

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 3011 OF 2020**

Ms.Kangana Ranaut ... Petitioner

Versus

Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai & Anr. ... Respondents

Dr.Birendra Saraf, Sr. Adv. a/w. Mr.Prasanna Bhangale, Ms. Monisha Mane Bhangale, Mr.Rizwan Siddiquee i/b. Siddiquee and Associates for the Petitioner.

Mr.Aspi Chinoy, Sr. Adv. a/w. Mr. Anil Y. Sakhare, Sr. Adv., Mr.Joel Carlos, Ms.Rupali Adhate, Ms. Pallavi Thakar, Ms.Oorja Dhond i/b. Ms. Aruna Savla for MCGM and Mr.Bhagyavant Late.

Mr.Pradeep Thorat for Mr.Sanjay Rajaram Raut.

Ms.Jyoti Chavan, AGP for the State.

CORAM : S.J.KATHAWALLA, &

RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.

DATE : 24TH SEPTEMBER, 2020

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

P.C. :

1. The Respondents in the above Writ Petition are incorrectly numbered. The Advocate appearing for the Petitioner is also allowed to carry out amendments in terms of the Draft Amendment, which is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. The Learned Advocate appearing for the Petitioner is allowed to carry out necessary amendments qua the numbering of the Respondents and re-number the Respondents. Amendments to be carried out forthwith. Re-verification is dispensed with.

2. The Learned Advocate appearing for the Petitioner shall forthwith

forward a copy of the amended Writ Petition to the Advocates for the Respondents.

3. Shri Pradeep Thorat, the Learned Advocate appearing for Shri Sanjay Rajaram Raut states that Shri Raut is desirous of filing his Affidavit-in-Reply dealing with two of the allegations made against him in the above Writ Petition. However, since Shri Raut, being a member of the Parliament is currently in Delhi, Shri Raut be given some time to file his Affidavit-in-Reply.

4. Shri Anil Sakhare, the Learned Senior Advocate appearing for MCGM and Mr. Bhagyavant Late, Executive Engineer, H/West Ward, also seeks time to enable Shri Late to file his Affidavit-in-Reply dealing with the allegation/s made against him personally by the Petitioner.

5. We have explained to both the Learned Advocates, who are seeking time, that since 40% of the Petitioner's Bungalow is already demolished on 9th September, 2020 and the Bungalow continues to stand in partially demolished condition since then, it is likely that some mishap may be caused due to the heavy rainfall in Mumbai. It is therefore necessary to hear the matter at the earliest. The Court has therefore also informed the Learned Senior Advocate Shri Sakhare and the Learned Advocate Shri Pradeep Thorat that the Court will start hearing the Counsel for the Petitioner from 03.00 p.m. tomorrow and will allow their respective clients to file their Affidavit-in-Reply as and when it is their turn to address the Court in the next week.

6. Stand over to 25th September, 2020 at 03.00 p.m. to enable the Learned Senior Advocate appearing for the Petitioner to commence the argument /

submissions. In the meantime, the earlier ad-interim Order shall continue.

7. This Order will be digitally signed by the Personal Assistant of this Court. All concerned will act on production by fax or email of a digitally signed copy of this Order.

(RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, J.)

(S.J.KATHAWALLA, J.)