

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 49083/2024

CHANDRAPRABHA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

Date : 24-10-2024 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Nisha Tiwari, Adv.
Ms. Rupali Panwar, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Arun Mishra, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

After perusing the petition and after hearing the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, we find that very objectionable and as also irrelevant averments have been made in the petition. Some of the averments cast aspersions on the learned Single Judge. We were, therefore, not inclined to entertain this petition.

However, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that she wants to canvass the issue whether the Hon'ble Judges are bound to give reasons for recusal, especially when recusal happens after the case is fully heard.

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to file a proper fresh petition in terms of prayer clause (a).

We, accordingly, dismiss the Writ Petition as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

We, however, make it clear that today, we have not made any decision on the question whether it will be appropriate to lay down the guidelines regarding recusal.

(ANITA MALHOTRA)
AR-CUM-PS

(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER