

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 29820-29836/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09-10-2025 in IA No. 1/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 2/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 1/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 1/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 1/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 1/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 1/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 2/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 3/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 1/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 1/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 3/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 2/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 2/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 2/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 2/2025 09-10-2025 in IA No. 2/2025 passed by the High Court for The State of Telangana at Hyderabad]

THE STATE OF TELANGANA & ORS. ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BUTTEMGARI MADHAVA REDDY & ORS. ETC.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 264017/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

Date : 16-10-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Abhishek M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sudershan Reddy, Advocate General
Mr. T. Rajnikanth Reddy, A.A.G.
Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR
Mr. Amit Bhandari, Adv.
Mr. Srikanth Varma Mudunuru, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Abhishek, Adv.
Mr. P. Venkataraju, Adv.
Mr. Yatharth Kansal, Adv.
Mr. Dhananjay Yadav, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Gopal Sankara Narayan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Sudhakar Deshmukh, AOR
Mr. B. Athik, Adv.
Mr. Tushar Shrivastav, Adv.

Ms. Suchita Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Mayur Saavarkar, Adv.

Mr. K. Vivek Reddy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Somiran Sharma, AOR
Ms. Kamatam Rajitha, Adv.

Mr. Sudarshan Malugari, Adv.
Mr. Hitendra Nath Rath, AOR
Mr. Neelakanti Pandaiah, Adv.
Ms. Laxmi, Adv.

Mr. D. Ramakrishna Reddy, Adv.
Ms. D. Bharathi Reddy, AOR
Mr. Nishant Sharma, Adv.
Ms. D. Tejaswi Reddy, Adv.
Ms. Adviteeya, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We are not inclined to interfere with the
impugned judgment(s)/order(s) of the High Court.
Accordingly, the Special Leave Petitions are dismissed.

It is made clear that dismissal of the present
petitions will not effect the High Court in deciding
the pending writ petition on its own merits.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand
disposed of.

(SONIA BHASIN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR-CUM-PS

(RANJANA SHAILEY)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR