

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).30486/2024

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 29-11-2024 in IA No.5/2024 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

T.D RAJEGOWDA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

D.N JEEVARAJA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R.)

Date : 03-01-2025 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Devadatt Kamat, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR  
Mr. Ashwin C., Adv.  
Mr. Plaritosh S.M., Adv.  
Ms. Keerthi K. Reddy, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Desai, Adv.  
Mr. Harsh Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Shaurya R. Rai, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Ms Shaymsundar, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Ashima Mandla, AOR  
Ms. Mandakini Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Vipul Pathak, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. Heard learned senior counsel for the parties at a considerable length and perused the record, including the decisions of this Court relied upon by both sides.
2. In view of the specific liberty granted to the petitioner-returned candidate in paragraph 7 of our order dated 27.09.2024

passed in C.A. No.11013/2024, in terms whereof he is entitled to raise objection not only against the admissibility but also on the 'relevance' of the documents/material/proof to be relied upon by the election-petitioner in support of the allegations contained in paragraph 23 or some subsequent paragraphs of the Election Petition, we are satisfied that there is no necessity to entertain the subsequent application under Order VI Rule 16 CPC.

3. The special leave petition is, accordingly dismissed.

4. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ARJUN BISHT)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI T.C.)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR