

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL)

@ DIARY NO(S). 8034/2025 IN W.P.(C) NO. 295/2022

AZMATUNNISA & ANR.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

VISHAL BHARDWAJ & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

(IA No. 40200/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 17-02-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Huzefa Ahmadi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. M.r.shamshad, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abdul Qadir Abbasi, AOR
Mr. Kamran Abbasi, Adv.
Mr. Arun Chandra Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Maaz Rehman Khan, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Pratap Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. We have heard Shri Huzefa Ahmadi, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners.
2. It is submitted that the structure in question was constructed on a private land owned by the petitioners. The construction thereon was also with the due sanction of the Municipal Authorities as per the sanction order dated 25.09.1999. It is further submitted that the said sanction was sought to be revoked by the Municipal Authorities vide order dated 18.04.2000. However, the said revocation was set aside by the High Court vide order dated 12.04.2006. The effect being that the sanction is still in vogue.
3. He further submits that on the basis of a complaint alleging that the structure in question is constructed on the State land,

the matter was inquired by the SDM, Hata. The SDM carried out an inspection and also issued a Press Note on 22nd December, 2024. As per the inspection, the construction was found to be in accordance with the sanction plan and it also notes that such of the construction which was found to be unauthorized was removed by the petitioners themselves. It is therefore submitted that in this premises the demolition which is made is in egregious contempt of the directions issued by this Court vide judgment and order dated 13.11.2024.

4. Issue notice, returnable in two weeks, as to why proceedings for contempt should not be initiated against the alleged contemnors/respondents.

5. Dasti service, in addition, is permitted.

6. It is made clear that the alleged contemnors/respondents need not remain personally present unless so specifically directed by this Court.

7. It is directed that until further orders, there shall be no further demolition of the structure in question.

(NARENDRA PRASAD)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(ANJU KAPOOR)
COURT MASTER