

Court No. - 2

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 9554 of 2024

Petitioner :- Mohd. Siddiq

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Deptt. Revenue Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sayyed Farooq Ahmad, Afzal Ahmad, Vinod Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Rajan Roy, J.

Hon'ble Brij Raj Singh, J.

Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for the State.

Let the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ayodhya concerned be impleaded as an opposite party during course of the day.

Let instructions be sought by learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel from the opposite party no. 3, 4 and 6.

List/ put up on **25.11.2024 as fresh.**

All pleas including maintainability of this writ petition are open for consideration on the next date of listing.

(Brij Raj Singh, J.) (Rajan Roy, J.)

Order Date :- 8.11.2024

R.K.P.

Court No. - 2

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 9554 of 2024

Petitioner :- Mohd. Siddiq

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Deptt. Revenue
Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sayyed Farooq Ahmad, Afzal
Ahmad, Vinod Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Rajan Roy, J.

Hon'ble Manish Kumar, J.

Sri Manish Mishra, Additional Chief Standing Counsel says that there is a dilapidated structure existing on the land in question and the land is not recorded in the name of the petitioner but in the name of Mahboob Ur Rahman and others as tenure holders. The petitioner and others were attempting to undertake constructions on the said land of a *Masjid* without proper sanction and in this process they were attempting to include *Pipal tree* and *Chabutra* existing nearby within its boundary, which created social/ communal tension in the area.

Let affidavit be filed by the opposite parties within three weeks.

List in the month of January, 2025.

Order Date :- 25.11.2024

S. Kumar