

1
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR**

**BEFORE
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ATUL SREEDHARAN**

ON THE 11th OF MAY, 2022

MISC. CRIMINAL CASE No. 22986 of 2022

Between:-

**MRIGENDRA SINGH S/O SHRI R.N. SINGH ,
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS, OCCUPATION: SENIOR
ADVOCATE, HIGH COURT OF M.P. JABALPUR
JABALPUR (MADHYA PRADESH)**

.....PETITIONER

*(By Shri Ravish Agrawal, learned senior counsel, with Shri Ashish Shroti,
learned counsel)*

AND

**THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH THR. S.H.O.
HABIBGANJ BHOPAL ` (MADHYA PRADESH)**

.....RESPONDENT

(By Shri C. M. Tiwari, learned Government Advocate)

.....
*This petition coming on for orders on the question of maintainability of
petition this day, the court passed the following:*

ORDER

The default pointed out by the office is overruled.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner, a senior counsel of this court who seeks the expungement of the observations made by this court in paragraph nos.8, 9 and 11 of the order dated 7.4.2022 passed in M.Cr.C. No.46535/2018 (Shree Prakash Singh and another v. State of Madhya Pradesh and another). In the course of hearing, an unpleasant situation had arisen on account of which this court had passed those observations.

Mr. Ravish Agrawal, learned senior counsel and a former Advocate General, along with Mr. Ashish Shroti, learned counsel, has appeared on behalf

of the petitioner and has stated before this court that the petitioner is truly penitent of the error committed by him. Mr. Ravish Agrawal, learned senior counsel, is one of the most respected members of this Bar. His statement to that effect is more than sufficient for this court to accept that the petitioner is truly penitent of the error committed by him before this court on 7.4.2022 while arguing M.Cr.C. No.46535/2018. There are moments in courts when heated exchanges do take place and though rare, it is not unheard of that either the member of the Bar or the Bench also in equal measure, unwarily transgresses the line or propriety unintentionally.

In view of the statement made at Bar by the learned senior counsel, the petition is allowed and the error of the petitioner stand expiated. Consequently, the observations made against the learned senior counsel in paragraph nos.8, 9 and 11 stand expunged and nothing shall be held against him henceforth on account of the said observations made in the said order by any authority or the Bar Council.

With the above, the petition stands **finally disposed of**.

A typed copy of this order be sent to the Bar Council of India.

(ATUL SREEDHARAN)
JUDGE

ps