

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 38697/2025

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 10-07-2025 in WPC No. 9362/2025 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

SATISH KUMAR AGGARWAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MAULANA ARSHAD MADANI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 167065/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT AND IA No. 167064/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE PETITION (SLP/TP/WP/..))

WITH

ITEM NO. 38

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 647/2025

(IA No. 156604/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. AND IA No. 156603/2025 - GRANT OF INTERIM RELIEF)

AND

SLP(C) No. 18316/2025 (XIV)

(IA No. 161697/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 25-07-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Barun Kumar Sinha, Adv.
Mrs. Pratibha Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Sneh Vardhan, Adv.
Mr. Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Chhail Bihari Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Mudgal, Adv.
Mr. Ritu Raj, Adv.
Mrs. Kanika, Adv.
Mr. Sidhant Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Anantha Narayana M.g., AOR

Mr. Gaurav Bhatia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Pulkit Agarwal, AOR
Dr. Syed Rizwan Ahmed, Adv.
Mr. Shreeyash U Lalit, Adv.

Mr. Sudhanshu Kaushesh, Adv.
Mr. Neelmani Guha, Adv.
Mr. Vaibhav Dabas, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Shubh Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Tushar Bhatnagar, Adv.
Mr. M. A. Chaudhary, Adv.
Mr. Utkarsh Singh, Adv.

Dr. Menaka Guruswamy, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Pyoli, Adv.
Mr. Ejaz M. Qureshi, Adv.
Ms. Shaswati Parhi, Adv.
Ms. Bhumika Yadav, Adv.
Ms. Pyoli, AOR

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Fuzail Ahmad Ayyubi, AOR
Mr. Ibad Mushtaq, Adv.
Ms. Rupali Samuel, Adv.
Ms. Rashmi Singh, Adv.
Ms. Sumedha Ray Sarkar, Adv.
Ms. Akanksha Rai, Adv.
Ms. Gurneet Kaur, Adv.
Mr. Mujahid Ahmad, Adv.
Mr. Shahid Nadeem, Adv.
Mr. Hasan Raza Khan, Adv.

Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General (NP)
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.
Mr. Bhuvan Kapoor, Adv.
Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 647/2025

1. Dr. Menaka Guruswamy, learned senior counsel states that the petitioner has filed a separate writ petition before the High Court (Diary No. E-5070018/2025) challenging the order passed by the Central Government on 21.07.2025 and in view thereof, the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous. The same is accordingly disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to pursue the writ petition that he has filed before the High Court.

2. The High Court is requested to take up the writ petition, subject to curing of defects, if any, on 28.07.2025 for preliminary hearing.

SLP (C) Diary No. 38697/2025, SLP(C) No. 18316/2025 and W.P. (Civil) No. 693/2025

3. W.P. (Civil) No. 693/2025 is taken on Board.

4. Permission to file Special Leave Petition is granted.

5. Mr. Gaurav Bhatia, learned senior counsel for the petitioner(s) states that in view of subsequent events, namely, the passing of order by the Central Government under Section 6 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 on 21.07.2025 and the petitioner(s) having agreed to abide by that order, the instant Special Leave Petition(s) have been rendered infructuous. The same are accordingly disposed of.

6. At this stage, Mr. Kapil Sibal, learned senior counsel for the first respondent points out that the said respondent has filed a Writ Petition before this Court under Article 32 of the Constitution (W.P. No. 693/2025) challenging the order dated 21.07.2025 passed by the Central Government. Since, in the connected matter, we have relegated the writ petitioner to approach the High Court as he has already filed a writ petition, we direct the Registry of this Court to return the original paperbook of the above-mentioned writ petition to the learned AOR to enable respondent No. 1 (writ petitioner) to present the same before the High Court of Delhi. As requested in the connected matter, the High Court is requested to take up the said writ petition for

hearing on 28.07.2025. W.P. (Civil) No. 693/2025 is accordingly disposed of.

7. It is clarified that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits and all the contentions raised by the parties are available and open to them to be raised before the High Court. The High Court shall be at liberty to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

(NITIN TALREJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR