

ITEM NO.38

COURT NO.2

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 45534/2024

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17-09-2024
 in W.P.(C) No. No. 295/2022 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

SUMMAST PATNI MUSSLIM JAMAT

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAJESH MANJHU, THE STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 227713/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

WITH

Diary No(s). 50311/2024 (PIL-W)

(IA No. 16476/2025 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No.
 16478/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 16477/2025 -
 PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 31-01-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. I.H. Syed, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Pyoli, AOR

Mr. A.S.Timbalia, Adv.

Ms. Saumya Dwivedi, Adv.

Mr. Anas Tanwir, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General

Mr. Gursharan H. Virk, Adv.

Mr. Kanu Agarwal, Adv.

Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, Adv.

Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, AOR

Mr. Sidhartha Dave, Sr. Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. NOS. 16476 OF 2025

1. This application has been filed in the contempt petition challenging the breach of the orders passed by this Court, vide which no demolitions were to be permitted, except with prior permission of this Court.
2. The order of which contempt is alleged had excepted the unauthorized construction on public streets, Government land, footpaths and areas abutting a railway-line, river body or water body.
3. Shri Tushar Mehta, learned Solicitor General appearing on behalf of the respondent-State submits that the land belongs to the Government and that the entire unauthorized construction, including the temples belonging to Hindu religion have been demolished.
4. He further makes a categorical statement that no activities, including the Hindu religious rituals are being permitted on the said land which was under encroachment.
5. In that view of the matter, we do not find that the prayer made in these application can be granted without hearing the main petition(s).
6. The applications is, accordingly, rejected.

(DEEPAK SINGH)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(ANJU KAPOOR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)