

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 32470/2025

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 07-03-2025 in OA No. 103/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras]

M L RAVI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DAYANITHI MARAN & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 250893/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING / CURING THE DEFECTS, IA No. 250892/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No. 250891/2025 - PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON)

Date : 15-12-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Divya Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Yashika Anand, Adv.
Mr. E. Krishnaperumal, Adv.
Mr. Roshan Chapagain, Adv.
Mr. Shiv Kumar, Adv.
Mrs. Suresh Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Narender Kumar Verma, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner at a considerable length and perused the impugned judgment of the High Court dated 07.03.2025 with his able assistance.
3. It seems that the view taken by the High Court is based upon a correct appreciation of the evidence on record. The election petitioner has miserably failed to establish that it was respondent

No.1, who got advertisements issued in the newspaper during the silence period, or that the propaganda, if any, that was made during the silence period was at his instance. This being a question of fact, which has been determined by the High Court on appreciation of evidence on record, we see no ground to interfere with the same. The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand closed.

(NITIN TALREJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR