

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY

: PRESENT:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.S.RAMACHANDRA RAO
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 6799 OF 2020

Between:

1. Dr. Sripathi Panditharadhyula Sudeep Sharma,
age 25 yrs, S/o. Mr. SP. Subramanyam,
R/o. H. No. 6-3-92, Jagithyal-505327.
2. Dr. I V R Raghunath,
age 26 yrs, S/o. Mr. I V L N Ramanujacharyulu,
R/o. 5-9-1/58, Kalyanpuri, Sainikpuri, Secunderabad,
Telangana-500094.
3. Dr. D. Sai Sree Sindhu,
age 23 yrs, D/o. Mr. D. Subrahmanya Sharma,
H. No. 2-2-1109/1, Challa paradise,
Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad-500013.
4. Dr. Medha Gayatri Tiruvuri,
age 24 yrs, D/o. Mr. T.V.S Raman,
R/o. H. No 16-11-774/A/1, Moosarambagh,
Hyderabad-500036.
5. Dr. Nikhitha Addanki,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. A P Chary,
R/o. 3-7-2/6, Road No. 1, Sainagar colony,
Mansoorabad, LB Nagar, Hyderabad-500068.
6. Dr. Ishaq Farooq,
age 27 yrs, S/o. Mr. MD Farooq Ahmed,
R/o. 9-4-132/17, Mahboob garden colony,
Tolichowki, Hyderabad-500008.
7. Dr. Syed Imran,
age 27 yrs, S/o. Mr. Syed Ibrahim,
R/o. H. No. 49-128/4C, Kalpana society,
Ganesh Nagar, Chintal, Hyderabad-500054.
8. Dr. Chakinala Shiva Kumar,
age 28 yrs, S/o. Mr. Chakinala Shyam Kumar,
R/o. Velikatta village, Kondaak mandal,
Siddipet-502277.
9. Dr. Kambham Nikhila,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Kambham Pratap Reddy,
R/o. Flat No. G15, Vasavi central court, Street No.1,
Sanathnagar, Ameerpet, Hyderabad-500018.
10. Dr. Shaik Sajid,
age 24 yrs, S/o. Mr. Shaik Saleem,
R/o. 2-2-3/15/9/C, Vidhyanager, Shivam road,
Hyderabad-500044.
11. Dr. Muniapalle Dhavalya,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. Muniapalle Venkata Krishna Mohan,
R/o. 4-6-129/2, Rajeshwari nursing home, Hayath Nagar,
Hyderabad-501505.
12. Dr. Mahin Hashmi,
age 23 yrs, D/o. Mr. Ahmed Abdul Ali,
R/o. H.No.16-2-742/F/21, Asmangadh,
Malakpet, Hyderabad-500036.
13. Dr. Vamshi Surya Diviti,
age 24 yrs, S/o. Mr. Laxmi Narasimha Diviti,
R/o. H. No. 9-287, Sanjay Gandhi Nagar, Gajulramaram road,
Quthubullapur mandal, Medchal-500055.
14. Dr. Tummalapally Ashritha Reddy,

-4-

- age 25 yrs; S/o. Mr. T Subash Chandra Reddy,
R/o. H.No 17-1-383/19, Vinay Nagar colony,
Saidabad, Hyderabad-500059.
15. Dr. Preeti Thallapally,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. D. Percy, R/o. Plot No. 61,
Shanthinikethan colony, Mahendra Hills, East Maredpally,
Secunderabad-500026.
 16. Dr. Gumma Sai Snigdha Bhashritha,
age 23 yrs, D/o Dr. G. Madhusudana Prasad,
R/o. 6-2-46/A, Road no 4, Kakaji colony,
Hanamkonda, Warangal, Telangana.
 17. Dr. Ponnoju Mounika,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. P. VidyaSagar,
R/o. Flat No. 101, Akshaya Residency,
Old Alwal, Hyderabad-500055.
 18. Dr. Gajavelli Venkat Narasalah Sushanth,
age 28 yrs, S/o. Gajavelli Udaya Bhaskhar,
R/o. 21-6-40/2, Gautami Nagar, Ramagundam,
Karimnagar-505210.
 19. Dr. Sumera Huma,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. Yousuf Arifuddin Ahmed,
R/o. 20-4-194/7/14/A, Shahalibanda, Hyderabad.
 20. Dr. Bodigum Amrut,
age 25 yrs, S/o. Mr. B.G. Arjinkumar,
R/o. 12-1-1633/11, Flat No. G1, Shiridisai Mansion,
Shanthinagar, North Lallaguda, Secunderabad-500017.
 21. Dr. Yalla Rajendra Kumar,
age 26 yrs, S/o. Mr. Yalla Bala Rangaiah,
R/o. 5-106/49, Prashanth Nagar, Bandham Kommu,
Ameenpur, Sangareddy, Telangana-502032.
 22. Dr. V. Sai Venkatesh,
age 26 yrs, S/o. Mr. V Narasimulu,
R/o. H. No. 13-5, Main Road,
Toopran, Medak-502334.
 23. Dr. R Swathi Reddy,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. R Ashi Reddy,
R/o. H. No. 4-139/1, Shabari colony,
Makthal mandal, Mahabubnagar,
Telangana-509208.
 24. Dr. Dasyam Meghana,
age 24 yrs, D/o. Mr. Dasyam Laxman,
R/o. Plot No. 10, Ragala Enclave,
Mansoorabad, LB Nagar-500068.
 25. Dr. Syeda Sumaya Faheem,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Syed Abdul Faheem,
R/o. H. No. 1-6-85/A, Gulshan-Ali,
Subhash Nagar, Mahabubnagar-509001.
 26. Dr. K. Lohitha,
age 25yrs, S/o. Mr. Brahmanand,
R/o. 7-5-105/303, Vanadana Enclave,
Venkateshwara colony, Mahabubnagar,
509001.
 27. Dr. Dyapa Srija,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. D Srinivas Reddy,
H. No. 8-2-103/9/2, Plot No. 26, Shakthi Nagar colony,
Road No.13, LB Nagar, Hyderabad-500074.
 28. Dr. Rakasi Sreenidhi Reddy,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. R. Prabhakar Reddy,
R/o. 42-271/24/3, Wanaparthi,
Telanagana-509103.

-5-

29. Dr. Srija Yadav Kadari,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Venkateshwarlu,
R/o. H. No. 16-68/1, West Lokavaram,
Kallur mandal, Khammam-507209.
30. Dr. B Niharika,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. B. Gopal Reddy,
R/o. H. No. 1-10-118/11/B, Shashabgutta,
Mahabubnagar-509001.
31. Dr. Muddam Bharghavi
age 25yrs, D/o. Mr. Muddam Vecraswamy,
R/o. H. No. 4-37/1, Quthbullapur village,
Abdullapurmet mandal, Rangareddy-501505.
32. Dr. Syeda Safina Hashim,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Mohd Ali Hashim,
R/o. H. No. 16-3-919/1, Officers colony,
SMK Villa, Chanchalguda, Malakpet-500024
Hyderabad.
33. Dr. Mohd Tajuddin,
age 27 yrs, S/o. Mr. Mohd Sabir,
R/o. H. No. 16-127, Linegadda, Chennur,
Mancheri, Telangana-504201
34. Dr. Ravula Lahari,
age 25, D/o. Mr. R. Venkat Reddy,
R/o. Flat No. 307, VT Towers, VT colony,
Hyderabad Road, Nalgonda-508001.
35. Dr. Cheekoti Vishnuvardhan,
age 25 yrs, S/o. Mr. Srinivas,
H. No. 4-3-64/1, Patelpura street,
Siddipet-502103.
36. Dr. Amreen Unnisa,
age 33 yrs, W/o. Mr. Ahmed Shareef,
R/o. H. No. 7-83/12, P. No. 12,
Darga Khaliz Khan, Rajendranagar,
Donbosconagar, K.V Rangareddy,
Telangana-500086
37. Dr. Gugloth Mukesh Nayak,
age 26 yrs, S/o. Mr. Gugloth Zorsingh,
R/o. 7-121, Durganagar camp, Makloor,
Nizamabad-503213
38. Dr. Azra Fathima,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Md. Khaja Shareef,
R/o. 1-3-95/C, Rajendranagar,
Mahabubnagar-509001
39. Dr. Aluvala Hima Bindu
age 29 yrs, D/o. Mr. Aluvala Satyanarayana,
R/o. H. No. 4-1-111, Muthyalawada,
Govindagirinagar, Korutla, Jagtial-505326
40. Dr. Gatla Manasa,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Gatla Yadagiri,
H. No. 9-6-96/A, Duegabhanani nagar
Champurpet, Saroornagar-500079
41. Dr. Yashashwini Sudina,
age 24, D/o. Mr. Rama Choudhary Sudina,
R/o. 53, MIG 2, Road No. 3, Lane No. 4
APHB colony, Balanagar-500037
42. Dr. Naila Naazneen,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. Mohamied Ilyas,
R/o. H. No. 16-7-302/2, Mill colony
Yakoobpura, Warangal-506002
43. Dr. Pulugujja Chandra Kanth,
age 24 yrs, S/o. Mr. P. Venkanna,

- 6 -

- R/o. H. No. 2-168, Bodlada village,
Dhantalapally, Mahabubabad-506324
44. Dr. Kattela Manasa,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Kattela Nagaraju,
R/o. H. No. 5-82/13, Yenugonda,
Mahabubnagar-509001.
 45. Dr. Moota Madhuri
Age 24 yrs, D/o. Mr. M. Srinivas,
R/o. Plot No. 119, Suprabhat township venture & 2, 3
Divya Nagar, Narapally, Rangareddy-500088.
 46. Dr. Kotagiri Hanisha,
age 24 yrs D/o. Mr. Kotagiri Umamaheswar Rao,
R/o. Plot No. 56, Vimannagar, Rasoolpura,
Secunderabad-500003.
 47. Dr. Juveriya,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Khaja Sayeeduddin
R/o. H. No. 5-8-3/1, old Palamoor
Mahabubnagar
 48. Dr. Balgar Shrivani
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Balgar Suresh,
R/o. H.No.10-44, Vinayaknagar, Makthal,
Narayanpet, Telangana-509208
 49. Dr. Upadhyayula Kameswara Karthik,
age 24 yrs, S/o. Mr. Upadhyayula Siva Prasad,
R/o. H. No. 10/169, Vasanthapuri colony
Malkajgiri, Hyderabad-500047
 50. Dr. Nithil Marupakala,
age 25 yrs, S/o. Mr. M. Venkat Reddy,
R/o. 3-8-279, Road 2/A, Chandrapuri colony
L.B Nagar, Hyderabad-500071
 51. Dr. Pallapu Pallavi,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. Ankaiah,
R/o. Flat No. 3, Shanti apartment,
Street No. 9, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.
 52. Dr. Navya Teja Mittakola
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Chandra Mouli Mittakola,
R/o. H.No.1-9-1235/30, Sriramara colony,
Hunter road, Hanamkonda, Warangal-506001.
 53. Dr. R. Smitha Yesumalini,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Dr. Joel Sunil Kumar,
R/o. 4-1-11A/2, Sri Sai Gurudatta apartments,
Flat No. 203, Tilak road, Boggulkunta,
Hyderabad-500001.
 54. Dr. Mullangi Swetha Reddy,
age 24 yrs, D/o. Mr. M. Shiva Reddy,
R/o. 8-2-23/A, Sundarajah nagar,
Manuguru, Bhadradi Kothagudem,
Khammam-507117.
 55. Dr. T. Durga Prasad,
age 26 yrs, S/o. Mr. T. Balraju
H.No. 2-100, Brahmanapally village,
Bibinagar, Yadadri Bhongir district,
508126.
 56. Dr. B. Pramida,
age 35 yrs, D/o. Mr. B. Malla Reddy,
Hasthinapuram south, vipasanna nest apartments
Flat No. 202, rangareddy-500079.
 57. Dr. T. Manocharan,
age 24 yrs, S/o. Mr. T. Srinivas Rao

- 7 -

- R/o. 1-33/4/5/P-29/30, Kailashnagar,
Chandanagar, Hyderabad-500050.
58. Dr. Ramidi Yeshwanth Reddy,
age 26 yrs, S/o. Mr. Ramidi Devender Reddy,
Plot No. 18A, 18B, Flat No. 204, Padmavati
plaza apartments, Chitra layout, LB Nagar,
Hyderabad-500074.
 59. Dr. Shaik Imran Shariff,
age 27 yrs, S/o. Mr. Shaik Ameen Shariff,
R/o. 20/1112, RK Nagar, YSR Kadapa,
Andhra Pradesh-516003
 60. Dr. Pathlavath Swapna Rathod,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Pathlavath Dasu
R/o. H. No. 6-296/B/3/2, Venkateswara nagar colony
Chinthal, Qutubullapur, Hyderabad-500054
 61. Dr. Maseera Fathima,
age 32 yrs, D/o. Mr. Mohammed Abdul Wajid,
R/o. 17-3-897/10/1, Devdi Suryar Jung, Yakutpura
Railway Station, Charminar, Hyderabad-500023.
 62. Dr. Vazeer Bhavya Shanmukhi,
age 23 yrs, D/o. Mr. V. Bharathjee,
R/o. H. No. 47-5-1451, Plot No.25,
Jayashankar nagar, Mulugu road, Peddammagadda,
Hanamkonda, Warangal-506007.
 63. Dr. Saggidi Shivanka,
age 24 yrs, D/o. Mr. S. Venkateshwar Rao,
MIG 405, 3RD Phase, KPHB colony,
Kukatpally, Hyderabad-500072.
 64. Dr. Moka Sreeja,
age 24 yrs, D/o. Mr. Moka Samuel Raju,
R/o. H. No. 12-5-51/55, Vijayapuri colony south,
Lalaguda, Tarnaka, Hyderabad-500017.
 65. Dr. K. Aparna,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. K. Krishna Mohan Reddy,
H. No. 1-3-100/12G/B, Bheem nagar, Gadwal,
Mahaboobnagar-509125.
 66. Dr. Duggirala Avani,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. D. Ananda Mohan,
R/o. Plot No. 2-3/61, FCI colony, Miyapur,
Allwyn, Hyderabad-500049.
 67. Dr. Sagar Kante,
age 32 yrs, S/o. Mr. Venkateswar Rao,
R/o. 2-61/2, Guduru main road, Vemsoor
Khammam-507164.
 68. Dr. Inimerla Bhavya,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. I. Ramesh Chandra,
H. No. 4-161, Praja Vydyasala, Srivani college road,
Sathupally, Khammam-507303.
 69. Dr. Amreen Nishath,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. Mohammed Mahmood Hussain,
R/o. H. No. 8-1-364/A/9/1&2, Flat No. 401,
NS Residency, Fathima Nagar colony, Tolichowki,
Hyderabad-500008.
 70. Dr. Karnakanti Priyanka,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. K. Narsimha Chary,
R/o. 25-6-312, Vidyanagar, Kazipet,
Warangal urban-506003.
 71. Dr. B. Sowjanya,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. B. Sai Reddy
R/o. H. No. 45-104/1, Basavannagadda,
Wanaparthy-509103.

— 8 —

72. Dr. Niveditha Nagaraju Venkat,
age 25 yrs, S/o. Mr. Nagaraju Venkatesham,
R/o. H. No. 9-4-285/1, Srinagar colony,
Karimnagar, Telangana-505001.
73. Dr. Dudyala Dhatri,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. D. Raghurami Reddy,
R/o. Flat G3, House 241, Sri Sai Vrindavan,
5th Cross, Nrupathunganagar, 7th phase,
JP Nagar, Bangalore-560078.
74. Dr. Divya Somarati,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. S. Ravinder,
R/o. H. No. 16-4-1222/1, Shivanagar,
Warangal-506002.
75. Dr. G. Lakshmi Shrivani
age 23 yrs, D/o. Mr. G.S. Bhagavati,
R/o. Plot No. 31, Road No. 3,
Green Hills colony Saroornagar post,
Hyderabad.
76. Dr. Swetha Manikonda,
age 30 yrs, D/o. Mr. M. Ramakrishna Reddy,
R/o. Villa No. 35, Shikara villas, Bachupally
cross roads, Hyderabad-500090.
77. Dr. Nukala Jahnavi,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. N. Venkateswara Rao,
R/o. Flat F7, Woodrose apartments, sri vidya colony,
Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh-533003.
78. Dr. Satare Swathi,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. S. Gurunath Appa,
R/o. H. No. 1-4-360/B, Saraswathi nagar,
Bodhan, Nizamabad-503185.
79. Dr. Rapolu Revati Prasad,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. R. Shyam Prasad,
R/o. H. No. 13-6-250/3, Jafferghuda, Karwan,
Kulsumpura post, Hyderabad-500006.
80. Dr. Syeda Nehha
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Syed Abdul Khader,
R/o. 16-4-71, Khalla, Malakpet,
Hyderabad-500024.
81. Dr. Kancharla Vani,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. K. Anantha Reddy,
R/o. 5-12-684, Road No. 1, Durga colony
BTS Nalgonda-50800.
82. Dr. SK. Ayesha,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Shaik Shan Basha,
Flat No. 302, Gayatri residency, Eenadu colony,
Kukatpally, Hyderabad-500072.
83. Dr. Kasarla Srujana Reddy,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. K. Vishnu Vardhan Reddy,
H. No. 17-165/1, Srinagar colony, Siddipet-
502103
84. Dr. Adari Mounica,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. Adari Satyanarayana,
R/o. Door No. 3-105, 9th street, Saradhanagar,
Anakapalli, Vishakapatnam, Andhra Pradesh,
531001.
85. Dr. G. Ruthvika,
age 24 yrs, D/o. Mr. G. Dhamodar Reddy,
H. No. 11-7-180, Plot No. B-41, HUDA colony,
Saroornagar, Hyderabad-500035.
86. Dr. Devarapalli Gowtham Reddy,

- 9 -

- age 24 yrs, S/o. Mr. D. Pera Reddy,
R/o. Villa No. 21, Sri Kanai Krishna Enclave,
Bachupally, Hyderabad-500090.
87. Dr. P. Jyothi,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. P. Shankar,
R/o. H. No. 5-6-389/1, Maruthinagar,
Karimnagar-505001.
88. Dr. Neha Amreen,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. Wajid,
R/o. H. No. 11-7-33, Moazzampura,
New Mallepally, Hyderabad.
89. Dr. Sriram Shravani,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. S. Nageswar Rao,
R/o. 10-2-99/1, Pipeline road, Nethaji colony,
Fathenagar, Hyderabad-500018.
90. Dr. B. Krishnaveni,
age 30 yrs, D/o. Mr. Sree Ramulu. B
R/o. H. No. 6-44/1, Sri Balaji Hospital,
Sai Baba Nagar, Aramghar, Kattedan
Rajendranagar, Rangareddy-500037.
91. Dr. Pattaparla Indraj, a,
age 29 yrs, D/o. Mr. P. Sudhakar Goud
R/o. SRT1139, Suryanilayam, Sanathnagar,
Hyderabad-500018.
92. Dr. Chakravarthula Srivally,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. Ch. Sundara Chary,
R/o. 6-2-47, Kakaji colony, Hanmakonda,
Warangal-506001.
93. Dr. Raheemunnisa M.Y. Khan,
age 28 yrs, D/o. Mr. Mohammed Yaseen Khan,
R/o. 18-1-19/10A, Prabhala Enclave, KGH down road,
Maharanipeta, Vishakapatnam-530002.
94. Dr. Md. Habeebuddin,
age 25 yrs, S/o. Mr. Md Faizuddin,
R/o. 9-1/1, Marlu, Mahabubnagar
509001.
95. Dr. Shourya Pothamsetty,
age 24 yrs, S/o. Mr. Pothamsetty Ram Chander Rao,
R/o. Quarter No, 3040, Type 3, ODF Estate,
Yeddumailaram, Medak-502205.
96. Dr. Kasireddy Mounika Reddy,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. K. Srinivas Reddy,
R/o. H. No. 17-1-382/B/40, Road No. 3,
Bhanunagar colony, Champapet,
Ranga Reddy-500059.
97. Dr. Kashireddy Anusha,
age 27, D/o. Mr. K. Govardhan Reddy,
R/o. 6-52, Maheshwaram, Ranga Reddy,
Telangana-501359.
98. Dr. Anusha Taduri,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Raghupathi,
R/o. H. No. 10-2-497/5/2, Road No. 3,
Harihara nagar, Kothayaswada,
Karimnagar-505001.
99. Dr. V. Hemanth Kumar,
age 25 yrs, S/o. Mr. V. Lalith Kumar,
R/o. H. No. 5-170, Sai Vihar Nagar, Ph2,
Meerpet, Hyderabad-500097.
100. Dr. Dendi Archita Reddy
age 24 yrs, D/o. Mr. Dendi Prabhakar Reddy,
R/o. 1-8-11/A/2, Ravindranagar colony,

- 10 -

Habsiguda, Hyderabad-500007.

101. Dr. G. Pranavi Reddy,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. G. Dayakar Reddy,
R/o. Flat No. 402, Prema Dhanush apartments,
Vinayak Nagar colony, Saidabad, Saroornagar west
Telangana-500059.
102. Dr. Veeravalli John Jims,
age 24 yrs, S/o. Mr. V. John Dyvasahayam,
R/o. Plot No. 79/A, Road No. 05, Phase I,
Jayalaxmi Nagar colony, Beerumguda,
Sangareddy-502032.
103. Dr. Akula Naga Divya Dhathri
age 24 yrs, D/o. Mr. A. Madhava Rao,
R/o. H. No. 15-8-563, Srinagar colony,
Road No. 1, Rotary nagar, Khammam,
Telangana-507002.
104. Dr. Purushottapatnam Shaik Subhani,
age 25 yrs, S/o. Mr. Purushottapatnam Shaik Rasool,
R/o. D. No. 2-52, ponugupadu village, phirangipuram
Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522549.
105. Dr. Kulkarni Saicharan,
age 24 yrs, S/o. Mr. K. Ramesh Babu,
R/o. 1-13-400/A/504, Sai residency apartment,
Vinayaknagar, Nizamabad-503001.
106. Dr. Sheerin Naz,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. M.A. Khaliq,
R/o. 1-4-131/A, New Bowenpally,
Secunderabad-500011.
107. Dr. Palamakula Mukesh Reddy,
age 25 yrs, S/o. Mr. P. Papi Reddy,
R/o. 12-621, Bellampally X Roads,
Mancherla, Telangana-504208.
108. Dr. S.P. Krupani,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. S. Prakash Rao,
R/o. 6-5-103, New Bhoiguda Road, MIGH Colony,
New Bhoiguda, Secunderabad-500003.
109. Dr. Madaboina Alekya,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Madaboina Sudhakar,
R/o. KGM Enclave, Bachupally, Hyderabad
Telangana-500090.
110. Dr. Sangem Sagarika,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. Sangem Pandaiah,
R/o. 6-9-321/3, Raghavendra colony,
Hyderabad road, Nalgonda, Telangana.
111. Dr. Bogaju Phaneesha,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. Bogaju Venkata Chary,
R/o. 3-16-97/5/3, Venkatreddy Nagar,
Ramanthapur, Hyderabad-500013.
112. Dr. Arun Koilkonda,
age 25 yrs, S/o. Mr. Koilkonda Devanand,
R/o. H. No. 5-7-51, Flat No. 303, Sai Bomatillu
apartments, Venkateswara colony, Mahabubnagar
Telangana-509001.
113. Dr. P. Ravali,
age 24 yrs, D/o. Mr. P. Gopi Krishna,
R/o. 13-66, Flat No. 303, Sneha villa apartment
Yadavnagar, Malkajgiri-500047.
114. Dr. Makka Deckipa,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. Makka Rama Rao,
R/o. LIG-2, H. No. 69, BK guda, S.R. Nagar,
Hyderabad-500 038.

-11-

115. Dr. Gummadi Pavithra,
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. Gummadi Raja Gopal,
R/o. 26-2-1515, Venkatareddynagar, Vedayapalem
Nellore, Andhra Pradesh -524004.
116. Dr. Gummadi Akhila,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Mr. G. Yedukondalu,
R/o. Classic 82, Rajeev Swagruha, Aknagar Post,
Nellore, Andhra Pradesh-524 004.
117. Dr. Nazneen Fatima,
age 27 yrs, D/o. Dr. Md. Dawood Suleman,
R/o. 17-2-1198/66, Wahed Colony, Rein Bazar,
Hyderabad, Telangana- 500 023.
118. Dr. Asim Ahmed Akhtar
age 26 yrs, D/o. Mr. Aziz, Ahmed Akhtar,
R/o. 16-7-211/B/9, Shaheed Hyder Ali Road,
Azampura, Hyderabad- 500 024.
119. Dr. Ashrita Kaur,
age 25 yrs, D/o. Mr. S Ajeet Singh,
R/o. Flat No. 502, Dundoo Classic Towers,
Padma Rao Nagar, Bhoiguda road, Musheerabad,
Secunderabad, Telangana-500025.
120. Dr. Dr. Aakifa Aleem,
age 24 yrs, D/o. Mr. Mohammad Aleem,
R/o. 6-2-130, Tata Hospital, Hussainipura,
Road No. 3, Karimnagar, Telangana.
121. Dr. Mohd Shafi Ahmed,
age 29 yrs, S/o. Mohd Salim Ahmed,
R/o. 11-3-164/336B, New Mallepally,
Hyderabad, Telangana-500 001.

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Represented by its Special Chief Secretary, Health, Medical and Family Welfare Department, Secretariat buildings, Hyderabad.
2. Kaloji Narayana Rao University of Health Sciences, Warangal, represented by its Registrar.
3. Telangana Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee, JNU and FAU Campus, opposite Mahavir Hospital, Masab Tank, Hyderabad, Represented by its Member Secretary
4. Malla Reddy Institute of Medical Sciences, Sy No. 138, Venkatarama Colony, Suraram, Quthbullapur, Jeedimetla, Hyderabad - 500 055 Represented by its authorized representative.
5. Mallareddy Medical College for Women Jeedimetla, Suraram, X Road, Quthbullapur, Hyderabad, Telangana 500055, Represented by its authorized representative.
6. Bhaskara Medical College, Yenkapally, Moinabad, Ranga Reddy, Telangana- 500 075 Represented by its authorized representative.
7. Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Nagnur, Karimnagar, Telangana -505417, Represented by its authorized representative.
8. Kamineni Academy of Medical Sciences and Research Centre Nalgonda Road, Suryodaya Colony, LB Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana 500068, Represented by its authorized representative
9. Kamineni Institute of Medical Sciences Sreepuram, Narketpally, Telangana- 508254 Represented by its authorized representative.
10. Chalmeda Anand Rao Institute of Medical Sciences Bommakal Village, Karimnagar, Telangana -505001. Represented by its authorized representative.
11. Mamata Medical College, D.No. 4-2-161, Opp. Rotary Nager, Khammam, Telangana- 507002, Represented by its authorized representative.
12. MNR Medical College, MNR Educational Trust, 2-23B/350, Bhagyanagar Phase-III, Kukatpally, Hyderabad, Telangana-500 072, represented by its Managing Trustee

13. SVS Medical College, Yenugonda, Mahabubnagar, Telangana -509001 Represented by its authorized representative.
14. Mediciti institute of Medical Sciences, Medchal, Ghanpur, Telangana-501401. Represented by its authorized representative.
15. Apollo Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Apollo, health City Campus, Road No.92, Jubilee Hills, Film Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana 500090. Represented by its authorized representative.
16. Deccan College of Medical Sciences, Dar-us-Salam Educational Trust, P.O. Kanchanbagh, DMRL X Road, Santhosh Nagar, Hyderabad-500 058 Represented by its authorized representative.
17. Shadan Institute of Medical Sciences, Teaching Hospital and Research Centre, Himayatsagar Road, Ranga Reddy (dist), Hyderabad, Telangana, represented by its authorized representative
18. Army College of Dental Sciences, Rajiv Swagruha Abhimaan Project, Secunderabad, Telangana 500083, Represented by its authorized representative.
19. Mallareddy Dental College for Women Venkatarama Colony, Suraram, Hyderabad, Telangana 500055 Represented by its authorized representative.
20. Malla Reddy Institute of Dental Sciences Survey No, 137 and 139, Suraram X Roads, Jeedimetla, Quthbullapur, Hyderabad, Telangana 500055 Represented by its authorized representative.
21. Panineeya Mahavidyala Institute of, Dental Sciences and Research Centre, Road Number 5, VR Colony, Kamala Nagar, Kothapet, Hyderabad, Telangana-500060. Represented by its authorized representative.
22. Sri Sai College of Dental Surgery, Kothrepally, Vikarabad, Telangana. Represented by its authorized representative.
23. MNR Dental College, MNR Nagar, Sangareddy, Telangana. Represented by its authorized representative.
24. Mamatha Dental College, # 5-7-200, Giriprasad Nagar, Mamata Road, Khammam, Telangana-507002, Represented by its authorized representative.
25. Kamineni Institute of Dental Sciences, Sreepuram, Narketpally, Telangana - 508254 Represented by its authorized representative.
26. SVS Institute of Dental Sciences, Appannapally, Yedira, Mahabubnagar, Telangana -509 002. Represented by its authorized representative.
27. Meghana Dental College, Nizamabad, Telangana-503230 Represented by its authorized representative.
28. Sri Balaji Dental College, Yenkapally Village, Moinabad Mandal, P.O, Himayat Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana 500075 Represented by its authorized representative.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, setting aside G.O.Ms. No. 20 dated 14/04/2020 issued by the 1st respondent as illegal and arbitrary, without jurisdiction and violative of fundamental rights;

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Sama Sandeep Reddy Advocate for the Petitioners and Additional Advocate General for the Respondent No. 1, and Sri A. Prabhakar Rao, advocate for the respondent No. 2, the Court made the following.

ORDER:

This Writ Petition was filed by the petitioners on 11.5.2020 assailing the fixation of fees for PG Medical Courses in the State of Telangana by the said State vide G.O.Ms.No.20 dt.14.4.2020 on the basis of recommendations dt.17.3.2020 of the Telangana Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (for short' the TAFRC').

It is alleged by the petitioners that under the said GO issued by the State Government, on the recommendations of the TAFRC, a substantial hike in fee

permitted to be charged for PG Medical courses in the range of 118% to 554% for the Private Medical Unaided Minority and Non-Minority professional Institutions for the block period 2020-2023.

Hon'ble Chief Justice passed administrative order dt.12.5.2020 treating the case as an urgent case

On 12.5.2020, the Hon'ble Chief Justice passed an administrative order accepting the plea of the counsel for petitioner that the W.P be registered and posted under "Urgent Cases list". (**Annexure-I** to this Order)

Pursuant to the administrative order of the Hon'ble Chief Justice, this matter was listed before a learned Single Judge of this Court on 14.5.2020.

But the learned Single Judge directed that the matter be posted before the Division Bench.

As per the Roster notified by the Hon'ble Chief Justice on 12.5.2020, there is *only* this Division Bench which is taking up all Division Bench cases. The Bench presided over by the Hon'ble Chief Justice has not been sitting since 11.5.2020.(See Annexure-II notice dt.12.5.2020 issued by the HCJ)

Mention was made by the counsel for the petitioners before this Bench on 14.5.2020, that in view of the order passed by the learned Single Judge, and that there was grave urgency in the matter, and this Bench should take up the matter.

This Bench then took up the matter on 14.5.2020.

The State Government, which is the 1st respondent in the Writ Petition was represented by the Addl.Advocate General, the TAFRC was represented by it's counsel Sri K.Ravindra Reddy. Some of the private Medical colleges, who were impleaded in the Writ Petition, were represented by several Senior Counsel as well.

Initially, the learned Counsel for the petitioners made submissions. But the learned counsel for the TAFRC intervened and submitted that there is no urgency to hear this case on that day.

This argument was not accepted because of the administrative order dt.12.5.2020 of the Hon'ble Chief Justice stating that the case is an 'urgent case'.

On 14.5.2020, after hearing counsel for the petitioner for some time, the Bench found that in the impugned GO issued by the State Government, it was only stated that the fee was fixed as per the recommendations of the TAFRC, but the GO did not disclose those reasons.

Therefore, the Bench, on 14.5.2020, naturally felt that it would be necessary to have a copy of the detailed reasons for the recommendations for the increase in fee proposed by the TAFRC and asked the learned counsel for the TAFRC to send it by email to the Court Officers of the Judges constituting the Bench, the Counsel for the petitioners and the Senior Counsel appearing for the respondents.

