

ITEM NO.26

COURT NO.16

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 13988/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-09-2025
in CRLA No. 211/2022 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New
Delhi]

GULFISHA FATIMA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)

Respondent(s)

IA No. 225756/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT

IA No. 227697/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 225759/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 227696/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

WITH

SLP(Crl) No. 14030/2025 (II-D)

IA No. 226324/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT

IA No. 226325/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 228742/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

IA No. 226323/2025 - PERMISSION TO PLACE ADDITIONAL FACTS AND
GROUND

SLP(Crl) No. 14132/2025 (II-D)

IA No. 228367/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT

IA No. 228368/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 228598/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

SLP(Crl) No. 14165/2025 (II-D)

IA No. 228788/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT

IA No. 228789/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

SLP(Crl) No. 14859/2025 (II-D)

SLP(Crl) No. 15335/2025 (II-D)

IA No. 244885/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

SLP(Crl) No. 17055/2025 (II-D)

IA No. 268882/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

Date : 03-12-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. ANJARIA**

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Siddharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kartik Murukutla, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Farrukh Rasheed, AOR
Ms. Deeksha Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Dev, Adv.
Ms. Shifa, Adv.

Mr. Gautam Khazanchi, Adv.
Mr. Vaibhav Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Bilal Mansoor, Adv.
Ms. Aishwarya Singh, Adv.
Ms. Pooja Deepak, Adv.
Ms. Anshala Verma, Adv.
Mr. Mansoor Ali, AOR
Mr. Shivansh Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Rubina Jawed, Adv.
Ms. Saima Jawed, Adv.

Mr. Salman Khurshid, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Bilal A Khan, Adv.
Ms. Anshu Kapoor, Adv.
Ms. Sidra Khan, Adv.
Mr. Varun Bhati, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Singh, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Singh, AOR
Ms. Mariya Mansuri, Adv.

Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. C. U. Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Trideep Pais, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Sanya Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Sahil Ghai, Adv.
Mr. N. Sai Vinod, AOR
Ms. Aparajita Jamwal, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Pahwa, Adv.
Ms. Saloni Ambastha, Adv.
Ms. Sakshi Jain, Adv.
Mr. Abhik Chimni, Adv.
Ms. Bidya Mohanty, Adv.
Ms. Katyayani Suhrud, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Kalaiyarsan, Adv.
Mr. Aekansh Agarwal, Adv.
Ms. Kanu Garg, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Aggarwal, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Shri Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Faraz Maqbool, Adv.
 Mr. Kumar Vaibhaw, Adv.
 Ms. Sana Juneja, Adv.
 Ms. A. Sahitya Veena, Adv.
 Ms. Deepshikha, Adv.
 Ms. Arunima Nair, Adv.
 Mr. Vismita Diwan, Adv.
 Ms. Arshiya Ghosh, Adv.
 Mr. Sidhant Saraswat, Adv.
 Ms. Somaya Gupta, Adv.
 Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR
 Ms. Chinmayi Chatterjee, Adv.
 Ms. Swati Khanna, Adv.

Dr. Abhishek Manu Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Sarim Naved, Adv.
 Mr. Harsh Bora, Adv.
 Ms. Maulshree Pathak, AOR
 Mr. Shahid Nadeem, Adv.
 Mr. Amit Bhandari, Adv.
 Mr. Muhammad Ali Khan, Adv.
 Mr. Omar Hoda, Adv.
 Ms. Eesha Bakshi, Adv.
 Ms. Namrah Nasir, Adv.
 Mr. Uday Bhatia, Adv.
 Mr. Siddharth Srivastava, Adv.
 Mr. Surya Kiran, Adv.

Mr. Siddhartha Dave, Sr. Adv.
 Ms. Fauzia Shakil, AOR
 Mr. Talib Mustafa, Adv.
 Mr. Ahmad Ibrahim, Adv.
 Ms. Tasmiya Taleha, Adv.
 Ms. Alekhya Shastry, Adv.
 Ms. Raksha Agrawal, Adv.
 Ms. Ayesha Zaidi, Adv.
 Mr. Abhishek Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Kartik Venu, Adv.
 Mr. Jeet Chakrabarti, Adv.
 Mr. Sourav Verma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)	Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General Mr. Suryaprakash V Raju, A.S.G. Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR Ms. Kanu Agarwal, Adv. Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv. Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv. Mr. Samrat Goswami, Adv. Ms. Sairica S. Raju, Adv.
-------------------	---

Mr. Aman Mehta, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Arguments have been advanced on both the sides.
2. Substantially, we are of the considered view that in reply arguments, the time schedule requires be fixed. Hence, we direct learned counsel for the parties to restrict their oral arguments not exceeding 15 minutes each and clarifications, if any, by learned Solicitor General shall not be exceeded by half an hour.
2. List the matter on 09.12.2025.

(KANCHAN CHOUHAN)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER (NSH)