

ITEM NO.9                      Court 3 (Video Conferencing)                      SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Miscellaneous Application No.      422-423/2022 in C.A. No. 6124-6125/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 30-09-2021 in C.A. No. No. 6124/2021 30-09-2021 in C.A. No. No. 6125/2021 passed by the Supreme Court Of India)

RAJEEV SABHARWAL & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.12760/2022-EXTENSION OF TIME  
IA No. 12760/2022 - EXTENSION OF TIME)

WITH

MA 424-425/2022 in C.A. No. 6122-6123/2021 (XVII)

(FOR

FOR EXTENSION OF TIME ON IA 21504/2022

IA No. 21504/2022 - EXTENSION OF TIME)

MA 426-427/2022 in C.A. No. 6122-6123/2021 (XVII)

(FOR

FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 24864/2022

IA No. 24864/2022 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION)

Date : 11-03-2022 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.T. RAVIKUMAR

For Parties(s)

Mr. Neeraj Kishan Kaul, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Ritin Rai, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Liberhan, Adv.

Mr. Jatin Mongia, Adv.

Ms. Deepti Sarin, Adv.

Ms. Tahira Karanjawala, Adv.

Mr. Vasu Singh, Adv.

Mr. Toshiv Goyal, Adv.

Mr. Dhruv Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Raghav Agrawal, Adv.

Ms. Tahira Kathpalia, Adv.

Ms. Ritika, Adv.

M/S. Karanjawala & Co., AOR

Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG  
Mr. K.M. Nataraj, LD ASG.  
Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, Adv.  
Mr. Sughosh Subramanyam, Adv.  
Ms. Neela Kedar Gokhale, Adv.  
Ms. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, AOR

M/S. Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas Aor, AOR  
Mr. Siddharth Batra, AOR

Mr. Namit Saxena, AOR  
Mr. Sonali Chopra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

MA Nos.422-423/2022, MA 424-425/2022 and MA Nos.426-427/2022

Reliefs claimed in the concerned application(s) are overlapping. Hence, these applications are disposed of by this common order.

The question is: whether two months further time need be given to the Tribunal to conclude the proceedings, beyond the time noted in the order dated 30.09.2021 passed by this Court in Civil Appeal Dairy No.5221 of 2021?

We have perused the order dated 24.01.2022 passed by the Tribunal. In light of the factual aspects noted in the said order, we do not find any fault with the Tribunal for its inability to conclude the proceedings within the time specified in the order dated 30.09.2021.

The order also indicates that neither party had protracted the proceedings as such.

Taking relevant aspects into account, by way of indulgence, we extend the time period specified in the order dated 30.09.2021 upto four weeks from today.

It is made clear that if the Tribunal is unable to conclude the proceedings within four weeks from today, the self-operative order dated 30.09.2021 must come into play. In which case, the administrator shall take necessary steps for installing the duly elected Committee by conducting elections. No further extension request will be entertained.

Learned counsel for the private parties, in particular Mr. Neeraj Kishan Kaul, learned senior counsel, has assured that full cooperation will be extended to the Tribunal for early completion of the proceedings. That assurance is accepted.

In view of the above, the miscellaneous applications are disposed of.

(DEEPAK SINGH)  
COURT MASTER ()

(VIDYA NEGI)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)