ: President, Telangana BC Students Association, R/o. 1-8-150, Rajendranagar, Rangareddy District, Telangana-500 030.
(R-52 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 36 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
53. Yerra Satyanarayana, S/o. Late Balappa, Occ: President, Telangana Backward Class Welfare Association, Hyderabad-500 044, R/o. 2-3-479, Plot No. 27, Road No. 3, Sri Sai Nagar Colony, Nagole, Hyderabad.
(R-53 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 37 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
54. Dr. P. Vinaya Kumar, S/o. Late Shiva Shankar, Occ: Special Gastroenterologist, R/o. H.No. 1-76, Shambala, Near: GMR Township, Mamidipally Post, Keshavagiri, Hyderabad, Telangana-500 005.
(R-54 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 38 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)

55. Mekapothula Narendar Goud, S/o. Mekapothula Goud. Occ: Political Aspirant, R/o. State Office. BC Bhavan, H.No. 3-6-427/1, 2nd Floor, St.No. 4 & 5. Beside Taruni Jewellers, Himayat Nagar, Hyderabad.
(R-55 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 39 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
56. Ponnamp Devaraju S/o. Ponnamp Rajayya, Occ: Indian Legal Professional Association President Telangana State, R/o. H.No. 1-1-31/ii, 45B, Saketh Township, Kapra Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District, Hyderabad, Telangana-500 103.
(R-56 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 40 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
57. G. Shiva Kumar S/o. Venkataiah, Occ: BC Association State Working President, R/o. H.No. 1-70/2, Village: Lagacherla, Mandal: Dudyal, Vikarabad. Vikarabad District.
(R-57 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 41 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
58. Jilla Shiva Kumar, S/o. Late J. Bachaiah Govind Rao, R/o. Flat No. 201, B-1, H.No. 1-1-385, Purushotham Residency, Gandhi Nagar, Musheerabad, Secunderabad, Hyderabad
(R-58 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 42 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
59. Mandadi Anil Kumar Yadav, S/o. Mandadi Anjan Kumar Yadav, Occ: Member of Parliament (Rajya Sabha), R/o. H.No. 20-1-586, Golla Khidiki, Hussaini Alam, Bahadurpura, Hyderabad-500 064.
(R-59 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 43 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
60. Lokanaboina Venkataramana Mudiraj, S/o. Lokanaboina Malliah, Occ: Social Activist and Founder President of the Telangana State Fishermen's Welfare Society (TRMS), R/o. H.No. 6-1-439, Srinivas Nagar Colony, Ramagiri, Nalgonda.
61. Dr. Nijjana Ramesh Mudiraj, S/o. Late Nijjana Pochaiah, Occ: Social Activist and Telangana Janasamithi State General Secretary, R/o. Plot No. 34, Seethaphalmandi, Near Water Tank, Secunderabad, Hyderabad.
62. Bheema Lakshmana Murthy, S/o. Late Narsaiah, Occ: Social Activist, R/o. Flat No. 102, Gayatri Towers, Gayatri Nagar, Moula-Ali, ECIL, Hyderabad-500 040.
(RR-60 to 62 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 44 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
63. Gopal Mosam, S/o. Mosam Komuraiah, Occ: Social Worker, R/o. H.No. 3-4-42/1, Temple Road, Ramagundam, Peddapalli District.
(R-63 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 45 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
64. Kancharla Keshava Rao, S/o. K. Niranjan Rao, Occ: Social Activist & Advisory to the Government, R/o. H.No. 8-2-696/21A, NBT Nagar, Road No.12, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.
(R-64 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 46 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)
65. Teegala Praveen, S/o. Vaikuntan Goud, R/o. 3-3-107, Kummari Galli, Siricilla, Rajanna Siricilla Mandal & District.
66. Gundaveni Thirupathi, S/o. Ramaiah, R/o. Venkamapally Village, Gabngadhara Mandal, Uppara Mallial, Karimnagar District-505445.
(RR-65 & 66 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 48 of 2025 in WP. No. 30217 of 2025)

...Respondents
(Respondents in-do-)

**Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI B. MAYUR REDDY SENIOR COUNSEL REP.
MS. KAMATAM RAJITHA**

**Counsel for the Respondent NO. 1 TO 5, 7 & 8: DR. A.M.SINGHVI SENIOR
COUNSEL, ADVOCATE GENERAL,
ASSISTED BY SRI I.V.SIDDIVARDHANA,
SPL. GP AND PROF. RAVIVARMA
KUMAR SENIOR COUNSEL**

**Counsel for the Respondent No. 6: SRI G. VIDYA SAGAR SENIOR COUNSEL
REP. P. SUDHEER REDDY**

Counsel for Respondent No.9 : SRI KADIRE AJITH REDDY

Counsel for Respondent No.10 : SRI G.ARUN KUMAR

Counsel for Respondent No.11 : M/S. K.KIRAN MAYEE

Counsel for Respondent No.12 : SRI MANDRU SAMBASIVA RAO

Counsel for Respondent No.13 : SRI V.ROHITH

Counsel for Respondent No.14 & 28: M/S. PEARL LAW ASSOCIATES

Counsel for Respondent No.15 : SRI D.V.SUDHIR KUMAR

Counsel for Respondent No.16 : SRI P.RAJESH

Counsel for Respondent Nos. 17 & 18: SRI PRABHAKAR CHIKKUDU

**Counsel for Respondent Nos.19 to 21, 24, 25, 33 & 34: SRI CHALAKANI
VENKATA YADAV**

Counsel for Respondent Nos.22 & 23: SRI CHINTHALA SRIKANTH

Counsel for Respondent Nos.26 & 27: SRI KADIRE KRISHNA

Counsel for Respondent No.29 : SRI SAMALA RAVENDAR

Counsel for Respondent No.30 to 32, 60 to 62: SRI D.L. PANDU

Counsel for Respondent No.35: SRI KARUNAKAR REDDY

Counsel for Respondent No.36 : M/S. KARAM CHENDU KOMIREDDY

Counsel for Respondent No.37 : SRI P.SRINIVASA REDDY

Counsel for Respondent Nos.38 & 39:	SRI SUDARSHAN MALUGARI
Counsel for Respondent No.40 :	M/S. CHILLAW ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS
Counsel for Respondent No.41 :	SRI KONDAR RAJ KUMAR
Counsel for Respondent No.42 :	SRI POLE VISHNU
Counsel for Respondent No.43 :	SRI G.VEERA BABU
Counsel for Respondent No.44 & 55:	SRI DUNNA AMBEDKAR
Counsel for Respondent No.45 :	SRI BOMMAGANI PRABHAKAR
Counsel for Respondent No.46 :	SRI NALAGANA SRINIVAS
Counsel for Respondent No.47 :	SRI G.MADHUSUDHAN REDDY
Counsel for Respondent No.48 & 53:	SRI NAGULA SRINIVAS YADAV
Counsel for Respondent Nos.49 to 52:	SRI JAKKULA VAMSI KRISHNA
Counsel for Respondent No.54 :	M/S. SAHITHI SRI KAVYA MUKKERA
Counsel for Respondent No.56 :	SRI PONNAM MAHESH BABU
Counsel for Respondent No.57 :	SRI RAMA KOTAIAH KANDALA
Counsel for Respondent No.58 :	SRI G.SATISH BABU
Counsel for Respondent No.59 :	SRI JELLA SRIKANTH
Counsel for Respondent No.63:	SRI RAJESH RAMASWAMY
Counsel for Respondent No.64:	SRI KANCHARLA KESHAVA RAO, Party in person
Counsel for Respondent No.65:	SRI RAM PRASAD TEEGALA

I.A. No. 1 of 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the impugned G.O.Ms.No. 09 of 2025 dated 26/09/2025, pending disposal of WP No. 30217 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

I.A.No. 2 of 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to hold/conduct the Panchayat (Local Body) Elections according to the Section

9(8) and Section 285A of the Telangana Panchayat Raj Act 2018. pending disposal of WP No. 30217 of 2025. on the file of the High Court.

WP No: 30218 OF 2025

Between:

Goreti Venkatesh, S/o Goreti Jangaiah

...Petitioner

(Petitioner in WP 30218 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Represented by Principal Secretary Backward Class Welfare Department DR.BR Ambedkar Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The State of Telangana, Represented by Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, DR.BR. Ambedkar, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The State of Telangana, Represented by Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department DR. B.R. Ambedkar Secretariat, Hyderabad.
4. The Telangana State Election Commission, Represented by State Election Commissioner, 1st Floor, DTCP Building, Opp. PTI Building, AC Guards, Hyderabad - 500004.
5. B.C. Intellectuals Forum, A Registered Society (Vide Regd. No. 16/2025), under the Telangana Societies Registration Act, 2001, 2-2-3/B/1&2/501, Flat No. 501, Sneha Nilayam, C Lane, DD Colony, Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad, Telangana, rep. by its President, Mr. Togarla Chiranjeevulu, S/o. Late Sri Naresh.
(R-5 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 3 of 2025 in WP. No. 30218 of 2025)
6. D.Vijaypal Reddy, S/o. Late Narasimha Reddy, General Secretary, Federation of Farmers Associations in Telangana, R/o. 1-7-19/A, JS Nagar, Street No.8, Habsiguda, Hyderabad-500 007.
(R-6 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 4 of 2025 in WP. No. 30218 of 2025)
7. Telangana BC Political Front, A registered Society (Vide Regd.No. 121/2025). under the Telangana Societies Registration Act, 2001, # 1-8-3/27/6, Surya Nagar, Chikkadpally, Hyderabad, Telangana, rep. by its President, Mr. Balagoni Balraj Goud. S/o. Sri B. Lingamaiah Goud
(R-7 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 5 of 2025 in WP. No. 30218 of 2025)
8. Gareeb Guide (Voluntary Organization) accredited by the Telangana State Legal Services Authority, Vide No. 01/TSLSA/ACRDT/RR/2015, dated: 12-03-2015, rep. by its President, Ms. G. Bhargavi, H/o. 7/36, Bahar, Sahara States, Mansoorabad, LB Nagar, Hyderabad (Temporarily Regional Office: Plot No. 72, Kandlakoya (V), Medchal (M), Medchal Malkajgiri District.
(R-8 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 6 of 2025 in WP. No. 30218 of 2025)
9. Dasu Suresh Kumar S/o. Late Raghuram Dasu, Occ: President, BC Rajyadhikara Samithi, R/o. Flat No. 8, Block 13, Apoorva Encalve, Baghlingampally, Near Sundaraiah Park, Hyderabad, TG-500 044.
(R-9 impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 7 of 2025 in WP. No. 30218 of 2025)

10. Muddasani Kodanda Ram Reddy, S/o. Muddasani Janardhana Reddy, Occ: Professor and Former Member of Legislative Council, R/o. H.No. 12-13-578, Tarnaka, Nagarjuna Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana State.
11. Kanthi Mohan Reddy, S/o. Muttanna, Occ: Advocate, R/o. H.No. 5-89, Ibrahimpatnam PO, Ibrahimpatnam, Jagtial District.
(RR-10 & 11 Impleaded as per Court order dated 09-10-2025 in IA. No. 8 of 2025 in WP. No. 30218 of 2025)
12. Chintapandu Naveen Kumar @ Teenmar Mallanna S/o Bagayya, Occ: Journalist, President, Telangana Rajyadhikara Party, Plot No. 10, Part, Sy. No. 95, 2nd Floor, FCA Godown Road, Chanikyapuri Colony, Mallapur, Medchal Malkajgiri District
13. Sudagani Hari Sankar Goud, S/o Rajaiah Goud, Occ: Advocate, General Secretary, Telangana Rajyadhikara Party, R/o Vartoor Village, Motakondur Mandal, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District, Telangana State
(RR-12 & 13 Impleaded as per Court order dated 09.10.2025 in I.A. No. 9 of 2025 in WP. No. 30218 of 2025)

...Respondents
(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner: **SRI J. PRABHAKAR SENIOR COUNSEL REP.**
SRI BASKULA ATHIK

Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 to 3: DR. A.M.SINGHVI SENIOR
COUNSEL, ADVOCATE GENERAL,
ASSISTED BY SRI I.V.SIDDIVARDHANA,
SPL. GP AND PROF. RAVIVARMA
KUMAR SENIOR COUNSEL

Counsel for the Respondent No. 4: **SRI G. VIDYA SAGAR, SENIOR**
COUNSEL REP. SRI P. SUDHEER REDDY
Counsel for Respondent Nos.5 & 7 : **M/S. PEARL LAW ASSOCIATES**
Counsel for Respondent No.6 : **SRI P.SRINIVASA REDDY**
Counsel for Respondent No.8 : **SRI D.V. RAO**
Counsel for Respondent No.9 : **SRI JAKKULA VAMSI KRISHNA**
Counsel for Respondent Nos. 10 & 11: **SRI CH.CHAITANYA MITHRA**
Counsel for Respondent Nos. 12 & 13: **SRI BASKULAATHIK**

I.A. No. 1 of 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No. 4 State Election Commission, State of Telangana, not to conduct or proceed with any local body elections in Panchayat Raj or Municipalities, and to consequently restrain Respondent No. 4 in issuing any notification for the elections to the local bodies to the Panchayat Raj or the Municipalities, on the basis of or pursuant to the impugned G.O. Ms. No. 9 dated 26/09/2025 and G.O. Ms. No. 41 and 42 dated 26-09-2025 issued by 1st and 2nd respondent respectively, which illegally increases reservation beyond the ceiling of 50% prescribed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and Section 285A of the Telangana Panchayat Raj Act, 2018 and Section 29A of Telangana Municipalities Act 2019, pending disposal of WP No. 30218 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

I.A. No. 2 of 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the operation and implementation of G.O. Ms. No. 9 dated 26/09/2025 issued by 1st respondent issued increasing reservation beyond the 50% ceiling prescribed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of

India which is unconstitutional, illegal, arbitrary, and Ultra-vires of Section 285A of Telangana Panchayati Act 2018 and Section 29A of Telangana Municipalities Act 2019 and consequently Restrain the respondents, their officers, authorities, agents, servants, and any person acting on their behalf, from taking any steps or actions for conducting elections or implementing the increased reservation scheme under the GO No. pending disposal of WP No. 30218 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

WP NO: 30274 OF 2025

Between:

1. Appammagari Ram Reddy, S/o. Late Appammagari Raji Reddy
2. Pendyala Ram Reddy, S/o Pendyala Raj Reddy

...Petitioners
(Petitioners in WP 30274 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep.by its Chief Secretary, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad
4. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Law and Legislative Department, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
5. The State Election Commission, Telangana, Rep by its Chief Electoral Officer, PTI Building, 1st Floor, DTCP Building, Opp. AC Guards, Hyderabad, Telangana 500004
6. The District Collector, Medchal Malkajgiri Medchal Malkajgiri District, Telangana
7. The District Collector, Karimnagar District, Karimnagar, Telangana.

...Respondents
(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioners:

**SRI K. VIVEK REDDY SENIOR COUNSEL
REP. SRI K BUCHI BABU**

Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 to 4, 6 & 7: DR. A.M.SINGHVI SENIOR

**COUNSEL, ADVOCATE GENERAL,
ASSISTED BY SRI I.V.SIDDIVARDHANA,
SPL. GP AND PROF. RAVIVARMA
KUMAR SENIOR COUNSEL**

Counsel for the Respondent No. 5:

**SRI G. VIDYA SAGAR, SENIOR
COUNSEL REP. SRI P. SUDHEER REDDY**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings in GO Ms. No. 9, dated 26/09/2025 in pursuance of GO Ms. No. 41, 42 for backward process reserve for 42% it is more than 15% of the Constitution of India by violating Apex Court orders and direct the respondent state to conduct the Panchayat Raj (Local Body) Elections according to the Section 9(8) and Section 285A of the Telangana Panchayat Raj Act 2018, pending disposal of WP No. 30274 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

WP NO: 30279 OF 2025

Between:

OCSWAF OTHER CASTE SOCIAL WELFARE ASSOCIATION FEDERATION, Rep. by its President Poladi Rama Rao, R/o. H.No 1.9.1920/1/1/postal colony, Hanamkonda, Warangal Telangana

...Petitioner
(Petitioner in WP 30279 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Represented by Principal Secretary Panchayat Raj Department, DR.BR Ambedkar Secretariat Hyderabad
2. The State of Telangana, Represented by Principal Secretary Backward Class Welfare Department, DR.BR Ambedkar Secretariat Hyderabad
3. The State of Telangana, Represented by Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department DR.BR Ambedkar Secretariat Hyderabad
4. The Telangana State Election Commission, Represented by State Election Commissioner, 1st Floor, DTCP Building, Opp PTI Building AC Guards, Hyderabad - 500004

...Respondents
(Respondents in-do-)

**Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI K. VIVEK REDDY SENIOR COUNSEL REP.
SRI K BUCHI BABU**

**Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 to 3: DR. A.M.SINGHVI SENIOR
COUNSEL, ADVOCATE GENERAL,
ASSISTED BY SRI I.V SIDDIVARDHANA,
SPL. GP AND PROF. FAVIVARMA
KUMAR SENIOR COUNSEL**

**Counsel for the Respondent No. 4: SRI G. VIDYA SAGAR, SENIOR
COUNSEL REP. SRI P. SUDHEER REDDY**

I.A. No. 1 of 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No. 4 State Election Commission, State of Telangana, not to conduct or proceed with any local body elections in Panchayat Raj or Municipalities on the basis of or pursuant to the impugned G.O. Ms. No. 9 dated 26-09-2025 issued by 1st respondent, and to consequently restrain Respondent No. 4 in issuing any notification for the elections to the local bodies to the Panchayat Raj or the Municipalities, on the basis of or pursuant to the impugned G.O. Ms. No. 9 dated 26-09-2025 issued by 1st respondent which is Arbitrary, Illegal, Unconstitutional, and ultra-vires of section 285A of the Telangana Panchayat Raj Act, 2018 and Section 29A of Telangana Municipalities Act 2019 and also in violation of Article 14 and 15 of Constitution of India and also in violation of the directions given by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, pending disposal of WP No. 30279 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

I.A. No. 2 of 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the operation and implementation of G.O. Ms. No. 9 dated 26-09-2025 issued by 1st respondent issued increasing reservation beyond the 50% ceiling prescribed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India as the same is Arbitrary, Illegal, Unconstitutional, and ultra-vires of section 285A of the Telangana Panchayat Raj Act. 2018 and Section 29A of Telangana Municipalities Act 2019 and also in violation of Article 14 and 15 of Constitution of India and also in violation of the directions given by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and consequently Restrain the respondents, their officers, authorities, agents, servants, and any person acting on their behalf, from taking any steps or actions for conducting elections or implementing the increased reservation scheme under the said G.O.Ms. No.9, pending disposal of WP No. 30279 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

WP NO: 30335 OF 2025

Between:

Manda Madhu Mohan Reddy, S/o. Manda Lakshma Reddy

...Petitioner

(Petitioner in WP 30335 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Backward Classes Welfare Department, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad.
4. The Telangana State Election Commission, Rep. by its State Election Commissioner, 1st Floor, DTCP Building, Opp PTI Building, A.C. Guards, Hyderabad-500004.

...Respondents

(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

SRI K RAM REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 to 3: DR. A.M.SINGHVI SENIOR

**COUNSEL, ADVOCATE GENERAL,
ASSISTED BY SRI I.V.SIDDIVARDHANA,
SPL. GP AND PROF. RAVIVARMA
KUMAR SENIOR COUNSEL**

Counsel for the Respondent No. 4:

**SRI G. VIDYA SAGAR, SENIOR
COUNSEL REP. SRI P. SUDHEER REDDY**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of G.O.Ms.No. 9 Dt. 25.09.2025 issued by 1st Respondent, pending disposal of WP No. 30335 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

WP NO: 30503 OF 2025

Between:

1. Sri Madiwala Machadeva Rajakula Sangham, Rep. by its General Secretary. S. Laxmaiah. S/o. Jarnaiah, R/o. H.No.4-27, Dharur Village & Mandal, Vikarabad District.
2. C. Shanthappa. S/o. late Yellappa, Occ Advocate, R/o. H.No.1-8-1. Plot No.34, Baghlingampally, Hyderabad.

...Petitioners
(Petitioners in WP 30503 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Chief Secretary, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad
2. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary Backward Classes Welfare(B) Department Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad
3. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj and Rural Development Dept., Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad
4. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary General Administration (Cabinet) Department, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
5. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Law and Legislative Department, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
6. The State Election Commission Telangana, Rep by its Chief Electoral Officer, PTI Building, 1st Floor, DTCP Building, Opp AC Guards, Hyderabad - 500004.
7. The District Collector, Vikarabad District, Vikarabad.

...Respondents
(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioners:

SRI G ARUN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 to 5 & 7: DR. A.M.SINGHVI SENIOR

**COUNSEL, ADVOCATE GENERAL,
ASSISTED BY SRI I.V SIDDIVARDHANA,
SPL. GP AND PROF. LAVIVARMA
KUMAR SENIOR COUNSEL**

Counsel for the Respondent No. 6:

**SRI G. VIDYA SAGAR, SENIOR
COUNSEL REP. SRI P. SUDHEER REDDY**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of impugned GO. Ms. No. 09 of 2025, dated 26/09/2025 issued by the Respondents, pending disposal of WP No. 30503 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

WP NO: 30512 OF 2025

Between:

Kondapoor Mohan Reddy, S/o Sri Venkat Reddy

...Petitioner
(Petitioner in WP 30512 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Chief Secretary. Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Principal Secretary to Government, The Backward Classes Welfare Department. Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The Principal Secretary to Government, The Panchayat Raj and Rural Development Department, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad.
4. The Telangana State Election Commission, Rep. by its Secretary, 1st. Floor, DTCP Building, A.C. Guards, Hyderabad - 500004.

...Respondents
(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

**SRI B. MAYUR REDDY SENIOR
COUNSEL REP. MS. ANJALI NISHTALA**

Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 to 3: DR. A.M.SINGHVI SENIOR

**COUNSEL, ADVOCATE GENERAL,
ASSISTED BY SRI I.V.SIDDIVARDHANA,
SPL. GP AND PROF. RAVIVARMA
KUMAR SENIOR COUNSEL**

Counsel for the Respondent No. 4:

**SRI G. VIDYA SAGAR, SENIOR
COUNSEL REP. SRI P. SUDHEER REDDY**

IA. No. 2 of 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of G.O.Ms. No. 9, BC Welfare (B) Department, dated 26.09.2025, as well as G.O.Ms. No. 41 PR&RD Department, dated 26.09.2025, pending disposal of WP No. 30512 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

I.A. No. 3 of 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the operation of Notification No. 660/TGSEC-PR/2023-1, dated 29.09.2025, issued by the 4th Respondent herein, pending disposal of WP No. 30512 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

WP NO: 30552 OF 2025

Between:

1. M/s Jatiya Nayeabrahmana Seva Sangam (Nabhik Samaj), a Reg. Society No. 430/2020, Rep. by its President Mr. Jagadish Kumar Awar, S/o. late Bagaiah Awar. Office at H.No.6-3-309/A, Panjagutta, Poshamma Basti. Hyderabad, Telangana.
2. Mr. Mangali Chinna Adi Murthy, S/o. M.C Adenna, Occ. Advocate, R/o. H.No.13-152, Mallikarjune Nagar Colony, Badangpet, Kanchanbagh, Hyderabad, Telangana.

...Petitioners
(Petitioners in WP 30552 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Backward Classes Welfare Department, Dr. B.R Ambedkar Telangana Secretariat, Khairatabad, Hyderabad, - Telangana - 500 022.
2. The State of Telangana, rep. by its, Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Dr. B.R Ambedkar Telangana Secretariat, Khairatabad, Hyderabad, Telangana - 500 022.
3. Telangana Backward classes Commission, Rep. by its Chairman G. Niranjan, Office at 6-2-915, 4th Floor, Rear Block, HMWS and SB Building, Khairatabad, Hyderabad - 500 004.
4. Telangana Backward Classes Dedicated Commission, (Tasked with BC Reservation in local bodies) Rep. by its Chairman Busani Venkateshwara Rao, Office at Office at 6-2-915, 4th Floor, Rear Block, HMWS and SB Building, Khairatabad, Hyderabad - 500 004.
5. The State Election Commission, Telangana, Rep. by its Commissioner, 1st Floor, DTCP Building, Opp. PTI Building, AC Guards, Hyderabad, Telangana - 500 004.

...Respondents
(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioners:

SRI SUDARSHAN MALUGARI

Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 to 4: DR. A.M.SINGHVI SENIOR

**COUNSEL, ADVOCATE GENERAL,
ASSISTED BY SRI I.V.SIDDIVARDHANA,
SPL. GP AND PROF. RAVIVARMA
KUMAR SENIOR COUNSEL**

Counsel for the Respondent No. 5:

**SRI G. VIDYA SAGAR, SENIOR
COUNSEL REP. SRI P. SUDHEER REDDY**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the operation of G.O Ms. No.9, dated 26-09-2025, to prevent irreparable harm to marginalized Backward classes, pending disposal of WP No. 30552 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

WP NO: 30557 OF 2025

Between:

Penjarla Mahender Reddy, S/o. Penjarla Malla Reddy

...Petitioner
(Petitioner in WP 30557 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Chief Secretary, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Backward Classes Welfare Department Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.

4. The State of Telangana. Rep. by its Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
5. The State of Telangana. Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Law and Legislative Department, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
6. The State Election Commission, Telangana, Rep by its Chief Electoral Officer, PTI Building, 1st Floor, DTCP Building, Opp. AC Guards, Hyderabad, Telangana 500004.

...Respondents
(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner: **MS. PURNIMA KAMBLE,**
REP. MS. ALTAF FATHIMA

Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 to 5: DR. A.M.SINGHVI SENIOR
COUNSEL, ADVOCATE GENERAL,
ASSISTED BY SRI I.V.SIDDIVARDHANA,
SPL. GP AND PROF. RAVIVARMA
KUMAR SENIOR COUNSEL

Counsel for the Respondent No. 6: **SRI G. VIDYA SAGAR, SENIOR**
COUNSEL REP. SRI P. SUDHEER REDDY

I.A. No. 1 of 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the operation of G.O. Ms. No. 09 of 2025, pending disposal of WP No. 30557 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

I.A. No. 2 of 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the Notification No. 660/TGSEC-PR/2023-01 dated 29.09.2025 and Notification No. 660/TGSEC-PR/2023-02 dated 29.09.2025 issued by Respondent No. 6 in furtherance of G.O. Ms. No. 09 of 2025 dated 26.09.2025, pending disposal of WP No. 30557 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

WP NO: 30615 OF 2025

Between:

Syed Yakoob Zainulabedin, S/o Syed Jalaluddin

...Petitioner
(Petitioner in WP 30615 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep.by its Chief Secretary, At. Dr. B. R. Ambedkar, Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad. Telangana.
2. The State of Telangana, Backward Classes Welfare Department Rep. by its Principal Secretary, at. Dr.B.R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad, Telangana
3. The State of Telangana, Panchayat Raj and Rural Development Rep by its Principal Secretary, at. Dr.B.R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad. Telangana
4. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, General Administration (Cabinet) Department, At.Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.

5. The State of Telangana, Law and Legislative Department, Rep by its Principal Secretary at. Dr B.R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
6. The State Election Commission Telangana, Rep by its Chief Electoral Officer, PTI Building, 1st Floor, DTCP Building, Opp. AC Guards, Hyderabad, Telangana - 500004.

...Respondents
(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

SRI MOHAMMED ABDUL WAHAB

Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 to 5: DR. A.M.SINGHVI SENIOR

**COUNSEL, ADVOCATE GENERAL,
ASSISTED BY SRI I.V.SIDDIVARDHANA,
SPL. GP AND PROF. RAVIVARMA
KUMAR SENIOR COUNSEL**

Counsel for the Respondent No. 6:

**SRI G. VIDYA SAGAR, SENIOR
COUNSEL REP. SRI P. SUDHEER REDDY**

I.A. No. 1 of 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the Election Notifications bearing Nos. 660/TGSEC-PR/2023-1 and 660/TGSEC-PR/2023-2 both dated 29.09.2025, issued by the Respondent No.6 - Telangana State Election Commission, which are consequential to and based upon the impugned G.O.Ms.No.09, dated 26.09.2025, pending disposal of WP No. 30615 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

I.A. No. 2 of 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation and implementation of G.O.Ms.No.09, dated 26.09.2025, issued by Respondent No.2 - the Principal Secretary, Backward Classes Welfare Department, Government of Telangana, pending disposal of the present Writ Petition, as the same is prima facie contrary to the statutory ceiling of 50% reservation under Section 285-A of the Telangana Panchayat Raj Act, 2018 and Section 29-A of the Telangana Municipalities Act, 2019, and violative of Articles 14 and 15 of the Constitution of India, as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Indra Sawhney, K. Krishna Murthy, and Jaishri Laxmanrao Patil.

I.A. No. 3 of 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent no.6 Telangana state election commission, not to issue any fresh notifications for conduct of elections to the Gram Panchayats, Mandal Parishads, Zilla Parishads, Municipalities, or Municipal Corporations in the state of Telangana, based upon or in pursuance of the impugned G.O.Ms.No.09, dated 26.09.2025, pending disposal of the present writ petition, and to maintain status quo ante in order to preserve the sanctity of the electoral process and the equality principle under articles 14 and 243k of the constitution of India:

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

ORDER:

Mr. K.Vivek Reddy, learned Senior Counsel appears for Mr. K. Buchi Babu, learned counsel for the petitioners in W.P.Nos.30274 and 30279 of 2025.

Mr. B. Mayur Reddy, learned Senior Counsel appears for Ms. Anjali Nishtal and Ms. Kamatam Rajitha, learned counsel for the petitioners in W.P.Nos.30217 and 30512 of 2025.

Mr. J. Prabhakar, learned Senior Counsel appears for Mr. Baskula Athik, learned counsel for the petitioner in W.P.No.30218 of 2025.

Mr. K.Ram Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners in W.P.No.30335 of 2025.

Mr. G. Arun Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners in W.P.No.30503 of 2025.

Mr. Sudarshan Malugari, learned counsel for the petitioners in W.P.No.30552 of 2025.

Ms. Purnima Kamble, learned counsel appears for Ms. Altaf Fathima, learned counsel for the petitioner in W.P.No.30557 of 2025.

Mr. Mohammed Abdul Wahab, learned counsel for the petitioner in W.P.No.30615 of 2025.

Dr. A.M. Singhvi, learned Senior Counsel, Mr. A.Sudershan Reddy, learned Advocate General duly assisted by Mr. I.V.Siddivardhana, learned Special Government Pleader, and Prof. Ravivarma Kumar, learned Senior Counsel appear for the State.

Mr. G. Vidya Sagar, learned Senior Counsel appears for Sri P. Sudheer Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the State Election Commission.

In these writ petitions, the petitioners lay challenge to G.O.Ms.No.9, dated 26.09.2025, whereby the Government of Telangana has ordered to provide 42% reservation of the seats and positions in

Local Bodies to Backward Classes in the State of Telangana, and also the consequential G.O.Ms.Nos.41 and 42, dated 26.09.2025, whereby the Government of Telangana has laid down guidelines/procedure for fixation of reservations in respect of elections to Mandal Praja Parishads and Zilla Praja Parishads, and elections to Gram Panchayats respectively, under the Telangana Panchayat Raj Act, 2018 (hereinafter referred to as 'Panchayat Raj Act').

The petitioners in W.P.No.30507 of 2025 also challenged the Notification No.660/TGSEC-PR/2023-2, dated 29.09.2025, issued by the Telangana State Election Commission, whereby the Election Schedule for 2nd Ordinary Elections to Mandal Praja Parishad Territorial Constituencies of Mandal Praja Parishads and Zilla Praja Parishad Territorial Constituencies in the Zilla Praja Parishads in the State of Telangana, have been notified.

The petitioners also sought directions to the State Government as under:

(i) to hold/conduct the Panchayat (Local Body) Elections according to Section 9(8) and Section 285A of the Panchayat Raj Act; and

(ii) to provide categorywise i.e., A, B, C, D and E reservation in favour of backward classes as per Section 9(4) of the Panchayat Raj Act.

This Court has heard the arguments on the interim prayers.

Submissions of Mr. K.Vivek Reddy, learned Senior Counsel representing Mr. K.Buchi Babu, learned counsel for the petitioners in W.P.Nos.30274 and 30279 of 2025:

The learned Senior Counsel has challenged the G.O.Ms.No.9, dated 26.09.2025 on the following four grounds:

(i) The said G.O., is *ultra vires* not only the Constitution of India but also the very statute, i.e., the Telangana Panchayat Raj Act, 2018, itself, as the Panchayat Raj Act specifically states that in recognition of the Supreme Court judgments the upper ceiling of 50% shall not be breached. However, G.O.Ms.No.9 is issued in contravention of the statute.

(ii) That Articles 243D(6)¹ and 243T(6)² categorically provide that any reservations for backward classes shall be made by the State Legislature, whereas the G.O., under challenge has been issued by the State Government, which is illegal.

(iii) The Hon'ble Supreme Court in K.Krishna Murthy vs. Union of India [(2010) 7 SCC 202] specifically held that 50% reservations cannot be breached and the only exception is the reservation in favour of the Scheduled Tribes in the Scheduled Areas. The Supreme Court has held that the reservation for OBCs is only a 'statutory' dispensation to be provided by the State legislations unlike the 'constitutional' reservation regarding SCs/STs which is linked to the proportion of population. The decision is a law declared under Article 141 of the Constitution of India. Learned Senior Counsel has relied upon paragraphs 60, 64, 67 and 82(iv) of the decision in K.Krishna Murthy (supra).

(iv) It is submitted that after the judgment of the K.Krishna Murthy (supra), the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Vikas Kishanrao Gawali vs. State of Maharashtra [(2021) 6 SCC 73] propounded the triple test having three components. One crucial component is that if the 50% reservation is not complied with, no State Election Commission shall notify the election and even if is notified, it shall be ignored. The

¹ 243D (6). Nothing in this Part shall prevent the Legislature of a State from making any provision for reservation of seats in any Panchayat or offices of Chairpersons in the Panchayats at any level in favour of backward class of citizens.

² 243T (6). Nothing in this part shall prevent the Legislature of a State from making any provision for reservation of seats in any Municipality or offices of Chairpersons in the Municipalities in favour of backward class of citizens.

Supreme Court further held that triple test must be complied with for reservation of seats in local bodies for OBC candidates. He has referred to paragraphs 8, 13, 14, 27 and 28 of the said judgment.

Learned Senior Counsel has further submitted that the election is based on One Man Commission Report. As per the Division Bench decision of this Court in Nimmaka Jaya Raju vs. State of Andhra Pradesh [2012 (6) ALT 183 (DB)], it cannot be based on the unpublished data. The mandatory step of publishing the data is not followed while issuing the G.O.

He has argued that as per the Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Vikas Kishanrao Gawali (supra), the entire State cannot be treated as a Unit, it can be a district specific reservation.

He has invited the attention of the Court to paragraphs 4 and 5 of the G.O.Ms.No.9, dated 26.09.2025 and submitted that paragraphs 4 and 5 provide that the Article 243D(6) and Article 243T(6) of the Constitution empowers the State to make any provision for reservation of seats in any Panchayat or Offices of Chairpersons in the Panchayats and reservation of seats in any Municipality or offices of Chairpersons in the Municipalities respectively in favour of Backward Class of citizens. However, Articles 243D(6) and 243T(6) of the Constitution empower only the Legislature of a State to make provision for reservations in the local bodies in favour of the backward class of citizens. Therefore, the G.O., issued by the State, not by the Legislature, is illegal and violative of Articles 243D(6) and 243T(6) of the Constitution and as such, is liable to be set aside.

Learned Senior Counsel has further submitted that the 'Telangana Backward Classes (Reservations of seats in Rural and Urban Local Bodies) Bill, 2025 though was passed, but it has not yet become a law as it is pending for assent of the Hon'ble Governor.

He has submitted that Section 285A³ of the Panchayat Raj Act clearly specifies that in pursuance of the Judgments of the Supreme Court, the upper ceiling of 50% vertical reservations in favour of SCs/STs/OBCs should not be breached in the context of local self Government. He points out that the impugned G.O., is in contravention of Section 285A of the Panchayat Raj Act and also in violation of the Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in K.Krishna Murthy (supra).

He has further submitted that proviso to Section 7 of the Telangana Municipalities Act, 2019 clearly states that the total reservations shall not exceed 50 per cent of the total number of seats of the municipality.

He has submitted that through the Telangana Backward Classes Reservation Bill, the State Government is trying to amend Section 285A of the Panchayat Raj Act as well as Section 7 of the Municipalities Act.

He has also relied on the interim order in Rahul Ramesh Wagh vs. State of Maharashtra [(2022) 12 SCC 778] (Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.19756 of 2021, dated 19.01.2022), wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court had prohibited the State Election Commission from proceeding with the election programme already notified in respect of reserved seats for OBC category only, in the local bodies as the Maharashtra Ordinance No.3 of 2021 was issued by the State Government to overcome the decision of this Court in K.Krishna Murthy (supra).

³ 285A. Reservation of seats and offices in Gram Panchayats, Mandal Praja Parishads and Zilla Praja Parishads – In pursuance of the Judgments of the Supreme Court of India, the upper ceiling of 50 percent vertical reservations in favour of SCs/STs/OBCs should not be breached in the context of local self Government, and accordingly, notwithstanding anything contained in Sections 9, 17, 146, 147, 175, 176 and elsewhere in the Act providing for reservation in favour of Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and Backward Classes, the seats and offices to be reserved for backward Classes shall be so determined, duly keeping in view the requirement of reservation in respect of SCs, STs therein, that the total number of seats/offices reserved for the SCs, STs and BCs shall not exceed 50 percent of the total number of seats or as the case may be the offices, in the respective local bodies. in the manner prescribed.

He has also invited the attention of this Court to paragraph 17 of the interim order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in State of Maharashtra vs. Union of India [(2022) 12 SCC 784] to contend that the State is barred from issuing any notification without complying the triple test.

He has also invited the attention of this Court to the interim order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suresh Mahajan vs. State of Madhya Pradesh [(2022) 12 SCC 779], wherein the Supreme Court has held that until the triple test formality is completed "in all respects" by the State Government, no reservation for Other Backward Classes can be provisioned. He has referred to paragraphs 13, 14, 27 and 31 of the said order.

Submissions of Mr. B. Mayur Reddy, learned Senior Counsel representing Ms. Anjali Nishtal and Ms. Kamatam Rajitha, learned counsel for the petitioners in W.P.Nos. 30512 and 30217 of 2025:

Learned Senior Counsel has adopted the submissions of the learned Senior Counsel Mr. K. Vivek Reddy, and further submitted that when there are two laws, the law declared by the Hon'ble Supreme Court is on the higher pedestal. One law is that the law declared by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in K. Krishna Murthy (supra) in respect of local bodies, where the Supreme Court has taken inspiration from the earlier decision in Indra Sawhney vs. Union of India [1992 Supp (3) SCC 217]. He has submitted that there is an upper ceiling of 50% for reservation in favour of Backward Classes.

He has referred to paragraph 65 of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in K. Krishna Murthy (supra) to contend that the only exception to upper ceiling of 50% reservation is to the persons who are living in an area, cut-off from the normal stream of life. Insofar as State of Telangana is concerned, such an exception shall not apply.

He has relied on paragraph 123 of the decision of the Supreme Court in V.Narayana Rao vs. State of Andhra Pradesh [1986 SCC OnLine AP 116] wherein it was held that reservation of seats/posts cannot exceed 50% following the decisions in Mr.R.Balaji vs. State of Mysore [AIR 1963 SC 649].

The learned Senior Counsel has submitted that the State Government has relied on the Report of the One Man Commission while issuing the impugned G.O. However, the said Report is not published and objections are not invited. Unless the report is published and objections are invited, the said report cannot be made the basis for providing reservation upto 42% to the OBCs. In support of the said submission, he has relied on the decision of this High Court of Andhra Pradesh in Satyanarayana Reddy vs. State of Andhra Pradesh [1987 (1) ALT 665 (FB)].

Learned Senior Counsel has invited the attention of this Court to para 391 of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Dr Jaishri Laxmanrao Patil vs. Chief Minister [(2021) 8 SCC 1], wherein the proceeding of the Constituent Assembly Debates and the observation of Dr Ambedkar were referred.

He has pointed out that the Bill was sent to the Hon'ble Governor for assent on 31.08.2025 and the G.O.Ms.No.9 was issued on 26.09.2025, even before completion of one month. Therefore, it cannot be said that there is a deemed assent of the Hon'ble Governor. In support of this argument, learned Senior Counsel has relied on the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in State of Tamil Nadu vs. Governor of Tamil Nadu ((2025) 8 SCC 1).

Submissions of Mr. J.Prabhakar, learned Senior Counsel appearing for Mr. Baskula Athik, learned counsel for the petitioners in W.P.No.30218 of 2025:

As per clause 8 of the impugned GO, the One Man Commission has not conducted enquiry on empirical formula, but only on demographic formula, which is not permissible. Reservations on demographic formula can be given in respect of education and employment, to certain extent to the SCs and STs, but not to the OBCs. In support of his submission, he has relied on paragraph 60 (pg72) of the K.Krishna Murthy (supra).

Learned Senior Counsel has also submitted out that the triple test laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in K.Krishna Murthy (supra) and Vikas Kishanrao Gawali (supra) has not been complied with by the State Government.

He has further submitted that on earlier occasion also the State Government tried to give reservations to OBCs without publishing the Report.

A Full Bench of this Hon'ble Court in Satyanarayana Reddy vs. State of Andhra Pradesh [1987 (1) ALT 665 (FB)] held that the Report has to be published and the objections have to be obtained on the said Report. Therefore, the impugned G.O., is liable to be set aside.

He has also referred to the paragraphs 58 and 61 of the decision of this Court in Nimmaka Jaya Raj vs. Government of Andhra Pradesh [2012 (6) ALD 329 (DB)].

Submissions of learned counsel Ms. Purnima Kamble appearing for Ms.Altaf Fathima, learned counsel for the petitioner in W.P.No.30557 of 2025:

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the impugned G.O.Ms.No.9 has not even referred to the Panchayat Raj Act and therefore, the said G.O., is in no manner related to the Panchayat Raj Act. However, the Election Commission in its Notification dated

27.09.2025 used the expression “*in exercise of powers conferred under Section 9 and 17 of the Telangana State Panchayat Raj Act, 2018 keeping in view of amendment issued through G.O.Ms.No.9 Backward Classes Welfare (B) Department, dated 26.09.2025 ...*”. In this regard, the learned counsel has pointed out that there is no amendment in the G.O.Ms.No.9. Further, she has argued that by way of any Government Order, the amendment to the Act cannot be permitted to be done.

She has also submitted that the Election Commission by way of the said Notification has misrepresented the public at large stating that there is an amendment to the Panchayat Raj Act. Therefore, the impugned G.O.Ms.No.9, dated 26.09.2025 and the Notification dated 27.09.2025 are bad in law, illegal and arbitrary and are liable to be set aside.

Submissions of Mr. Sudarshan Malugari, learned counsel for the petitioners in W.P.No.30552 of 2025:

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioners are supporting the 42% reservation to the OBCs. However, they oppose G.O.Ms.No.9 as there is no sub-categorisation. He has pointed out that as per the Anantharaman Commission, some of the backward classes communities are most depressed and vulnerable and they shall be given priority in the reservations. Therefore, the petitioners seek a direction to the respondents to adopt existing sub-classification of backward classes in the lines of G.O.Ms.No.1793 dated 23.09.1970, as BC A/B/C/D groups for the purposes of political reservation in local bodies under Section 285A of the Panchayat Raj Act.

Submissions of learned Senior Counsel Dr. A.M.Singhvi for the State:

Learned Senior Counsel for the State has submitted that the legislation has been passed unanimously across the political spectrum

in the Legislative Assembly of the State of Telangana. Therefore, the power of judicial review, in such matter, is used only sparingly.

He has further pointed out that there is no challenge to the legislation and without any challenge to the legislation, there cannot be any challenge to the consequential action of issuing the impugned GOs and the Notification.

The learned Senior Counsel has submitted that the power of the authority issuing the impugned G.Os., is not challenged. Therefore, stay should not be granted at the interim stage.

He has pointed out that there is no constitutional prohibition that reservations should not exceed beyond 50% ceiling.

He has also submitted that the Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a number of judgments, recognised the specific power to exceed 50% reservations provided that there should be proper exercise of collecting empirical data and analysing the same. The State Government has the power of taking policy decision based on the said empirical data. He has submitted that the State Government has done proper enquiry and collected the empirical data and only thereafter has taken the policy decision to ensure that there should be proper and fair representation of the cross-section of the people in society. Therefore, the impugned GOs and the Notification are valid in law.

Learned Senior Counsel has submitted that the Socio Economic, Educational and Employment Particulars Census (SEEEPC) Survey, 2024-25 was conducted by the State which revealed that backward classes constitute approximately 56.33% of the total population.

Further, he has pointed out that on 17.03.2025 itself, the Telangana Backward Classes (Reservations of Seats in Rural and Urban Local Bodies) Bill, 2025 was unanimously passed by the legislature, which provides 42% reservation for BCs in urban and rural local bodies.

It was sent to the Hon'ble Governor on 22.03.2025 for his assent. Till date, it has neither been returned nor assented to. Therefore, after one month, Bill is deemed to have been passed. In this connection, he has relied on para 250(iii) and para 435(c) of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in State of Tamil Nadu vs. the Governor of Tamil Nadu [(2025) 8 SCC 1]. On 10.07.2025, Ordinance to amend Section 285A of the Act has been issued. Therefore, on 26.09.2025, on analysing all this data, the Government has issued the impugned G.O.Ms.Nos.9, 41 and 42.

Learned Senior Counsel has invited the attention of this Court to the Note on Report and submitted that entire exercise has been done by collecting empirical data by conducting door-to-door survey. The policy decision is taken after conducting a detailed survey.

Learned Senior Counsel has invited the attention of this Court to para 810 of Indra Sawhney (supra) and submitted that there is no absolute bar for providing reservations beyond 50%.

He has further invited the attention of this Court to paragraphs 166, 169, 171 and 172 of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Janhit Abhiyan vs. Union of India [(2023) 5 SCC 1] to submit that validity of the extent of reservations over 50% would depend upon the facts and circumstances of each case. Therefore, he submits that there is no statutory bar of upper ceiling for providing reservations and it is flexible.

He, therefore, has submitted that there is no *prima facie* case to grant any interim relief to the petitioners.

Submissions of Mr. A.Sudershan Reddy, learned Advocate General for the State:

Learned Advocate General has adopted the submissions of the learned Senior Counsel Mr. A.M.Singhvi appearing for the State. He has

further submitted that a unanimous resolution (Item No.21) has been passed by the Council of Ministers of the Telangana State Legislative Assembly to conduct a door-to-door and comprehensive socio-economic survey within a period of 60 days. The survey report was accepted by the Members of the Assembly unanimously without opposition from any of the opposite parties. Hence, the resolution to conduct the survey as well as the resolution accepting the survey report is nothing but the resolution of the people at large. The survey report has been accepted by the people. It has recorded that the BC household proportion is 57.3%. Therefore, on the basis of the said survey, 42% is fixed for the purpose of providing reservation. There has been no dispute from anybody, therefore the question of publication of the report does not arise. The fixation of 42% reservation for OBCs cannot be found fault with as the report is based on scientific and empirical data evolved from a survey conducted on a door-to-door basis.

The learned Advocate General has reiterated the submissions of Mr. A.M.Singhvi, learned Senior Counsel that since the Bill was sent for President's assent on 30.03.2025, in view of judicial pronouncement in State of Tamil Nadu vs. the Governor of Tamil Nadu [(2025) 8 SCC1], it would amount to a deemed assent and as such it has become an Act. He has referred to the amendment to Section 285A of the Panchayat Raj Act, which came into effect on 15.12.2018 by way of Act No.4. Legislative competence of the State is not an issue. Local authorities for the purposes of local self Governments or village administration fall within Entry 5 of List II. In view of Article 243D(6) of the Constitution of India, assent of the President is not necessary. For amendment to Section 285A of the Panchayat Raj Act, on the earlier occasion the assent of Governor was given. By an ordinance dated 10.07.2025 necessary amendment has been made to Section 285A of the Panchayat Raj Act.

Learned Advocate General further submitted that reservation which is provided for higher education and employment cannot be applicable to political reservations. Therefore, borrowing 50% from Indra Sawhney (supra) is not correct. It is further submitted that Article 243-O of the Constitution of India is a specific bar from interference in Poll process especially when the election notification has already been issued. He has referred to Sections 197 and 198 of the Panchayat Raj Act. Therefore, at this stage, no interim order be passed to stall the election process. The reservation of 42% to BC population should not be interfered with. He has distinguished the case of C.Satyanarayana Reddy (supra) from the present one.

Submissions of Professor Ravivarma Kumar, learned Senior Counsel for the State:

He submits that many of the aspects have already been covered by learned Advocate General and Mr. A.M.Singhvi, learned Senior Counsel. He submits that the decision in M.R.Balaji (supra) laid the foundation for 50% rule. He relied on paragraph 31 at Page No.662 and stated that nowhere there is a prescription that there should be 50% cap on reservations. The Constitution of India does not spell out any such limitations. The Supreme Court for the first time has invented the formula to safeguard the interest of the rest of the citizens other than SCs, STs and BCs. He questioned the fundamental right of the petitioners or the rest of the society that is affected by the reservations now provided. It is contended that petitioners have no fundamental right or constitutional right. Right to contest and vote is not a fundamental right. He relied on decisions of the Supreme Court in M.P.Ponnuswamy (supra) and Jyoti Basu v. Debi Ghosal [(1982) 1 SCC 691]. No right of any candidate much less a forward caste is affected by this reservation. He relied on Article 243D(6) of the Constitution of India and submitted that it does not fix any limitation on the reservation in favour of BC and supported the reservation of

42%. As regards the case in Vikas Kishanrao Gawali (supra), he submits that the same has followed the decision in K.Krishna Murthy (supra), wherein the relevant provisions were not noticed. He has referred to the case of S.V.Joshi v. State of Karnataka [(2012) 7 SCC 41], Tamil Nadu which provided 69% reservation. According to him, if there is a deemed assent, it would amount to a deemed publication.

We have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners Mr. K.Vivek Reddy, Mr. B.Mayur Reddy, Mr. J.Prabhakar, Ms. Purnima Kamble and Mr. Sudarshan Malugari and Dr. A.M.Singhvi, learned Senior Counsel, Mr. A.Sudarshan Reddy, learned Advocate General and Prof. Ravivarma Kumar, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the respondent State.

The impugned G.O.Ms.No.9 relates to the provision of reservation upto 42% to the Backward Classes in the State of Telangana. As a result of the impugned G.O., the total reservation has gone upto 67%. Before the impugned G.O., was issued, the extent of reservation for SC and ST category were 15% and 10% respectively, and 25% for the OBCs, which was within the ceiling limit of 50% as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Vikas Kishanrao Gawali (supra).

The decision in Vikas Kishanrao Gawali (supra) is rendered specifically in case of reservation in the local bodies. In Vikas Kishanrao Gawali (supra), following the ratio laid down by the Constitution Bench in K.Krishna Murthy (supra), the Hon'ble Supreme Court has laid down that the triple test is mandatorily to be complied with for reservation of seats in the local bodies for OBC candidates. The opinion of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in this regard, at paragraphs 13, 14, 16, 19, 27 and 28 are extracted hereunder:

"13. Be that as it may, it is indisputable that the triple test/conditions required to be complied with by the State before reserving seats in the local bodies for OBCs has not been done so far. To wit, (1) to set up a dedicated Commission to conduct contemporaneous rigorous empirical inquiry into the nature and

implications of the backwardness qua local bodies, within the State; (2) to specify the proportion of reservation required to be provisioned local body-wise in light of recommendations of the Commission, so as not to fall foul of overbreadth; and (3) in any case such reservation shall not exceed aggregate of 50 per cent of the total seats reserved in favour of SCs/STs/OBCs taken together. In a given local body, the space for providing such reservation in favour of OBCs may be available at the time of issuing election programme (notifications). However, that could be notified only upon fulfilling the aforementioned preconditions. Admittedly, the first step of establishing dedicated Commission to undertake rigorous empirical inquiry itself remains a mirage. To put it differently, it will not be open to the respondents to justify the reservation for OBCs without fulfilling the triple test, referred to above.

14. As regards Section 12(2)(c) of the 1961 Act inserted in 1994, the plain language does give an impression that uniform and rigid quantum of 27 per cent of the total seats across the State need to be set apart by way of reservation in favour of OBCs. In light of the dictum of the Constitution Bench, such a rigid provision cannot be sustained much less having uniform application to all the local bodies within the State. Instead, contemporaneous empirical inquiry must be undertaken to identify the quantum qua local body or local body specific.

16. The argument of the respondent State that the reservations in favour of OBCs must be linked to population, is very wide and tenuous. That plea if countenanced, will be in the teeth of the dictum of the Constitution Bench of this Court wherein it has been noted and rejected. The Court has expounded about the distinction in the matter of reservation in favour of SCs and STs on the one hand, which is a “constitutional” reservation linked to population unlike in the case of OBCs which is a “statutory” dispensation. Therefore, the latter reservation for OBCs must be proportionate in the context of nature and implications of backwardness and in any case, is permissible only to the extent it does not exceed the aggregate of 50 per cent of the total seats in the local bodies reserved for SCs/STs/OBCs taken together.

19. Thus understood, the impugned notifications issued by the State Election Commission reserving seats for OBCs in the local bodies concerned, suffer from the vice of foundational jurisdictional error. The impugned notification(s) to the extent it provides for reservation for OBCs in the local bodies concerned, is, therefore, void and without authority of law.

27. In conclusion, we hold that Section 12(2)(c) of the 1961 Act is an enabling provision and needs to be read down to mean that it may be invoked only upon complying with the triple conditions (mentioned in para 13 above) as specified by the Constitution Bench of this Court, before notifying the seats as reserved for OBC

category in the local bodies concerned. Further, we quash and set aside the impugned notifications to the extent they provide for reservation of seats for OBCs being void and non est in law including the follow-up actions taken on that basis. In other words, election results of the OBC candidates which had been made subject to the outcome of these writ petitions including so notified in the election programme concerned issued by the State Election Commission, are declared as non est in law and the vacancy of seat(s) caused on account of this declaration be forthwith filled up by the State Election Commission with General/Open candidate(s) for the remainder term of the local bodies concerned, by issuing notification in that regard.

28. As a consequence of this declaration and direction, all acts done and decisions taken by the local bodies concerned due to participation of members (OBC candidates) who have vacated seats in terms of this decision, shall not be affected in any manner. For, they be deemed to have vacated their seat upon pronouncement of this judgment, prospectively. This direction is being issued in exercise of plenary power under Article 142 of the Constitution of India to do complete justice."

(emphasis supplied)

Much argument has been advanced on behalf of the State that the triple test has been complied with by setting up of a dedicated Commission to conduct a contemporaneous rigorous empirical enquiry into the nature and implications of the backwardness *qua* local bodies within the State; and specifying the proportion of reservations to be provisioned local body wise in the light of the recommendations of the Commission. It is submitted that criteria that such reservation shall not exceed aggregate of 50% of the total local seats reserved in favour of the SCs/STs/OBCs taken together is not an inflexible rule. In order to justify this contention, the learned Senior Counsel for the State has referred to the decisions in the case of *Indra Sawhney* (supra) and *Janhit Abhiyan* (supra), which relates to 10% reservation for economically weaker sections.

Reference is also made to the decision rendered earlier by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *M.R.Balaji* (supra), in order to submit that the ceiling of 50% is not an inviolable rule, rather can be gone above in exceptional circumstances which are not limited to States in far-flung areas, such as the North-eastern States. However, as observed above,

the decision in *Vikas Kishanrao Gawali* (supra) relates specifically to reservation for OBCs in local bodies in all the States.

On behalf of the petitioners, reliance has been placed upon a number of interim orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court relying on the decision in *Vikas Kishanrao Gawali* (supra), such as the interim order passed in *Suresh Mahajan v. State of Madhya Pradesh* [(2022) 12 SCC 770], of which paragraphs 13 and 27 are extracted as under:

“13. For, until the triple test formality is completed “in all respects” by the State Government, no reservation for Other Backward Classes can be provisioned; and if that exercise cannot be completed before the issue of election programme by the State Election Commission, the seats (except reserved for the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes which is a constitutional requirement), the rest of the seats must be notified as for the General category.

27. Acceding to the argument set forth on behalf of the State of Madhya Pradesh would be overlooking and in fact a case of violation of the successive directions given by this Court to the State Election Commission to speed up the election process in respect of local bodies where elections are due/overdue and to proceed without providing reservation for Other Backward Classes (but limited to the constitutional reservation for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes) until the completion of triple test formality by the State “in all respects”. As and when, the formalities of triple test are completed, that can be reckoned for future elections to be held thereafter. However, elections which are already due need not and cannot be delayed on that count in view of the constitutional mandate.”

(emphasis supplied)

It would be appropriate to reproduce the direction of the apex Court at paragraph 31 quoted hereunder:

“31. We also make it clear that this order and directions given are not limited to the Madhya Pradesh State Election Commission/State of Madhya Pradesh; and Maharashtra State Election Commission/State of Maharashtra in terms of a similar order passed on 4-5-2022 [*Rahul Ramesh Wagh v. State of Maharashtra*, (2022) 12 SCC 798] , but to all the States/Union Territories and the respective Election Commission to abide by the same without fail to uphold the constitutional mandate.”

(emphasis supplied)

Reliance has also been placed on paragraphs 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 of the interim order passed in the case of *Rahul Ramesh Wagh vs. State of Maharashtra* [(2022) 12 SCC 778]. Reference has also been made to the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *State of Maharashtra (supra)*, wherein following the decision rendered by the apex Court in *Vikas Kishanrao Gawali (supra)*, the Supreme Court observed as under:

“ 17. The question is, whether the Court should accede to the request made by the State of Maharashtra and interveners to stay the entire elections, awaiting the report of the Commission. In that regard, reliance has been placed on the observation made by the Constitution Bench in *K. Krishna Murthy v. Union of India* [*K. Krishna Murthy v. Union of India*, (2010) 7 SCC 202 : (2010) 2 SCC (L&S) 385] , in para 64 which states that reservation in favour of OBC in local self-government, until necessary steps are taken to identify the percentage, rule of thumb is to give proportionate reservation to OBC. It is true that the rule of thumb can be of proportionate reservation but as ordained by the three-Judge Bench of this Court relying upon the Constitution Bench judgment, it is imperative to abide by the triple test requirement. That is the precondition to be complied with by the State authorities and the State Election Commission to provide for reservation for OBC in the local bodies concerned.”

(emphasis supplied)

We may also refer to the interim order dated 19.01.2022 passed in the case of *Rahul Ramesh Wagh (supra)*, in relation to compliance of triple test laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Vikas Kishanrao Gawali (supra)*. The relevant observation and direction of the Hon'ble Supreme Court quoted hereunder provide insight as to such similar dispensation to be followed regarding compliance of triple test by all the States or the Union Territories, if they intend to conduct election of local Government and provide for reservation for OBC category:

“We reiterate that similar dispensation be followed including regarding the compliance of triple test by all States or the Union Territories, if they intend to conduct election of local Government and provide for reservation for OBC category. In case, the State or the Union Territory is not in a position to fulfil the triple test requirement and the election to any of its local body cannot be postponed beyond the statutory period, the concerned (State) Election Commission ought to notify proportionate seats as open category seats, and proceed with the elections of the local bodies.”

We are, therefore, of the *prima facie* view that the respondents/State have failed to adhere to the criteria of 50% upper ceiling as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Vikas Kishanrao Gawali (supra)* by issuance of G.O.Ms.Nos.9, dated 26.09.2025, whereby 42% reservation has been provided to the OBCs in the local bodies, thereby breaching the ceiling of 50% to a total of 67% reservation in local bodies.

Arguments have also been advanced relying upon the provisions of Article 243-O of the Constitution of India that since the elections have been notified on 29.09.2025, this Court should not interfere in the process of election. In this regard, we may observe that the process of conduct of election is not being stayed by this Court. The G.O.Ms.No.9, dated 26.09.2025, which increased the percentage of reservation to OBCs to 42% and the consequential G.O.Ms.Nos.41 and 42, dated 26.09.2025, are being stayed, till the matter is finally decided.

We are further strengthened by the observations of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its interim order dated 19.01.2022 passed in the case of *Rahul Ramesh Wagh (supra)* quoted above, wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court observed that in case, the State or the Union Territory is not in a position to fulfil the triple test requirement and the election to any of its local body cannot be postponed beyond the statutory period, the concerned State Election Commission ought to notify proportionate seats as open category seats and proceed with the elections of the local bodies.

Therefore, following the directions of the apex Court in the case of *Rahul Ramesh Wagh (supra)*, since the impugned notification providing reservation up to 42% has been stayed by this Court, the State Election Commission would notify the proportionate seats as open category seats and proceed with the elections of the local bodies.

We have also taken into consideration the observations made by the apex Court in *Vikas Kishanrao Gawali (supra)*, at paragraphs 27 and 28, which are also extracted hereunder:

“27. In conclusion, we hold that Section 12(2)(c) of the 1961 Act is an enabling provision and needs to be read down to mean that it may be invoked only upon complying with the triple conditions (mentioned in para 13 above) as specified by the Constitution Bench of this Court, before notifying the seats as reserved for OBC category in the local bodies concerned. Further, we quash and set aside the impugned notifications to the extent they provide for reservation of seats for OBCs being void and non est in law including the follow-up actions taken on that basis. In other words, election results of the OBC candidates which had been made subject to the outcome of these writ petitions including so notified in the election programme concerned issued by the State Election Commission, are declared as non est in law and the vacancy of seat(s) caused on account of this declaration be forthwith filled up by the State Election Commission with General/Open candidate(s) for the remainder term of the local bodies concerned, by issuing notification in that regard.

28. As a consequence of this declaration and direction, all acts done and decisions taken by the local bodies concerned due to participation of members (OBC candidates) who have vacated seats in terms of this decision, shall not be affected in any manner. For, they be deemed to have vacated their seat upon pronouncement of this judgment, prospectively. This direction is being issued in exercise of plenary power under Article 142 of the Constitution of India to do complete justice.”

In order to avoid such an irreversible consequence, it is therefore proper to stay the impugned G.O.Ms.Nos.9, 41 and 42, dated 26.09.2025.

We refrain from expressing any opinion on the merits of the contentions raised by rival parties, at the stage of interim relief, which are to be dealt with at the time of final hearing.

Therefore, in view of the aforesaid discussion and reasons recorded, there shall be interim stay of impugned G.O.Ms.Nos.9, 41 and 42, dated 26.09.2025.

The interlocutory applications are accordingly disposed of.

Four weeks time is allowed to the State to file the counter affidavit.

Two weeks thereafter, is allowed to the petitioners to file reply affidavits, if so advised.

Post the writ petitions on 03.12.2025 for hearing.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- T. SRINIVAS REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Chief Secretary, State of Telangana, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana Backward Classes Welfare(B) State, State of Telangana, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, State of Telangana, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad
4. The Principal Secretary, General Administration(Cabinet) Department, State of Telangana, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.
5. The Principal Secretary, Law and Legislative Department, State of Telangana, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad
6. The Chief Electoral Officer, State Election Commission, Telangana, PTI Building, 1st Floor, DTCP Building, Opp AC Guards, Hyderabad, Telangana - 500004
7. The District Collector, Medchal Malkajgiri Medchal Malkajgiri District, Telangana
8. The District Collector, Peddapalli, Peddapalli District, Telangana.
9. Talakokkula Raju, S/o. T.Yallaiah, Occ: Advocate, R/o. 3-41-374, Plot No.15/Laxmi Nagar, Near AOC Gate, Picket, Nehrunagar, Secunderabad.
10. C.Shanthappa S/o. Late Yellappa, Occ: Advocate. R/o. H.No. 1-8-1. Plot No. 34, Baghlingampally, Hyderabad.
11. Jajula Srinivas Goud, S/o. Late Sattaiah. Occ: National President, B.C. Welfare Association. R/o. Plot No. 502, Royal Maruthi Homes, Street No.1. Habsiguda, Hyderabad.
12. R.Krishnaiah, S/o. Sri R. Advappa, Occ: Politician (Member of Parliament, Rajya Sabha), R/o. 1-9-669/43/A. Vidyanagar, Hyderabad-500 044
13. Adi Srinivas, S/o. Govindu, Member of Legislative Assembly and also Government Whip, permanent R/o. Rudrangi Vg., Rudrangi Mandal, (erstwhile, Chendurthi Mandal). Rajanna Sircilla District. presently residing at Hyderabad.
14. Mr. Togarla Chiranjeevulu. S/o. Late Sri Naresh. President. B.C. Intellectuals Forum. A Registered Society (Vide Regd. No. 16/2025). under the Telangana Societies Registration Act. 2001, 2-2-3/B/1&2/501, Flat No. 501, Sneha Nilayam, C Lane, DD Colony, Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad. Telangana.
15. C. Vinay Kumar. S/o. Vinod Kumar. (Ex-Secretary, Hyderabad District Committee of Telangana NSUI). R/o. H.No. 1-8-185. Street No.10. Chikkadpally. Hyderabad.

16. Goute Ganesh, S/o. Goute Pochaiah, Occ: Shiv Sena Greater Hyderabad President. Sirisilla Constituency Incharge, R/o. 3-5-1038, Beside, PRTU Office, Narayanaguda, Himayathnagar, Hyderabad-500 029
17. Sri Chintapandu Naveen @ Teenmar Mallanna, S/o. Bagayya, President, Telangana Rajyadhikara Party, Occ: Journalist, Plot No.10 Part, Sy. No. 95, 2nd Floor, FCA Godown Road, Chanikyapuri Colony, Mallapur, Medchal Malkajgiri District.
18. Sudagani Hari Sankar Goud, S/o. Rajaiah Goud, General Secretary, Telangana Rajyadhikara Party, Occ: Advocate, Plot No.10 Part, Sy. No. 95, 2nd Floor, FCA Godown Road, Chanikyapuri Colony, Mallapur, Medchal Malkajgiri District, R/o. Varoor Village, Mootakonda Mandal, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District, Telangana State.
19. V.Hanumantha Rao, S/o. Late V. Laxmaiah, Occ: Ex-MP(RS) R/o. H.No. 2-2-185/56/14/B, DD Colony, Bhaghamberpet, Hyderabad, State of Telangana-500 013
20. R. Laxman Yadav, S/o. late Narsaiah Yadav, Occ: General Secretary of All India Yadava Maha Sabha, R/o. CIB Quarters, Nehru Nagar, Kachiguda, Hyderabad.
21. Mettu Sai Kumar, S/o. Mettu Jai Ram, Occ: President of Fisheries Cooperative Society, Government of Telangana, R/o. H.No. 11-1-519-520, Aghapura, Mahankali Temple, Bhoiguda Kaman, Nampally, Hyderabad.
22. Gujja Sathyanarayana S/o. Yadagiri, Occ: National Vice President of National Backward Classes Welfare Association, R/o. Plot No. 81, H.No. 2-1-280/2, Road No.6, Mamta Nagar, Nagole, Ranga Reddy District.
23. Allampally Ramakoti, S/o. Late Krishnaiah, Occ: President of Backward Classes (BC) Welfare Association, Regd. No. 795/2020, R/o. Plot No. 170, Road No.8, Sri Srinivasapuram Colony, Vanasthalipuram, L.B. Nagar, Hyderabad, Ranga Reddy District.
24. Kasulavada Shankaraiah, S/o. Ramaiah, Occ: Member of Legislative Assembly of Shadnagar Constituency, R/o. Shadnagar, Ranga Reddy District.
25. G. Ananthaiah, S/o. Yadaiaha, R/o. H.No. 9-32, Road No. 4A, Dwarakhapuram, Dilsukhnagar, Ranga Reddy District.
26. Cherukula Rajender, S/o. Late Cherukula Chandraiaha, Occ: President of BC Sangam. State of Telangana, Regd. No. 166 of 2021, R/o. H.No. 2-740/15/25, VK Dhage Nagar, Opposite Red Cross Hospital, Gaddiannaram, Saroornagar, Ranga Reddy District.
27. Pochaboina Srihari, S/o. Balaiah, Occ: President of Telangana Sheep Breeders Cooperative Society, R/o. H.No. 2-30, Kasthuripally, Sikandlapur, PO: Sikindlapur, Medak District.
28. Gujja Sri Krishna, S/o. Late G. Sri Ramulu, Occ: Convenor, National BC Welfare Association, R/o. Vidyanagar, Hyderabad.
29. Kasani Veeresh Mudhiraj, S/o. Late K. Krishna, Occ: Social Service, Designation: Telangana BC Maha Sabha Honorary President, R/o. 1-50, Bachpally, Quthbullapur, Ranga Reddy District.
30. Dr. Ravula Jagadeeshwar Prasad Mudiraj, S/o. Late Goverdhan, Occ: General Secretary, Telangana State Mudiraj Mahasabha, Regd. No. 24/ 954, R/o. H.No. 5-11-585, Sri Nagar colony, Opp: S B H K U, Hanamkonda, Warangal District.
31. K.Chandraiah Mudiraj, S/o. Late Lingaiah, Occ: Organizing Secretary, Telangana State Mudiraj Mahasabha, Regd. No. 24/1954, R/o. H.No. 8-56, Maheshwaram, Ranga Reddy District.
32. Tejavath Bellaiah Naik, S/o. Echa Naik, Occ: Chairman of Telangana Tribal Development Finance Corporation, R/o. H.No. 1-5-57/A/104, Street No.8, VV Nagar, Secunderabad, Hyderabad.

33. Pandula Saidulu, S/o. Pandula Maraiah, Occ: State Coordinator of Telangana Vidya Vanthula Vedika, R/o. Yarragudem, Marupaka, Nalgonda District.
34. Nakka Srinivas, S/o. Late Venkateswarlu, Occ: Law Student, R/o. Sunyapahad Village, Palakedu Mandal, Suryapet District.
35. Komireddy Vijay Azad, S/o. Late Komireddy Ramulu, Occ: Advocate, R/o. Vellula Village, Metpally Mandal, Jagtial District.
36. D.Vijaypal Reddy, S/o. Late Narasimha Reddy, General Secretary, Federation of Farmers Associations in Telangana, R/o. 1-7-19/A, JS Nagar, Street No.8, Habsiguda, Hyderabad-500 007.
37. M/s. Jatiya Nayeebrahmanna Seva Sangam (Nabhik Samaj, a regd. Society No. 430/2020, rep. by its President, Mr. Jagadish Kumar Awar, S/o. Late Bagaiah Awar, office at H.No. 6-3-309/A, Panjagutta, Poshamma Basti, Hyderabad, Telangana.
38. Mr. Mangali Chinna Adi Murthy, S/o. M.C. Adenna, Occ: Advocate, R/o. H.No. 13-152, Mallikarjuna Nagar Colony, Badangpet, Kanchanbagh, Hyderabad, Telangana.
39. D. Bhaskar Prajapathi, S/o. Pandaiah, Occ: BC Vidyarthi Sangam State Convenor, H.No. 17-13A, Janga Reddy Pally Village, Amangal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
40. Makkam Singh Raj Takur, S/o. Kishan Singh, Occ: Member of Legislative Assembly (MLA), Telangana State, R/o. H.No. 3-5-174, Masjid Turning, Ramagundam Town, Peddapalli District.
41. K. Indira @ Indira Shoban D/o. Jangaiah, Occ: TPCC Official Spokes Person, R/o. Flat No. 203 & 303, Vasundhara Grand Apartments, Gandhi Nagar, Musheerabad, Hyderabad-500 080
42. Niradi Bhupeshsagar, S/o. N. Laxminarayana, Occ: President Greater Hyderabad BC Welfare Association, R/o. 29-1508/1/7/2/1, Hill Colony, Neredmet, Malkajgiri, Medchal District, PIN 500 056
43. Singam Charan Koushik, S/o. Singam Veeramallu, Occ: TPCC Spokes Person, R/o. H.No. 1-9-15/123 & 129, Koushikis Woods, Flat No. 101, Lane 20, Ravindra Nagar Colony, Street No.8, Habsiguda, Uppal, Secunderabad, PO Jama I Osmania, Hyderabad District.
44. Kunamneni Sambasiva Rao, S/o. Venkata Rattaiah, State Secretary, Communist Party of India, Telangana, State Council, Telangana, Maqdoom Bhavan, Himayathnagar, Hyderabad, R/o. Quarter No. 601, MLA Quarters, Himayathnagar, Hyderabad.
45. Krishna Moorthy Prayaka Rao, S/o. Late Rajaiah, Occ: Secretary, Jana Adhikara Samiti, presently, R/o. Flat No.310, VSS Nandadeep Apartments, Beside RTO Office, Kompally-500 067.
46. Mohammed Shabbir Ali, S/o. Mohammed Masoom, Occ: Advisor to SC/ST/OBC/Minorities Welfare Dept., Govt. of Telangana. Secretariat, Hyderabad. R/o. H.No. 8-2-293/82/O/J3/211, Road No. 76, Jubilee Hills, Shaikpet, Hyderabad.
47. Ailaboyena Ramesh Yadav, S/o. Ailaboyena Papaiah, Occ: National Secretary, All India Yadav Mahasabha, R/o. 14-158, Siddanthi, Shamshabad, Ranga Reddy District.
48. Narayanavarapu Srinivasarao, S/o. Chalamaiiah, Occ: President, Khammam District, R/o. H.No. 1-42, BC Colony, Sathupalli, Rejarla, District Khammam-507 303. Telangana State.
49. Naganamoni Chenna Ramulu, S/o. N. Venkata Swamy, Occ: President, Backward Classes Federation, (BSF), R/o. 3-4-155, RTC Colony, LB Nagar, Rangareddy, Telangana.
50. L.Sravani, W/o. L Srikanth Goud, Occ: General Secretary, Telangana State BC Womens Association, Dundigal, KV Ranga Reddy, Telangana State-500 043.

51. Vemula Venkata Ramakrishna, S/o. Vemula Yadaiah, Occ: President, Telangana BC Students Association, R/o. 1-8-150, Rajendranagar, Rangareddy District, Telangana-500 030.
52. Yerra Satyanarayana, S/o. Late Balappa, Occ: President, Telangana Backward Class Welfare Association, Hyderabad-500 044, R/o. 2-3-479, Plot No. 27, Road No. 3, Sri Sai Nagar Colony, Nagole, Hyderabad.
53. Dr. P. Vinaya Kumar, S/o. Late Shiva Shankar, Occ: Special Gastroenterologist, R/o. H.No. 1-76, Shambala, Near: GMR Township, Mamidipally Post, Keshavagiri, Hyderabad, Telangana-500 005.
54. Mekapothula Narendar Goud, S/o. Mekapothula Goud, Occ: Political Aspirant, R/o. State Office, BC Bhavan, H.No. 3-6-427/1, 2nd Floor, St.No. 4 & 5, Beside Taruni Jewellers, Himayat Nagar, Hyderabad.
55. Ponnamp Devaraju S/o. Ponnamp Rajayya, Occ: Indian Legal Professional Association President Telangana State, R/o. H.No. 1-1-31/ii, 45B, Saketh Township, Kapra Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District, Hyderabad, Telangana-500 103.
56. G. Shiva Kumar S/o. Venkataiah, Occ: BC Association State Working President, R/o. H.No. 1-70/2, Village: Lagacherla, Mandal: Dudyal, Vikarabad, Vikarabad District.
57. Jilla Shiva Kumar, S/o. Late J. Bachaiah Govind Rao, R/o. Flat No. 201, B-1, H.No. 1-1-385, Purushotham Residency, Gandhi Nagar, Musheerabad, Secunderabad, Hyderabad.
58. Mandadi Anil Kumar Yadav, S/o. Mandadi Anjan Kumar Yadav, Occ: Member of Parliament (Rajya Sabha), R/o. H.No. 20-1-586, Golla Khidiki, Hussaini Alam, Bahadurpura, Hyderabad-500 064.
59. Lokanaboina Venkataramana Mudiraj, S/o. Lokanaboina Mallaiah, Occ: Social Activist and Founder President of the Telangana State Fishermen's Welfare Society (TRMS), R/o. H No. 6-1-439, Srinivas Nagar Colony, Ramagiri, Nalgonda.
60. Dr. Nijjana Ramesh Mudiraj, S/o. Late Nijjana Pochaiah, Occ: Social Activist and Telangana Janasamithi State General Secretary, R/o. Plot No. 34, Seethaphalmandi, Near Water Tank, Secunderabad, Hyderabad.
61. Bheema Lakshmana Murthy, S/o. Late Narsaiah, Occ: Social Activist, R/o. Flat No. 102, Gayatri Towers, Gayatri Nagar, Moula-Ali, ECIL, Hyderabad-500 040.
62. Gopal Mosam, S/o. Mosam Komuraiah, Occ: Social Worker, R/o. H.No. 3-4-42/1, Temple Road, Ramagundam, Peddapalli District.
63. Kancharla Keshava Rao, S/o. K. Niranjan Rao, Occ: Social Activist & Advisory to the Government, R/o. H.No. 8-2-696/21A, NBT Nagar, Road No.12, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.
64. Teegala Praveen, S/o. Vaikuntan Goud, R/o. 3-3-107, Kummari Galli, Siricilla, Rajanna Siricilla Mandal & District.
65. Gundaveni Thirupathi, S/o. Ramaiah, R/o. Venkamapally Village, Gabngadhara Mandal, Uppara Mallial, Karimnagar District-505445.
66. The State of Telangana, Represented by Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, DR. B.R. Ambedkar, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
67. The State of Telangana, Represented by Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department DR. B.R. Ambedkar Secretariat, Hyderabad.
68. Mr. Togarla Chitanjeevulu, S/o. Late Sri Naresh, President, B.C. Intellectuals Forum, A Registered Society (Vide Regd. No. 16/2025), under the Telangana Societies Registration Act, 2001, 2-2-3/B/1&2/501, Flat No. 501, Sneha Nilayam, C Lane, DD Colony, Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad, Telangana.

69. Ms. G. Bhargavi, President, Gareeb Guide (Voluntary Organization) accredited by the Telangana State Legal Services Authority, Vide No. 01-TSLSA/ACRDT/RR/2015, dated: 12-03-2015, H/o. 7/36, Bahar, Sahara States, Mansoorabad, LB Nagar, Hyderabad (Temporarily Regional Office: Plot No. 72, Kandlakoya (V), Medchal (M), Medchal Malkajgiri District.
70. Dasu Suresh Kumar S/o. Late Raghuram Dasu, Occ: President, BC Rajyadhikara Samithi, R/o. Flat No. 8, Block 13, Apoorva Encalve, Baghlingampally, Near Sundaraiah Park, Hyderabad, TG-500 044.
71. Muddasani Kodanda Ram Reddy, S/o. Muddasani Janardhana Reddy, Occ: Professor and Former Member of Legislative Council, R/o. H.No. 12-13-578, Tarnaka, Nagarjuna Nagar, Hyderaabad, Telangana State.
72. Kanthi Mohan Reddy, S/o. Muttanna, Occ: Advocate, R/o. H.No. 5-89, Ibrahimpatnam PO, Ibrahimpatnam, Jagitial District.
73. The District Collector, Karimnagar District, Karimnagar, Telangana.
74. The District Collector, Vikarabad District, Vikarabad.
75. G. Niranjan, Chairman, Telangana Backward classes Commission, Office at 6-2-915, 4th Floor, Rear Block, HMWS and SB Building, Khairatabad, Hyderabad - 500 004.
76. Busani Venkateshwara Rao, Chairman, Telangana Backward Classes Dedicated Commission, (Tasked with BC Reservation in local bodies) Office at Office at 6-2-915, 4th Floor, Rear Block, HMWS and SB Building, Khairatabad, Hyderabad - 500 004.
77. The Commissioner, State Election Commission, Telangana, 1st Floor, DTCP Building, Opp. PTI Building, AC Guards, Hyderabad, Telangana - 500 004.
(Addressees 1 to 77 by SPEED POST)
78. One CC to Sri K. Buchi Babu, Advocate (OPUC)
79. One CC to Ms. Anjali Nishtal, Advocate (OPUC)
80. One CC to Ms. Kamatam Rajitha, Advocate (OPUC)
81. One CC to Sri Baskula Athik, Advocate (OPUC)
82. One CC to Sri K. Ram Reddy, Advocate (OPUC)
83. One CC to Sri G. Arun Kumar, Advocate (OPUC)
84. One CC to Sri Sudarshan Malugari, Advocate (OPUC)
85. One CC to Ms. Altaf Fathima, Advocate (OPUC)
86. One CC to Sri Mohammed Abdul Wahab, Advocate (OPUC)
87. Two CC to Advocate General, High Court at Hyderabad (OUT)
88. One CC to Sri P. Sudheer Reddy, SC for State Election Commission(OPUC)
89. Two spare copies

HIGH COURT

HCI
&
GMMJ

DATE: 09.10.2025

NOTE: POST ON 03.12.2025 FOR HEARING

ORDER

IA Nos. 1 and 2 OF 2025 IN WP NO: 30217 OF 2025, IA. Nos. 1 and 2 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30218 of 2025, IA. No. 1 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30274 of 2025, IA. Nos. 1 and 2 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30279 of 2025, IA. No. 1 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30335 of 2025, IA. No. 1 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30503 of 2025, IA. Nos. 2 and 3 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30512 of 2025, IA. No. 1 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30552 of 2025, IA. Nos. 1 and 2 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30557 of 2025 and IA. Nos. 1, 2 and 3 of 2025 IN WP. No. 30615 of 2025

INTERIM STAY