Though counsel for the TAFRC expressed some difficulty initially in furnishing it, since only a soft copy was sought, he gave an undertaking to furnish the same the next day i.e 15.5.2020 and this was recorded and the matter was adjourned to the next day i.e 15.5.2020.

Thus 24 hours time was given to the counsel for the TAFRC to produce the detailed reasons for its recommendations.

The matter was therefore coming up on 15.5.2020, but before the hearing commenced at 10.30 am, at about 10.15 am, the counsel for the TAFRC forwarded only the table of fees proposed by the TAFRC without the accompanying reasons of the TAFRC for the said recommendations.

Since the TAFRC exercises quasi judicial powers, and as its actions are amenable to judicial review, as held by the Supreme Court in **P.A.Inamdar v. State of Maharashtra**¹, and the Bench would be handicapped to consider the matter without looking into such reasons given by the TAFRC, and as already 24 hours time was granted on the previous day, the counsel for the TAFRC was requested by the Bench to make available soft copy of the same by 1.30 pm through email; and the matter was directed to be called at 2.30 pm.

Once again on 15.5.2020, the counsel for the TAFRC requested for adjournment on the ground that there is no urgency, but this request was not accepted because the Hon'ble Chief Justice had already held in his administrative order dt.12.5.2020 that it should be registered and posted as "urgent case".

The Bench also could not understand why the detailed reasons of the TAFRC could not be made available by the counsel, inspite of an undertaking given by him and when sufficient time was given by the Bench the previous day, and also when the same were communicated to the State Government by the TAFRC as early as 17.3.2020 itself.

However, instead of filing the reasons given by the TAFRC as directed, a Memo dispatched by email by the counsel for the Chairman of the TAFRC (for short 'the Chairman') at 11:01 am on 15.5.2020 was sent enclosing a mail forwarded by the Chairman at 10.34 am to him.

This was brought to our notice at about 12 noon on 15.5.2020.

It is doubtful that between 10.30 am and 10.34 am on 15.5.2020, a mere 4 minutes, the memo running into 5 pages could be dictated, printed and got signed by the Chairman with reference to several past events. The above timings of the events on 15.5.2020 would show that even by 10.34 am, the Chairman had prepared this Memo and sent it to his Counsel, and it shows that he did so with a pre-determined view to somehow pick up an issue with the Bench and avoid hearing of this matter by this Bench. It is obvious that the Memo was prepared long before 10.30 am on 15.5.2020 and was pressed into service conveniently to avoid furnishing the information sought by the Bench and to avoid hearing of the matter before this Bench.

In this Memo, the Chairman of the TAFRC cast unfounded aspersions on the independence and impartiality of one of us (MSRJ) and made false and baseless

¹ (2005) 6 SCC 537 para 150 p.608

allegations. In the said Memo he also defended his recommendations made to the Government and questioned the *locus standi* of the petitioners to file the Writ Petition.

RE: Allegations of alleged prejudice against the Chairman

(A.) The Chairman, in his Memo first quoted two cases decided in 2017, where his recommendations as Chairman of TAFRC to the Government on fee fixation for Engineering courses, were set aside by one of us (MSRJ) and has attributed motives in the Memo to one of us (MSRJ) for the said orders.

He conveniently omitted to mention that the said orders (which were passed by one of us (MSRJ)) were upheld by a Division Bench consisting of the then Chief Justice T.B.Radhakrishnan and Justice Ramesh Rangannathan in appeals filed by the State. The Division Bench judgment is reported in 2018(6) Andhra Legal Decisions 129 (DB) = MANU/HY/0042/2018. The Chairman appears to have a highly 'selective' memory.

The former is now the CJ of Kolkata High Court and the latter is the CJ of Uttarakhand High Court.

The fact that the said Judgment was affirmed by the Division Bench is itself proof that the order of one of us (MSRJ) was not a motivated judgment against the Chairman or the TAFRC or the Government of Telangana.

We wonder if the Chairman could impute similar motives to their Lordships of the said Division Bench as well, for affirming the order of one of us (MSRJ) and finding fault with the recommendations of the Chairman in those cases and dismissing the Writ Appeals filed against the orders passed by one of us (MSRJ).

(B.) He also referred to the Contempt Case initiated in connection with the above cases, but conveniently did not state that it was closed more than 2 years back.

(C.) He refers to a meeting with one of us (MSRJ) at a dinner hosted by a retired HCJ, but does not give any date or year. One of us (MSRJ) has no recollection of having any interaction with the Chairman at any such dinner. It is obviously a figment of his imagination.

(D.) He also refers to another case regarding fee charged by medical colleges, whether for 4 ½ years or 5 years, which was heard by this very Bench more than 6 months back. Whatever the Government Pleader communicated to the Chairman at that time is a confidential communication between client and the Counsel, and we do not accept the correctness of the same. In any event, if the TAFRC is aggrieved by the order passed therein, it can always challenge it in the Supreme Court. The Member Secretary of the TAFRC might have stood alongside the counsel engaged by the TAFRC to assist him while that case was being heard, but there was no direction by this Bench to her to stand in the Court, and the members of the Bench cannot be blamed for it.

(E.) In another Writ petition dealing with issue of surrender of PG Diploma seats by Medical colleges to get in return PG Medical seats where an interim order was passed by another Division Bench of which one of us (MSRJ) was a member,

the Chairman complains that there ought not to have been any mention about the fee hike for PG Medical courses.

Firstly, that case does not come within the purview of the TAFRC as it deals with surrender of PG Diploma seats. What matters should be mentioned in a judicial order is entirely the prerogative of the bench, and the suggestion of the Chairman is not warranted. Also the counsel for the State i.e the Medical and Health Department or the Kaloji Narayan Rao Health University did not dispute the factum of the fee hike during the course of hearing of the said Writ Petition.

In our opinion, it is highly improper for the Chairman to refer to events and orders passed by one of us (MSRJ) in the distant past in discharge of his constitutional duty to decide disputes, in which process, sometimes orders are required to be passed, both against and also in favor of parties to a *lis*.

It would be difficult to any Judge to adjudicate any case, if every unsuccessful party in such case, after the verdict is pronounced, imputes motives to the Judge who decided it.

We would also like to point out that there were several cases where the State of Telangana or its entities had succeeded before one of us (MSRJ).

