



\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P. (T) (COMM.) 80/2025 & I.A. 20071/2025, I.A. 20072/2025

M S ROYAL INFRACONSTRU LIMITEDPetitioner
Through: Mr. Abhinay Sharma and Ms. Parul
Khurana, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA WEST CENTRAL RAILWAYRespondent
Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER
18.08.2025

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 14 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter the 'Act'), seeking termination of the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal on the ground that the Arbitral Tribunal adjudicating the disputes between the parties comprises of all ex-employees of the respondent.
2. It is the case of the petitioner that arbitrators have been appointed from a panel of three arbitrators given by the respondent. Counsel for the petitioner relies upon the judgment of ***Central Organisation for Railway Electrification v. ECI SPIC SMO MCML (JV), (2025) 4 SCC 641***, to state that there cannot be a panel provided by the respondent.
3. The matter was passed over on the first call, at the request of counsel for the respondent.
4. The matter was called up twice thereafter, but none appeared on

O.M.P. (T) (COMM.) 80/2025

Page 1 of 2



behalf of the respondent.

5. In view thereof, issue notice to the respondent, through all permissible modes.
6. Reply, if any, be filed within two (2) weeks.
7. In the meanwhile, the Arbitral Tribunal is requested to adjourn the proceedings to a date, beyond the date fixed by this Court.
8. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a claimant in the arbitral proceedings, and hence, no prejudice will be caused to the respondent if the proceedings are adjourned.
9. List on 6th October, 2025.

AMIT BANSAL, J

AUGUST 18, 2025
at