

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 54/2024

SAVE MON REGION FEDERATION & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 02-12-2025 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, AOR
Ms. Neha Rathi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Rauf Rahim, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anil Shrivastav, A.A.G.
Ms. Avni Shrivastav, Adv.
Mr. Mohsin Rahim, Adv.
Mr. Ali Asghar Rahim, Adv.
Mr. Anil Shrivastav, AOR

Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General
Mr. K M Nataraj, A.S.G.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR
Mr. Madhav Sinhal, Adv.
Mr. Vinayak Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Shankar Dixit, Adv.
Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Sarthak Karol, Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Satija, Adv.
Mr. Aman Mehta, Adv.

Mr. K.m. Nataraj, A.S.G.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR
Mr. Vinayak Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Anuj Udupa, Adv.

Mr. Anmol Chandan, Adv.

Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Prashant Bhushan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and Mr. Rauf Rahim, learned senior counsel appearing for the State of Arunachal Pradesh, to some extent.

During the course of arguments, it was pointed out that the details furnished by the respondents in their counter affidavit and additional affidavit were confined only to the Work Orders and Tenders relating to District Tawang, State of Arunachal Pradesh.

This submission was made on the ground that the writ petition and the subsequent orders passed by this Court, related solely to District Tawang and the controversy was confined to District Tawang only.

We do not find any such confinement or limitation or restriction in the pleadings/petition nor has any earlier order of this Court limited the scope of the matter to District of Tawang.

At this stage, Mr. Rauf Rahim, learned senior counsel, prays for a reasonable time being allowed

to file detailed affidavit covering all the Districts of Arunachal Pradesh, other than District Tawang, as the details concerning District Tawang have already been provided.

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, learned counsel, submits that even with respect to District Tawang, the information provided is not complete/up to date and therefore, the respondents may be directed to file comprehensive affidavit covering all the Districts, including District Tawang.

We make it clear that details as required and as indicated in the earlier orders of this Court, pertain only to the period between 2015 to 2025 and not prior to 2015.

For the said purpose, eight weeks' time is granted to the respondents to file the affidavit.

List the writ petition again on 3rd February, 2026.

(SONIA BHASIN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR-CUM-PS

(RANJANA SHAILEY)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR