

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION [CIVIL] NO. 956 OF 2020**

IN THE MATTER OF

Firoz Iqbal Khan

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Anrs.

..Respondents

AFFIDAVIT DATED 18.11.2020

OF THE MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND

BROADCASTING

I, Girish Chandra Aron S/o Late Shri S. C. Aron, aged 56 years, having my office at Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, A- wing Shastri Bhavan, Dr Rajendra Prasad Marg, New Delhi-100001 do hereby solemnly affirm and state as under :-

1. That I am working as Director in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting and am well conversant with the facts and circumstances of the case. I have been duly authorized to swear the present affidavit.

2. The respondent UOI is filing the present affidavit in respectful compliance of this Hon'ble courts order dated 23-09-2020, whereby this Hon'ble court was pleased to direct as under:-

"3. Since a notice to show cause has been issued by the Central Government to the fourth respondent today, the hearing of the present proceedings is deferred to 5 October 2020. The notice shall be dealt with in accordance with law and the Central Government shall submit a report to this Court indicating the outcome of the notice. Since the notice has been issued during the pendency of these proceedings, further steps in pursuance of the notice to show cause shall be subject to the result of the present proceedings and to such orders as may be passed by this Court."

3. It is respectfully submitted that the proceedings pertaining to the show cause dated 23.09.2020 issued by the Ministry of Information and Broadcast to Respondent No 4 i.e. Sudarshan TV has culminated into a final order dated 04.11.2020. The deponent begs to place the said order dated 04.11.2020 on the record of this Hon'ble Court by way of the present affidavit in respectful compliance of this Hon'ble court's directions dated 23.09.2020, as quoted

above. A copy of the order dated 04.11.2020 is thus annexed hereto and marked as **Annexure - "R1"**.

DEPONENT


(जी. सी. ऐरन)
(G. C. ARON)
निदेशक/Director (84)
सूचना एवं प्रसारण मन्त्रालय
Min. of Information & Broadcasting
भारत सरकार, नई दिल्ली
Govt. of India, New Delhi

Verification

I, the deponent above named, do hereby verify that the contents of paras 1 to 3 of the affidavit are true to my knowledge, no part of it is false and nothing material has been concealed therefrom.

Verified at New Delhi on 18th day of November, 2020

DEPONENT


(जी. सी. ऐरन)
(G. C. ARON)
निदेशक/Director (84)
सूचना एवं प्रसारण मन्त्रालय
Min. of Information & Broadcasting
भारत सरकार, नई दिल्ली
Govt. of India, New Delhi

No. N-41012/16/2020- BC II
Ministry of Information & Broadcasting
Government of India

A-Wing, Shastri Bhavan,
Dr. Rajendra Prasad Road,
New Delhi – 110 001

Date : 4th November, 2020

ORDER

The matter relates to the alleged violation of Programme Code by Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. laid down under Rule 6 of the Cable Television Networks Rules, 1994, framed under the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act, 1995 by the telecast of the programme titled "*Bindas Bol – UPSC Jihad*" on its news channel 'Sudarshan News'.

2. On 28th August, 2020, on the basis of complaints received regarding the proposed telecast of the programme "*Bindas Bol – UPSC Jihad*" scheduled to be telecast by Sudarshan News as a series of episodes starting at 8:00 PM on 28th August, 2020, the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting issued a notice to Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. to clarify its stand in the context of the Programme Codes enshrined under the Cable Television Networks Rules, 1994. The Company gave its written submission on 31st August, 2020 in which it was inter alia stated that the proposed programme 'Bindaas Bol' is not violative of law and further that if at all the programme is found to be violative, action as per law may be taken. Taking into account the facts and circumstances of the case and written submissions made by Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd., the Ministry vide its order of even number dated 9th September, 2020 directed the Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd to ensure that the programme proposed to be telecast does not violate the Programme Code and, further, if any violation is found, action as per law will be taken.

3. Sudarshan News telecast the said programme "*Bindas Bol – UPSC Jihad*", with the first episode on 11th September, 2020 and thereafter the next three episodes on 12th, 13th and 14th September, 2020. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India on 15th of September, 2020 in the Writ

Approved
[Signature]

[Signature]

Petition (C) 956/2020 in the case of *Firoz Iqbal Khan versus Union of India & Others* restrained the channel from telecasting the future episodes of the programme.

4. On 23rd September, 2020 a notice was issued to the Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. directing it to give its written submission on or before 5:00 PM on 28th September, 2020 as to why Central Government should not take action for alleged violation of the Programme Code for the episodes telecast by it in the light of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 20 of the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act, 1995 and the binding conditions for grant of permission under the Uplinking and Downlinking Guidelines of 2011 in respect of Private TV channels.

5. Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. gave its written submissions on 28th September, 2020, consisting of 41 pages of reply and 13 annexures, together running into 935 pages. The main contention of the channel are the following:-

- (i) *The rules framed under the CTN Act 1995 cannot be extended and made applicable to a satellite news channel.*
- (ii) *No case has been made out to invoke the provisions of Section 20(3) of the CTN Act, 1995.*
- (iii) *The channel has not violated the Programme Code.*

6. In accordance with the laid down procedure, the alleged violation of Programme Code by Sudharshan TV Channel Ltd. was referred to the Inter Ministerial Committee (IMC), constituted by the Ministry under section 20 of the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act, 1995, in terms of its Order dated 24th April, 2005, as amended by the Order of 11th September, 2011.

7. The IMC, chaired by Additional Secretary, Ministry of I&B, in its meeting on 1.10.2020 considered the matter. The meeting was attended by representatives from various Ministries / Departments – Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Ministry of Women & Child Development, Ministry of External Affairs, Ministry of Defence, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare and Department of Legal Affairs. Representatives from Sudarshan News – Shri Mukesh Kumar, Resident Editor and Shri Vishnu Shankar Jain, Lawyer appeared before the IMC and gave their oral submission.



8. The IMC, after taking into consideration the content of the episodes telecast, the written submissions and the oral hearing given to Sudharshan TV representative gave its findings and recommendations. The Ministry took note of these, and the fact that the telecast of the future episodes of the programme had been restrained by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide its order of 15.9.2020 in WP(C) No. 956/2020 in the case of *Feroz Iqbal Khan versus Union of India and Others*. Taking into account these aspects, the Ministry considered it appropriate to give an opportunity to the Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. to give its response in the matter before taking a final decision on the recommendations of the IMC. Accordingly, vide letter of 5th October, 2020, the Channel was directed to appear before the Secretary, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting at 11:00 AM on 6th October, 2020, which, on the request of the Channel, was adjourned to 8th October, 2020. This procedure was followed as the further telecast was already stayed by the Supreme Court.

9. In response to the said notice, Shri Mukesh Kumar, Resident Editor of Sudarshan News and Shri Vishnu Shankar Jain, Advocate appeared on 8th October, 2020 before Secretary, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting on behalf of Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd.. During the course of hearing, they submitted a written reply dated 8.10.2020 signed by Shri Suresh Chavhanke, Chief Manager and Chief Editor of Sudarshan News in which they raised issues relating to recommendations of the IMC and also reiterated that they had not violated the provisions of the Programme Code while telecasting the programme "*UPSC Jihad*". They also contended that they were exercising the right to express their views and that the form of and manner of expression cannot be reviewed by the Government. In the said letter, a request was also made for giving more time for filing a detailed reply. Accordingly, one week's time was granted to the channel for the same and the matter was again listed for being heard at 10:30 AM on 15.10.2020.

10. On 15th October, 2020, Shri Vishnu Shankar Jain, Advocate appeared on behalf of Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. and gave a written submission dated 15.10.2020. It was mentioned that the reply earlier given on 8.10.2020 has been amended in the revised reply of 15.10.2020.



11. The submissions made in reply filed on 8.10.2020 and 15.10.2020 are summarized as under: -

- (i) The findings of IMC dated 5.10.2020 are against the record and erroneous and that the IMC has not perused the detailed reply given to the Ministry.
- (ii) That the channel has not violated any Programme Code in telecasting of four episodes, under the caption "*UPSC Jihad*" in the "*Bindas Bol*" programme. In the minutes of the IMC no specific violation of Programme Code has been pointed out and no reason has been given for not using the words "*UPSC Jihad*".
- (iii) Investigation into the UPSC Jihad series has highlighted huge lapse of national security which prima facie needs investigation by various agencies of the Government of India.
- (iv) Issues raised in the programme expose an existential threat for the sacred values enshrined in the Constitution.
- (v) Zakat Foundation of India, which provides coaching to Muslim youths, has deep links with numerous banned Islamic terrorist organizations and is, inter alia, getting funding from such organisations.
- (vi) The Show Cause Notices issued on 23rd September, 2020 and 5th October, 2020 may be recalled and no order be passed.

