IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.4692 OF 2024
(@ PETITION(S) FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CRL.) NO. 9517/2021)

RAJKUMAR DAITAPATI APPELLANT

VERSUS

DIRECTOR ENFORCEMENT RESPONDENT

O R D E R

Leave granted.

During the course of hearing, our attention is drawn to the tripartite agreement dated 08.10.2010 which refers to the figure of ₹92,00,000/- (Rupees Ninety-Two Lakhs). It is stated that the appellant, Rajkumar Daitapati, has deposited only ₹80,00,000/- (Rupees Eighty Lakhs) with the Reserve Bank of India.

Further, during the course of hearing, the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the appellant has agreed to the deposit of a further sum of ₹20,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty Lakhs) with the Reserve Bank of India within a period of three weeks from today. Reserve Bank of India may permit the complainants, Tom Izaks and other depositors, to repatriate the said monies after complying with the formalities.

In view of the statement made and subject to compliance and deposit as directed, the appellant, Rajkumar Daitapati, shall be released on bail in the event of being arrested in connection with

ECIR No. JPZ0/1/2015 (Sessions Case No.37 of 2021) (Enforcement Directorate vs. Rajkumar Daitapati) for the offences punishable under Section 3 read with Section 4 of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002, on the terms and conditions fixed by the trial Court. In addition, the appellant will comply with the provisions mentioned in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

The impugned judgment is set aside and the appeal is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Grant of anticipatory bail would not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

..................CJI.
(SANJIV KHANNA)

....................J.
(SANJAY KUMAR)

NEW DELHI;
NOVEMBER 21, 2024.

ITEM NO.4 COURT NO.1 SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

PETITION(S) FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CRL.) NO. 9517/2021

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11-11-2021 in SBCMBA No. 16124/2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jaipur]

RAJKUMAR DAITAPATI PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

DIRECTOR ENFORCEMENT RESPONDENT(S)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.160350/2021-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 21-11-2024 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Atmaram N S Nadkarni, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Salvador Santosh Rebello, AOR
Mr. Raghav Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Jaskirat Pal Singh, Adv.
Ms. Kritika, Adv.
Ms. Deepti Arya, Adv.
Ms. Manisha Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General (NP)
Mr. K M Nataraj, A.S.G.(NP)
Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, Sr. Adv.(NP)
Mr. Zoheb Hussain, Adv.
Mr. Kanu Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel, the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order. Pending

application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

The order inter alia reads as under :

> ***"In view of the statement made and subject to compliance and deposit as directed, the appellant, Rajkumar Daitapati, shall be released on bail in the event of being arrested in connection with ECIR No. JPZ0/1/2015 (Sessions Case No.37 of 2021) (Enforcement Directorate vs. Rajkumar Daitapati) for the offences punishable under Section 3 read with Section 4 of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002, on the terms and conditions fixed by the trial Court. In addition, the appellant will comply with the provisions mentioned in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023."***

(GEETA AHUJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed Order is placed on the file)