We may point out that from 1.1.2019 (when the Telangana High Court started functioning) till 1.5.2020, one of us (MSRJ) has dismissed 86% of the Contempt cases filed against Government Officials/ employees of the State of Telangana and others and allowed a mere 14% cases, that too, in cases where it was found that there was willful disobedience of the orders passed by the Court. (Vide **Annexure III**). But the Chairman's 'selective' memory does not mention them as that would negate his allegation of prejudice.

While this High Court was also the common High Court for the State of Andhra Pradesh and the State of Telangana from 2.6.2014 to 1.1.2019, several orders were passed by one of us (MSRJ) against the State of Andhra Pradesh. He had also passed orders in favor of the State of Andhra Pradesh too.

So allegation made in the Memo about passing of orders by one of us (MSRJ) only against the State of Telangana is not correct.

We are sure that the Chairman is aware that both of us, who are members of this Bench, are born, educated and brought up in the State of Telangana and have lived here for more than 50 years. Yet he imputes motives for impartial and independent adjudication of disputes by us.

We have no reason to have any hostility or to show favoritism to any individual, or State, or any party, for that matter.

We are sure that the 3000 or more members of the Bar of the High Court have full confidence in our objectivity, impartiality and independence.

Being Judges of the Court, both of us in this Bench have necessarily, sometimes, to adjudicate disputes to which the State or a State entity is a party, as per the roster fixed by the Chief Justice. If, on the facts and circumstances of a given case, a decision is taken against the State/other entity of the State, no

inference can be drawn of any prejudice against it or its citizens. In any event, the aggrieved party has a right to appeal under the law against any adverse order.

In 1995, in **U.P. Sales Tax Service Assn. v. Taxation Bar Assn**², the Supreme Court held :

"11. It is fundamental that if rule of law is to have any meaning and content, the authority of the court or a statutory authority and the confidence of the public in them should not be allowed to be shaken, diluted or undermined. The courts of justice and all tribunals exercising judicial functions from the highest to the lowest are by their constitution entrusted with functions directly connected with the administration of justice. It is that expectation and confidence of all those, who have or are likely to have business in that court or tribunal, which should be maintained so that the court/tribunal perform all their functions on a higher level of rectitude without fear or favour, affection or ill-will."

The Supreme Court pointed out:

" Casting defamatory aspersions upon the character, ability or integrity of the judge/judicial officer/authority undermines the dignity of the court/authority and tends to create distrust in the popular mind and impedes the confidence of the people in the courts/tribunals which is of prime importance to the litigants in the protection of their rights and liberties. The protection to the judges/judicial officer/authority is not personal but accorded to protect the institution of the judiciary from undermining the public confidence in the efficacy of judicial process. The protection, therefore, is for fearless curial process."

It observed:

" Any scurrilous, offensive, intimidatory or malicious attack on the judicial officer/authority beyond condonable limits, amounts to scandalising the court/tribunal amenable to not only conviction for its contempt but also liable to libel or defamation and damages personally or group libel. Maintenance of dignity of the court/judicial officer or quasi-judicial authority is, therefore, one of the cardinal principles of rule of law embedded in judicial review. Any uncalled for statement or allegation against the judicial officer/statutory authorities, casting aspersions of court's integrity or corruption would justify initiation of appropriate action for scandalising the court or tribunal or vindication of authority or majesty of the court/tribunal."

We therefore reject the rest of the scurrilous and defamatory allegations made by him in toto and hold that they are made with the sole *mala fide* intention to avoid the hearing of the matter before this Bench.

ROSTER

The Chairman also alleged that the issue of fee fixation for PG Medical courses raised in the Writ Petition, is not in our roster. This is based on a misapprehension of facts including the fact the Hon'ble Chief Justice has determined in his Lordship's administrative order that the matter is an "urgent case".

When the learned Single Judge of the High Court did not wish to hear this matter for some reason and passed a judicial order on 14.5.2020 to list the matter before Division Bench, and this Bench was the *only* available Division Bench,

² (1995) 5 SCC 716, at page 723

there is neither any illegality nor any impropriety in this Bench taking up the matter.

WHETHER THE CHAIRMAN, A QUASI JUDICIAL AUTHORITY, CAN DEFEND HIS RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE COURT PERSONALLY

In his Memo, the Chairman has stated that he did nothing wrong in giving his recommendations and gave some fresh reasons to believe his version.

The Chairperson, in his capacity as a quasi-judicial authority, cannot, in law also defend his decisions personally before the High Court by filing memos and act like a private litigant.

As held in **Mohinder Singh Gill v. Chief Election Commr³**, the Supreme Court had declared:

"8. ... when a statutory functionary makes an order based on certain grounds, its validity must be judged by the reasons so mentioned and cannot be supplemented by fresh reasons in the shape of affidavit or otherwise. Otherwise, an order bad in the beginning may, by the time it comes to court on account of a challenge, get validated by additional grounds later brought out. We may here draw attention to the observations of Bose, J. in Gordhandas Bhanji⁴:"

"Public orders, publicly made, in exercise of a statutory authority cannot be construed in the light of explanations subsequently given by the officer making the order of what he meant, or of what was in his mind, or what he intended to do. Public orders made by public authorities are meant to have public effect and are intended to affect the actings and conduct of those to whom they are addressed and must be construed objectively with reference to the language used in the order itself."

Orders are not like old wine becoming better as they grow older"

A statutory authority like the Chairman cannot even challenge the adverse orders passed against him.

In **Mohtesham Mohd. Ismail v. Enforcement Directorate⁵**, the Supreme Court held :

"Even ordinarily a quasi-judicial authority cannot prefer an appeal being aggrieved by and dissatisfied with the judgment of the appellate authority whereby and whereunder its judgment has been set aside."

WORDS USED BY THE CHAIRMAN ARE BEYOND PROPRIETY EXPECTED FROM A RETIRED HIGH COURT JUDGE

The harsh language used by the Chairman in his Memo is unbecoming and not expected and goes beyond all levels of propriety.

CHAIRMAN'S MEMO dt.15.5.2020 AMOUNTS PRIMAFAECIE TO CRIMINAL CONTEMPT

In **Re: Vijay Kurle and Ors⁶** decided as recently as on 27.4.2020, the Supreme Court said:

³ (1978) 1 SCC 405, at page 417

⁴ AIR 1952 SC 16

⁵ (2007) 8 SCC 254 : (2007) 3 SCC (Cri) 531, at page 261 :

⁶ Manu/sc/0413/2020

"Healthy and constructive criticism of the judgments cannot amount to contempt of Court. However, if the allegations levelled go beyond the ambit of criticism and scandalise the Court then there can be no manner of doubt that such utterances or written words would amount to contempt of Court."

We are prima-facie of the view that the Memo filed by the Chairman falls in the said category and amounts to interference with the administration of justice and also prima-facie amounts to Criminal Contempt.

