

ITEM NO.47

COURT NO.12

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL)..... Diary No. 7440/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-12-2020 in WC No. 19744/2020 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

THE SAMAJWADI PARTY

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

[IA No. 144964/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING; IA No. 144967/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING / CURING THE DEFECTS; IA No. 144965/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT; IA No. 144966/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.; IA No. 145203/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.; IA No. 144962/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES; IA No. 145202/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES and IA No. 144963/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE PETITION (SLP/TP/WP/..)]

Date : 16-06-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

[PARTIAL COURT WORKING DAYS BENCH]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Siddhartha Dave, Sr. Adv.
M/S. Lawfic, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The application seeking permission to file the special leave petition is allowed.
2. Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner.
3. The special leave petition suffers from

gross delay of 988 days. In addition thereto, it is clear that the writ petition wherein the impugned order was passed by the High Court was by one 'Anand Singh Yadav' (Proforma respondent No.5) claiming to be the President of the petitioner-Party. However, the petitioner-Party alienates itself from the said petition. The writ petition before the High Court was withdrawn by the aforesaid writ petitioner (respondent No.5). The High Court categorically denied liberty for filing of a fresh writ petition to the writ petitioner therein on the same cause of action.

4. Hence, we are of the firm view that the aforesaid order cannot be treated to be prejudicial to the rights of the petitioner party which is at liberty to avail of suitable remedy to ventilate its grievances before the appropriate forum including the High Court.

5. With the aforesaid observations, the special leave petition is dismissed as being devoid of merit.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SNEHA DAS)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(SAPNA BANSAL)
COURT MASTER (NSH)