



WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO. 471/2025

ITEM NO.17

COURT NO.1

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO. 471/2025

VIKRAM SINGH

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

(IA No. 288872/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 12-11-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. ANJARIA

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rahul Kaushik, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Aparna Bhat, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Tanveer Ahmed Mir, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mohit Mathur, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Pragya Baghel, Adv.
Mr. Meenesh Kumar Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Vikram Panwar, Adv.
Mr. Narveer Dabas, Adv.
Mr. Karanveer Tyagi, Adv.
Mr. Navneet Panwar, Adv.
Mr. Vijay Bishnoi, Adv.
Mr. Avnish Rana, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Dagar, Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Mudgil, Adv.
Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Adv.
Mr. Keshav Singh, Adv.

Mr. Md. Imran Ahmad, Adv.
Mr. Sajal Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Ariana D. Ahluwalia, Adv.
Mr. Arjun Singh Bhati, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel, the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. We have heard Mr. Vikas Singh, learned senior counsel for the petitioner.
2. It is submitted by the leaned senior counsel for the petitioner that the police officers working in the STF Gurugram were in communication with the petitioner, who is a lawyer and is representing some of the accused persons in connection with FIR No. 58/2024. At page nos. 20-21 of the writ petition, the transcript of a conversation between one Shri Deepak Dagar, who works as an ASI, and the petitioner is placed on record. It is the contention of the petitioner that on persuasion of the said Shri Deepak Dagar, he went to the police station. However, on going to the police station, without furnishing him

the grounds of arrest, he was taken into custody.

3. Mr. Vikas Singh, learned senior counsel submits that this is totally impermissible in view of the judgment of this Court in Criminal Appeal No. 2195 of 2025, titled "*Mihir Rajesh Shah Vs. State of Maharashtra and Another*¹".

4. Issue notice, returnable on 19.11.2025.

5. Dasti service, in addition, is permitted.

6. In addition to the usual mode, liberty is granted to the petitioner to serve notice through the standing counsel for the respondents.

7. Taking into consideration the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, we are inclined to grant interim protection to the petitioner. The petitioner is an Advocate by profession and, as such, he is not likely to flee away from the ends of justice.

8. By way of an ad-interim order, we therefore, direct the respondents to forthwith release the petitioner on bail on the petitioner furnishing bail bond in the sum of ₹10,000/- in connection with FIR No. 58/2024, registered at Police Station Sector-8, Faridabad,

Haryana.

9. The Registrar concerned of this Court to communicate this order to the Commissioner of Police, Gurugram.

**(POOJA SHARMA)
AR-CUM-PS**

**(ANJU KAPOOR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**