

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23715 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : **07.01.2026**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI**

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23715 of 2025

and

C.M.P.(MD)No.20569 & 20571 of 2025

- 1.Kottaisamy
- 2.Ramar
- 3.Muniyammal
- 4.Mayavanam
- 5.Vanaja
- 6.Perumal

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
1. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Samayanallur,
Madurai District.
Crime No.351 of 2022

2. XXXXX

... Respondents

(R2 is replaced vide order dated 07.01.2026 made in this petition.)

PRAYER : Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 to call for the records relating to the impugned final report filed in Special SC No.205 of 2025 on the file of Principal Special Court for Exclusive Trial of cases under POCSO Act, Madurai in Crime No.19 of 2024 on the file of Inspector of Police, All Women Police Station, Samayanallur Police Station, Madurai, quash the same, allow this criminal original petition on the file of this Court.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23715 of 2025


For Petitioners : Mr.K.Muthu Ganesa Pandian
For Respondents : Mr.S.Ravi (R1)
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioners seek for quash of the final report in Special SC No.205 of 2025 on the file of Principal Special Court for Exclusive Trial of cases under POCSO Act, Madurai.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the first petitioner is the father of the victim and the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case, which has culminated in filing a final report before the learned Principal Special Court for Exclusive Trial of cases under POCSO Act, Madurai.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police raised serious objections and prayed for dismissal of this petition, having regard to the gravity of the offences alleged under the provisions of the POCSO Act.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23715 of 2025

4. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials placed before this Court.

5. In this case, a final report has been laid by the respondent police for the offences under Sections 294(b) and 323 of IPC and Sections 7, 8, 16, 17, 9(n), 10, 11(1) and 12 of the Protection of Child From Sexual Offences Act, 2012. On a careful reading of the charge sheet, it is evident that there is a clear allegation of having indulged in sexual activities against the victim. In view of the seriousness of the allegations, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the same, and accordingly, this petition is liable to be dismissed.

6. That apart, this Court strongly condemns the conduct of the petitioners' counsel for having mentioned the name of the victim as the second respondent. In view of the same, this Court is inclined to impose a cost of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) on the petitioners. The said amount shall be paid by the petitioners to the victim by way of a demand draft, drawn in favor her favour, on or before **12.02.2026**.

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23715 of 2025

WEB COPY

8. Registry is directed to delete the name of the second respondent and replace the same by 'XXXXXX' and post the matter for 'Reporting Compliance' on 12.02.2026.


07.01.2026

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

Sm

Note to Registry: Registry is directed to delete the name of the second respondent and replace the same by 'XXXXXX'.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23715 of 2025

1. The Principal Special Court for Exclusive Trial of cases
under POCSO Act, Madurai.

2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Samayanallur,
Madurai District..

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23715 of 2025

L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

Sm

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23715 of 2025

Dated
07.01.2026