

ITEM NO.801

COURT NO.7

SECTION XI-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos.20680-20681/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 14-07-2025 in WA No. 1160/2025 & WA No. 1165/2025 passed by the High Court of Kerala at Ernakulam]

THE CHANCELLOR, APJ ABDUL KALAM TECHNOLOGICAL
UNIVERSITY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KERALA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 28-11-2025 These petitions were mentioned today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Venkita Subramoniam T.R, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. C. K. Sasi, AOR
Dr. K.K. Geetha, Adv.
Ms. Meena K. Poullose, Adv.
Ridhi Bose, Adv.
Rishi Agarwal, Adv.
Ms. Racheeta Chawla, Adv.
Ms. Sampriti Baksi, Adv.

Ms. Jaikriti S. Jadeja, AOR

Mr. Abhilash M.R., Adv.
Mr. Sayooj Mohandas M., Adv.
Manjari Singh, Adv.
Ann Melvin, Adv.
For M/s. M R Law Associates, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. These matters were mentioned in the morning by Mr. Jaideep Gupta, the learned Senior counsel appearing for the State of Kerala.

2. In due deference to our order dated 18-8-2025 passed in SLP(C) Nos.20680-20681/2025, Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sudhanshu Dhulia, former Judge of this Court undertook the necessary exercise and has prepared a report accordingly. The Report has been forwarded to the Chief Minister of the State. The Chief Minister in turn has forwarded the report to the learned Chancellor of the Universities.

3. It is expected of the learned Chancellor to now look into the Report filed by the Committee headed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sudhanshu Dhulia and proceed further in the matter accordingly.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the Chancellor would submit that the report has been received but the record has not been received.

5. At this stage, Mr. Jaideep Gupta brought to our notice that the entire record has been sent to the Office of the learned Chancellor.

6. We fail to understand why the non-receipt of the record is coming in the way of looking into the report filed by the Committee.

7. In such circumstances, referred to above, we now expect the learned Chancellor to take an appropriate decision in accordance with the Report of the Committee. We may remind one and all that the Committee was appointed with the concurrence of both the parties.

8. Post this matter next week on top of the Board.

(VISHAL ANAND)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)