



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, AT NAGPUR.**

SUO MOTU PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 9 OF 2025

Part on its own motion Vs. Mr. Omprakash Babarao Kadu alias Bachchu Kadu and others.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. N.B. Jawade, AGP for respondent/State.
Mr. Charan Dhumne, Advocate for Union of India.

CORAM : RAJNISH R. VYAS,J.

DATED : 30-10-2025.

Heard Mr. Jawade, learned AGP for respondent/State.
Mr. Hariom Dhage has mentioned that he has instruction to appear
for respondent No.1 and he has also tendered Vakalatnama. The
Registry is directed to register the Vakalatnama.

2. In compliance with order dated 29.10.2025, he has produced
affidavit filed by Mr. Ravinder Zile Singh Singal, Commissioner of
Police, Nagpur City, Nagpur, which is taken on record and for
identification marked as 'Y'.

3. According to the affidavit, more particularly, paragraph No. 7,
normal traffic on National Highway No. 44 and other blocked roads
was restored peacefully. In paragraph No. 11, the Commissioner of
Police has stated as under:

*"Presently, as per reports widely circulated on print
and electronic media, 1st respondent has called out
for subsequent 'rail roko', in case of failure of planned
talks with the concerned authorities. It is requested
to this Court to pass any order to restrain 1st
respondent from the same, as it may cause serious
law and order situation".*

4. At this stage, it is necessary to mention here that what I am
concern about is the safety and rights of citizens. If there is an
apprehension that free movement of railway traffic will also be

Belkhede, PS

affected, then there is no option but to issue notice to the concerned departments. Accordingly, (Ministry of Railways, Union of India through its Secretary, New Delhi), (Divisional Railway Manager, Central Railway, Nagpur), (Inspector General cum Principal Chief Security Commissioner, Railway Protection Force, CSMT Mumbai), (Senior Divisional Security Commissioner, RPF Nagpur Division), (Superintendent of Police, Govt. Railway Police, Ajni, Nagpur) and (Senior Divisional Security Commissioner, Railway Protection Force, Bhusawal), be joined as party respondents. Accordingly, issue notices to newly added respondent.

5. Mr. Charan Dhumne, learned counsel for Central Government waives service for newly added respondents.

6. Needless to mention, all the respondent authorities i.e. Police Department, Railway Department and local administration would act as a team to ensure that no untoward incident takes place and citizens are not put to inconvenience.

7. It is made clear that the respondents will take all appropriate steps, in advance, in order to ensure that there is no disturbance either to the road transport or movement of railway traffic. At this stage, Advocate Hariom Dhage, for respondent No.1, on instructions, submit that respondent No.1 has taken a decision to cancel "Rail Roko Andolan". The said statement is accepted as an undertaking to the Court. A good gesture on the part of respondent No.1 will certainly set an example.

8. Put up on 31.10.2025 for further consideration.

9. Parties to act on e-copy of this order.

(RAJNISH R. VYAS, J)

Belkhede, PS