We do not appreciate the need for use by the Chairman of intemperate language and making of scurrilous, false and motivated allegations leveled against one of us (MSR J) in the Memo dt.15.5.2020 filed by him.

BENCH HUNTING BY PARTIES CONDEMNED BY THE SUPREME COURT

The attempt of the Chairman of the TAFRC in sullyng the judicial process can also be viewed as an attempt to Bench hunting which has been strongly deprecated by the Supreme Court of India in **R.K.Anand v. Delhi High Court**⁷.

Before parting with the case, we would like to quote the statement of Justice Indira Bannerjee (as her Lordship then was) in the Madras High Court in 2018 in a somewhat similar situation:

"We are least concerned with such comments. We have done our duty, to the call of our conscience. We bow to the law and Lord Almighty alone. We are sure that the people of Tamilnadu are well aware of our credibility and conduct."

The learned Judge declined to issue Contempt notice to some members of the Madras legislature who were very critical of the High Court in a matter being heard there by a Bench presided over by her as a Chief Justice.

We too are of the same view and we are confident that the people of the State of Telangana and the lawyers are well aware of our credibility and conduct.

For the aforesaid reasons, and since on account of the antics of the Chairman of the TAFRC the whole atmosphere has got vitiated, and since we do not wish to behave like him, while strongly denying each and every allegation leveled against one of us (MSRJ), we do not intend to take any action for contempt and *we close all issues arising out of the Memo.*

We accordingly decline to initiate any proceedings for contempt, and recuse from hearing the matter and release the matter. Registry is directed to place the file before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for passing appropriate orders in the Main Writ Petition and in the IAs filed therein by the petitioners.

Enclosures:

Annexure-I: The Administrative order dt.12.5.2020 of the HCJ about the urgency for listing the case.

Annexure-II: Notice dt.12.5.2020 issued by the HCJ about the Roster from that date.

⁷ (2009) 8 SCC 106

Annexure-III: Certified statement dt.16.5.2020 of the Contempt cases issued by the Registrar (Judicial) of the High Court showing the dismissal of 86% of the contempt cases filed against the Officials of the State of Telangana between 1.1.2019 and 1.5.2020.

SD/- K. SAILESHI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Special Chief Secretary, Health, Medical and Family Welfare Department, State of Telangana, Secretariat buildings, Hyderabad.
2. The Registrar, Kaloji Narayana Rao University of Health Sciences, Warangal,
3. The Member Secretary, Telangana Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee, JNU and FAU Campus, opposite Mahavir Hospital, Masab Tank, Hyderabad
4. The Authorized Representative, Malla Reddy Institute of Medical Sciences, Sy No. 138, Venkatarama Colony, Suraram, Quthbullapur, Jeedimetla, Hyderabad - 500 055
5. The Authorized Representative, Mallareddy Medical College for Women Jeedimetla, Suraram, X Road, Quthbullapur, Hyderabad, Telangana 500055
6. The Authorized Representative, Bhaskara Medical College, Yenkapally, Moinabad, Ranga Reddy, Telangana- 500 075
7. The Authorized Representative, Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Nagnur, Karimnagar, Telangana -505417,
8. The Authorized Representative, Kamineni Academy of Medical Sciences and Research Centre Nalgonda Road, Suryodaya Colony, LB Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana 500068,
9. The Authorized Representative, Kamineni Institute of Medical Sciences Sreepuram, Narketpally, Telangana- 508254
10. The Authorized Representative, Chalmeda Anand Rao Institute of Medical Sciences Bommakal Village, Karimnagar, Telangana -505001.
11. The Authorized Representative, Mamata Medical College, D.No. 4-2-161, Opp. Rotary Nager, Khammam, Telangana-507002.
12. The Authorized Representative, MNR Medical College, MNR Educational Trust,2-23B/350, Bhagyanagar Phase-III, Kukatpally, Hyderabad, Telangana-500 072
13. The Authorized Representative, SVS Medical College, Yenugonda, Mahabubnagar, Telangana -509001
14. The Authorized Representative, Medciti institute of Medical Sciences, Medchal, Ghanpur, Telangana-501401.
15. The Authorized Representative, Apollo Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Apollo, health City Campus, Road No.92, Jubilee Hills, Film Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana 500090.
16. The Authorized Representative, Deccan College of Medical Sciences, Dar-us-Salam Educational Trust, P.O. Kanchanbagh, DMRL X Road, Santhosh Nagar, Hyderabad-500 058
17. The Authorized Representative, Shadan Institute of Medical Sciences, Teaching Hospital and Research Centre, Himayatsagar Road, Ranga Reddy (dist), Hyderabad, Telangana,
18. The Authorized Representative, Army College of Dental Sciences, Rajiv Swagruha Abhimaan Project, Secunderabad, Telangana 500083
19. The Authorized Representative, Mallareddy Dental College for Women Venkatarama Colony, Suraram, Hyderabad, Telangana 500055
20. The Authorized Representative, Malla Reddy Institute of Dental Sciences Survey No, 137 and 139, Suraram X Roads, Jeedimetla, Quthbullapur, Hyderabad, Telangana 500055
21. The Authorized Representative, Panineeya Mahavidyala Institute of, Dental Sciences and Research Centre, Road Number 5, VR Colony, Kamala Nagar, Kothapet, Hyderabad, Telangana-500060.
22. The Authorized Representative, Sri Sai College of Dental Surgery, Kothrepally, Vikarabad, Telangana.
23. The Authorized Representative, MNR Dental College, MNR Nagar, Sangareddy, Telangana.
24. The Authorized Representative, Mamatha Dental College, 5-7-200, Giriprasad Nagar, Mamata Road, Khammam, Telangana-507002

25. The Authorized Representative, Kamineni Institute of Dental Sciences, Sreepuram, Narketpally, Telangana - 508254
 26. The Authorized Representative, SVS Institute of Dental Sciences, Appannapally, Yedira, Mahabubnagar, Telangana -509 002.
 27. The Authorized Representative, Meghana Dental College, Nizamabad, Telangana- 503230
 28. The Authorized Representative, Sri Balaji Dental College, Yenkapally Village, Moinabad Mandal, P.O, Himayat Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana 500075
 29. The Section Officer, Writ Non-Service, Section, High Court, Hyderabad
 30. The Registrar(Judicial), High Court, Hyderabad
 31. One CC to Sri Sama Sandeep Reddy Advocate [OPUC]
 32. Two CC to Additional Advocate General, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
 33. Two spare copies
- Csk

HIGH COURT

MSRJ
&
KLJ

DATED: 18/05/2020

ORDER

WP.No.6799 of 2020

DIRECTION

