

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

M.A.....D.No.63441 of 2025

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.4011 of 2024

V. SENTHIL BALAJI

... APPELLANT

Versus

THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR

... RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. After hearing Mr. Kapil Sibal and Mr. Narendra Hooda, learned Senior Counsel for the applicant/appellant, Mr. Zoheb Hussain, learned counsel for the Enforcement Directorate, and Mr. Pranav Sachdeva, learned counsel for the complainant and perusing the record, we deem it appropriate to make the following modifications to the directions contained in judgement dated 26.09.2024:

i. Clause (c) of paragraph 31 shall be read as under:

“(c) The appellant shall appear before the Deputy Director, Directorate of Enforcement at Chennai as and when so required. For this purpose, an advance notice shall be given to the appellant;”

ii. Clause (e) of paragraph 31 is clarified to the extent that as and when, on account of any specific hardship,

the applicant/appellant is unable to appear before the Special Court, he shall apply for exemption. Such an application may be considered by the Special Court as per its own merit.

2. The instant MA stands disposed of accordingly.

3. As a result, the pending interlocutory application also stands disposed of.

.....CJI.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(JOYMALYA BAGCHI)

NEW DELHI;
DECEMBER 08, 2025.

ITEM NO.48

COURT NO.1

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATIONDiary No(s).63441/2025 In
Crl.A.No.4011/2024

V. SENTHIL BALAJI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 282058/2025 - MODIFICATION)

Date : 08-12-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Narender Hooda, Sr. Adv.
Dr. Ram Sankar, Adv.
Mrs. Harini Ramsankar, Adv.
Mr. N Bharanikumar, Adv.
Mr. C Pandian, Adv.
Ms. Sumedha Ray Sarkar, Adv.
Mr. Yuvraj Nandal, Adv.
Mr. S Anand, Adv.
Mr. Sushant Singh, Adv.
Mr. Muthu Ganesa Pandian, Adv.
For M/S. Ram Sankar & Co, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Adv.
Mr. Arkaj Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, Adv.
Ms. Sansriti Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Balaji Srinivas, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR
Mr. Aman Mehta, Adv.
Ms. Aakriti Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Anushka Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Sweety Chauhan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The MA stands disposed of in terms of the signed order.

As a result, the pending interlocutory application also stands disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(signed order is placed on the file)