



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**



D.B. Review Petition (Writ) No. 46/2018
IN

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No.2858/2004

1. Nathdwara Temple Board - Established And Constituted Under The Nathdwara Temple Act, 1959 – Through its Chief Executive Officer, Govind Bhawan, Nathdwara, District- Rajsamand.
2. Goswami Shri Rakesh Ji Maharaj, Present Occupant Gaddi Of Shri Tilkayat Ji Maharaj, Resident Of Moti Mahal, Nathdwara, District- Rajsamand And Also At Vallabh Darshan, Poddar Estate, Santa Cruz West, Mumbai- 54.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. Dhirendra Manaharbhai Tharanariwala, Hindu, Resident Of 89, Yamuna Nagar, Ghuddod Road, Surat- Gujarat.
2. Sanjay Sardarchand Patel, Hindu, Resident Of 6, Sector-6, Chankyapuri, Gahatlodia, Ahmedabad- Gujarat.
3. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Devasthanana Department, Secretarait, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vineet Dave Mr. Kaushik Dave
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sandeep Soni & Mr. Sukhdev Sharma for Mr. B. L. Bhati, AAG

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINIT KUMAR MATHUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI**

Order

03/10/2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the review petitioners submits that the direction Nos. 8 & 10 issued by this Court vide order dated 03.10.2017 primarily pertains to setting-up of Trauma Centre & National Level Institution at Nathdwara by the State Government/State functionaries. He submits that the





Nathdwara Temple Board is already giving assistance and aid to set-up the Trauma Centre & National Level Institution at Nathdwara, however, they cannot be saddled with the responsibility of setting-up the Trauma Centre & National Level Institution.

3. Learned counsel further submits that the temple board is ready and willing to contribute at its best ability for setting-up of a well equipped Trauma Centre and to establish a National Level Institution for promoting Art and Culture at Nathdwara. He also submits that the Nathdwara Temple Board is already contributing to a great extent on those two aspects and still the temple board is ready and willing to contribute for setting-up two centres for the best services of the general public visiting Nathdwara. Learned counsel, therefore, prays that the direction Nos. 8 & 10 issued by this court may be modified to the extent that the Trauma Centre & National Level Institution will be set-up by the State Government/State functionaries and the Nathdwara Temple Board will contribute to its best possible extent. He also prays that the other directions of which, the petitioners are aggrieved of may be permitted to argue during the final hearing of the writ petition itself.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents are not in a position to refute the submissions made by the learned counsel for the review petitioners, however, he submits that the dispensary, which is set-up inside the temple premises, is required to be maintained by the Nathdwara Temple Board itself. He also submits that the other two directions issued by this Court may be modified to the extent as prayed for by the learned counsel for the review petitioners.



5. We have considered the submissions made at the Bar and gone through the relevant record of the case including the order dated 03.10.2017.
6. In our considered view, the direction Nos. 8 & 10 issued by this Court is primarily with regard to setting-up of Trauma Centre & establishment of National Level Institution for promoting Art and Culture.
7. In the considered opinion of this Court, these two establishments are required to be developed by the State Government/State functionaries and since the Nathdwara Temple Board is having a major role to play, therefore, it will also be the bounden duty of the Nathdwara Temple Board to provide all the assistance including financial assistance for establishment of those two centres.
8. We are of the view that the two centres cannot be developed by the Nathdwara Temple Board on its own and they can only help the State Government/State functionaries for setting up of these two centres of the national level. To that extent, the direction Nos. 8 & 10 issued by this Court stand modified.
9. The present writ review petition stands disposed of in the above terms.
10. It is also ordered that it will be open for the learned counsel of the review petitioners to argue all the points of which he is aggrieved at the time of final hearing of the writ petition.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J**(VINIT KUMAR MATHUR),J**

13-SunilS/Shahenshah/-