

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).19287/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 13-11-2025 in WP No.7447/2025 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

B.S. YEDDYURAPPA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATING DEPARTMENT

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 308343/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No.310245/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 308342/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES, IA No. 310243/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

WITH

Item No.44

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).19294/2025
(IA No. 308456/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 02-12-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Siddharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Patil, Adv.
Mr. Kush Chaturvedi, AOR
Mr. Shubhranshu Padhi, Adv.
Mr. Karl P. Rustomkhan, Adv.
Mr. Suhail Ahmed, Adv.
Mr. Parv Arora, Adv.
Mr. Jay Nirupam, Adv.
Mr. Syed Faraz Alam, Adv.
Mr. Pramothesh Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. Atharva Gaur, Adv.
Ms. Ayesha Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Dave, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Aman Varma, AOR
Ms. Riya Wasade, Adv.
Ms. Minal Mishra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. It is *inter alia* contended that in the previous round of litigation before the High Court, i.e., W.P. No.18538/2024, the High Court in paragraph 21 (v) & (vi) had expressly clarified that the said Court has not answered any of the contentions advanced by learned senior counsel on behalf of the petitioners "except the submission with regard to the order of taking cognizance". It was further clarified that "all other contentions shall remain open" and that "the petitioner is at liberty to avail all such remedy as is available in law".
2. However, in paragraphs no.14 and 17 of the impugned judgment, the Coordinate Bench has misconstrued the previous decision dated 07.02.2025 while holding that, in light of the decision in the previous round, the petitioner cannot be heard on merits.
3. Issue notice for the limited purpose as to why the case be not remanded to the High Court to decide afresh in light of the liberty granted to the petitioner vide judgment dated 07.02.2025, returnable on 19.01.2026.
4. Dasti, in addition, is permitted.
5. Meanwhile, trial proceedings shall remain stayed.

(ARJUN BISHT)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR