

212 COCP-1121-2017 (O&M) & COCP-1182-2017(O&M)
RAV PRATAP SINGH V/S ANURAG AGGARWAL & ORS

Present: Mr.Saurabh Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner in COCP-1121-2017.

Mr.Ritvik Garg, Advocate and
Mr.Suvan Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner in COCP-1182-2017.

Mr.Viren Sibal, Advocate
for the applicant in CM-374-375-CII-2024.

Mr.Gaurav Mahunta, Advocate and
Mr. Pratyush Sood, Advocate for MC Chandigarh.
Mr. Raja Agnihotri, Advocate
for respondent-MC (Pb.) in both the petitions.

Mr.Jaivir S. Chandail, Addl. Standing Counsel
for respondents no.1 and 3-U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr.T.S. Sidhu, Advocate for M.C. Punjab.

Mr.H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for M.C.Patiala.

Mr.Farheen Bajwa, Advocate for MC-Phagwara.

Ms.Gurneet Sagoo, Advocate and
Mr.Ajay Jain, Advocate for MC- Khamano.

Mr.Kunal Dawar, Advocate and
Ms.Shruti Mandhotra, Advocate for respondent no.4
in COCP-1121-2017.

Mr.A.S. Manaise, Advocate for MCs Amritsar and Pathankot.

Ms. Ravisha Mahajan, Advocate
for Municipal Council (Punjab).

Mr.D.K. Singal, Advocate for MCs Kapurthala, SAS Nagar,
Abohar, Batala, Ludhiana and Jalandhar.

Mr.Abhilaksh Gaind, Advocate and
Mr. Rakesh Roy, Advocate for MCs -
Sri Anandpur Sahib, Barnala, Bhawanigarh, Dera Bassi,
Faridkot, Fazilka, Ferozepur, Gobindgarh, Gurdaspur, Jagraon,
Khanna, Kharar, Kotkapura, Lalru, Malerkotla, Malout, Mansa,
Sri Muktsar Sahib, Nabha, Nakodar, Nangal, Nawanshehar,
Rajpura, Ropar, Samana, Sangrur, Sunam, Zirakpur.

Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

Mr.Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

It is brought to the notice of this Court that in the present petitions, implementation of the judgment of the Division Bench dated 28.04.2015 with respect to implementation of the scheme with respect to stray dog bites is sought. It is further brought to the notice of this Court that the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide its judgment dated 22.08.2025 passed in *Suo Moto Writ Petition (Civil) no.5 of 2025* and other connected matters, had passed the following directions:-

“35. For this purpose, we hereby direct the impleadment of all States and Union Territories in this matter through the Secretaries concerned of the Animal Husbandry Department, Secretaries of the local bodies (Municipal Corporation, Municipal Councils, Municipalities, etc.) and Administrators of the Union Territories so as to seek information from each authority regarding the steps being taken for compliance of the ABC Rules in their respective jurisdictions.

36. We are also informed that numerous writ petitions/suo moto petitions are pending in various High Courts, more or less dealing with common issues. Hence, the Registry shall seek information about such pending writ petitions from the Registrar Generals of all the High Courts, and thereafter, these writ petitions shall stand transferred to this Court for analogous consideration along with the main matter.

37. List the matters after eight weeks for further directions and for receiving the compliance report.”

In view of the above directions, it has been jointly prayed that the Registry of this Court be directed to transfer the present cases to the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Keeping in view the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the joint request made on behalf of all the counsel, the Registry of

this Court is directed to comply with the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and to forward the files of the present two cases to the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 11, 2025
Davinder Kumar