

Court No. - 9

Case :- CIVIL REVISION No. - 4 of 2025

Revisionist :- Committee Of Management, Jami Masjid Sambhal Ahmed Marg Kot Sambhal

Opposite Party :- Hari Shankar Jain And 12 Others

Counsel for Revisionist :- Syed Ahmed Faizan, Sr. Advocate, Zaheer Asghar

Counsel for Opposite Party :- A.S.G.I., C.S.C., Prabhash Pandey

Hon'ble Rohit Ranjan Agarwal, J.

In Re: C.M. Amendment Application No.07 of 2025

Learned counsel for the revisionist states that he is not pressing the amendment application and the same may be dismissed as not pressed with liberty to file a fresh amendment application.

In view of the statement so made, present amendment application stands dismissed as not pressed with liberty, as prayed.

In Re: Civil Misc. Correction Application No.08 of 2025

Heard.

Correction application stands allowed.

Following corrections are being made in paragraph 4 of the order dated 27.02.2025 passed by this Court.

a. In the first line of first paragraph of the agreement dated 13/19.01.1927, which is quoted in paragraph 4 of the order dated 27.02.2025, the word mentioned as "**movements**" shall be read as "**monuments**". Further, in the 9th and 10th lines of the same paragraph, the word mentioned as "**provision**" shall be read as "**provinces**".

b. In the last line of the second paragraph of the said agreement, which is quoted in paragraph 4 of the order dated 27.02.2025, the words mentioned as "**not repair**" shall be read as "**repair**".

c. In the fourth line of the twelfth paragraph of the said agreement, which is quoted in paragraph 4 of the order dated 27.02.2025, the words mentioned as "**repair in**" shall be read as "**repairing**".

d. In the fourth line of the fifteen paragraph of the said agreement, the word mentioned as "**movements**" shall be read as "**monuments**".

This order shall form part of order dated 27.02.2025.

In Re: Revision

1. Heard Sri S.F.A. Naqvi, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Sri Zaheer Asghar, learned counsel for the revisionist, Sri Ajay Kumar Mishra, learned Advocate General assisted by Sri Sanjay Kumar Singh, learned ACSC and Sri Kunal Ravi Singh, learned Chief Standing Counsel for the State. Sri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned counsel is present for the Archaeological Survey of India (ASI) and Sri Hari Shankar Jain, respondent no.1 (in person) is present through video conferencing.

2. Objections along with affidavit, filed to the report of the ASI in physical form, is taken on record.

3. A supplementary affidavit has already been filed on behalf of the revisionist, which is also taken on record.

4. Archaeological Survey of India (ASI) has also filed supplementary affidavit in physical form, which is taken on record.

5. All these three documents have already been e-filed, which are being reflected in the official website of this Court.

6. Sri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing for Archaeological Survey of India (ASI) submits that the cleaning work, as directed by this Court, has already started, which is not disputed by the counsel appearing for the revisionist.

7. Learned Advocate General submits that the law and order has been maintained in and around the structure of disputed Masjid by the State authorities.
8. Put up this case as fresh on **Monday i.e. 10.03.2025 at 10:00 a.m.**
9. Sri Jain, respondent no.1 submits that a notarized affidavit has already been filed on 03.03.2025, but the report indicates otherwise.
10. Office to trace out the same and place it on record by the next date.
11. Interim order, granted earlier, to continue till the next date of listing.

Order Date :- 4.3.2025

SK Goswami