12. The Ministry has examined the contentions of M/s Sudharshan TV Channel Ltd. in its various written submissions of 28th September, 2020, 8th October, 2020 and 15th October, 2020, and the oral submissions made during the hearing before Secretary, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting on 8th October and 15th October, 2020, respectively. It has also gone through the videos of the four (4) episodes telecast by the channel of the programme titled "*UPSC Jihad*" on 11th, 12th, 13th and 14th September, 2020. The recommendations of the IMC have also been examined.

13. During the course of hearing, it was submitted on behalf of M/s Sudharshan TV Channel Ltd that Freedom of Speech and Expression under Article 19[1][a] is the most sacred



fundamental right. Though every citizen is conferred with this right, the Constitutional courts have very zealously guarded this right available in journalistic field. The TV Channel is a medium through which journalistic expression gets manifested. It is an integral part of free and fair media that the television as a medium is permitted to adopt its own way, mode and method of expressing the views and ideas.

14, The Ld. Counsel representing Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. contended that the Central Government cannot examine the method of expression nor can it examine the contents of a programme as the views expressed in the episodes may or may not be acceptable depending upon the perception of the viewers.

15. It was contended by the Ld Counsel representing Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. that when a particular view is subject matter of public debate, expression of such view cannot be prohibited merely based upon the fact that few individuals have found it to be objectionable or unacceptable.

16. The Ld. Counsel representing Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. submitted that unless the subject matter of the episode itself is such that it shall, *per se*, be detrimental to the security of the nation or may affect the national security/integrity adversely, power of pre-telecast prohibition should not be exercised. The subject matter of the instant episode(s) is also being debated on social and other internet based media which falls outside the purview of the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act, 1995.

17. The Ld. Counsel representing Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. submitted that there is no possibility of any imminent danger to public order or public tranquility, if the said episodes are allowed to be telecast. In the submission of the channel, at the most, some section of the society may find the content to be not acceptable.

18. The ld. Counsel representing Sudarshan TV submitted that Indian legal system does not permit pre-censorship of television medium. Pre-censorship is statutorily available only in case



of films. It was submitted by the Ld. Counsel that it is a settled position that freedom of speech and expression cannot be curtailed merely by looking at a particular programme by one officer exercising statutory power. Whether a particular programme is a permissible mode of expression or not, can be decided only when a full-fledged trial takes place at the behest of an affected party who will have to establish that the broadcast exceeded the freedom of speech and expression which resulted into any legal or factual injury to him. Unless telecast of any episode is per se detrimental to the security of nation or has an inevitable effect on the sovereignty and integrity of India, power of pre-telecast prohibition should not be used. In a country as diverse as India, various people react to various forms of expression in a different manner. Merely because tolerance level of few individual or class of individuals is very low, cannot be a ground to prohibit exercise of freedom of speech and expression. There may be large number of episodes / programmes / written material which may be found to be distasteful to some individuals or class of individuals. However, as the tolerance level of such individuals or class of individuals is high, they may not complain against the same. According to the Ld Counsel of Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd., the freedom of speech and expression of Sudarshan TV cannot be dependent upon different levels of tolerance of different sections of the society, more particularly when the programme, per se, is not objectionable and even according to the complaints of some individuals some stereotype or graphics are found to be objectionable by them. The Ld. Counsel submitted that no case is made out for any action by the Central Government and it would be for the aggrieved party, after the programme is telecast, to take suitable action before the competent legal forum where a full-fledged trial shall have to take place.

19 The Ld Counsel submitted that that even if the case of few individuals is that the past episodes have hurt their feelings and has violated the programme code and, therefore, the complainant is not praying for any pre-censorship but a prohibition against telecast of further episodes, such a prayer is unjustified on facts and untenable in law. The Ld. Counsel submitted that what is considered objectionable by selected few, can be a subject matter of freedom of expression of the petitioner, the use of skullcap or a particular colour may be stereotyping but such stereotyping is universally accepted to be a freedom of speech and expression. Such stereotypes are used throughout the world for depicting various communities as an artistic



expression. It was contended by the Ld. Counsel that merely because few individuals found some stereotype or graphics objectionable may not be sufficient to curtail the freedom of speech and expression and freedom to telecast such expression before the telecast as it will amount to pre-judging the impact it will have on the vast majority of viewers based upon something found objectionable by few individuals.

20. Over and above the aforesaid submissions, point raised by the Sudarshan channel in its written reply of 28.09.2020 is that the Programme Code laid down under the Cable Television Networks Rules, 1994 is not applicable to a TV news channel and, therefore, the reasonable restrictions guaranteed under 19(1)(a) which have been laid down under Rule 6 of the Cable Television Networks Rules, 1994 would not be applicable to the channel.

21. In this regard, it is considered necessary to refer to the Uplinking Guidelines of 2011. One of the conditions for grant of permission for uplinking of a private TV channel under the said Guidelines is compliance to the Programme and Advertising Codes, which is extracted as under:-

"5. General Terms and Conditions

5.1

5.2. The Company shall comply with the Programme and Advertising Codes as laid down in the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act, 1995 and the Rules framed thereunder....."

22. Similarly, the Policy Guidelines for Downlinking of television channels, inter alia, provide that the company shall abide by the Programme and Advertising Code:-

"5. Basic conditions/obligations

5.1 The Company permitted to downlink registered channels shall comply with the Programme and Advertising Code prescribed under the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act, 1995."

23. Every company permitted by the Government to operate a TV channel is given a permission letter in which it is mentioned, inter alia, that the Company shall abide by the



Programme and Advertising Code. Reference in this regard is invited to the renewal permission for uplinking and downlinking of the news channel "Sudarshan News" of M/s Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. for a 10 year period vide letter of this Ministry dated 3.5.2019 (copy at Annexure 'A') wherein under para 2, it has been mentioned that the channel shall comply with the Programme and Advertising Code prescribed under the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act, 1995 and the Rules framed there under. Further, in its affidavit/undertaking filed on 13.5.2019 for renewal of the downlinking permission, Shri Suresh Chavahanke in his capacity as Director of M/s Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. has stated as under:-

"WHEREAS as a condition precedent in the above approval/permission, I am required to abide by the Programme & Advertising Codes prescribed under the Cable Television Network (Regulation) Act, 1995 and the rules passed there-under:

WHEREAS I have read the above mentioned Programme & Advertising Codes.

WHEREAS I understand that the permission/approval so granted can be withdrawn, if I fail to abide by the said Programme & Advertising Codes."

24. Copy of the affidavit/undertaking dt.13.5.2019 given by Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. is at Annexure 'B'. Similar affidavit given in respect of renewal of uplinking of TV channel "Sudarshan News" is at Annexure 'C'.

25. In the light of the above, there appears to be no ambiguity over the proposition that every private TV channel which has been granted permission by the Central Government under the Uplinking and Downlinking Guidelines, 2011, is bound to abide by the Programme and Advertising Codes laid down under the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act, 1995. Accordingly, the contention of the Sudarshan TV channel Ltd. is without any basis.

26. The other contention made is that no case has been made out to invoke provisions of sub-section (3) of section 20 of Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act, 1995 on the grounds recorded above and, accordingly, the show cause notice may be withdrawn in the interest of



justice, keeping in view of the fundamental right of the Press under Article 19(1)(a) of Constitution of India.

27. At this stage it is important to examine the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 20 of the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act 1995, which is extracted as under:-

"20. Power to prohibit operation of cable television network in public interest –

[(1)] -----

[(2)] -----

[(3)] Where the Central Government considers that any programme of any channel is not in conformity with the prescribed programme code referred to in section 5 or the prescribed advertisement code referred to in section 6, it may by order, regulate or prohibit the transmission or re-transmission of such programme."

28. Plain reading of the above provision makes it amply clear that the Central Government does have powers to "regulate" or "prohibit" the transmission/re-transmission of a "programme" of any channel that is not in conformity with the prescribed Programme Code and the Advertisement Code. The applicability of the Programme Code on a private TV channel which is granted permission by the Central Government under the Uplinking and Downlinking Guidelines has already been elaborated and under the conditions of those Guidelines, the channel is bound to follow the Programme Code. Accordingly, any violation of the Programme Code by a private TV channel would attract the provisions of sub-section (3) of Section 20 of the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act, 1995. Under the circumstances, there is no doubt that the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 20 of the Act would apply Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. As mentioned in the said sub-section, the Central Government, after satisfying itself that the programme of a channel is not in conformity with the prescribed Programme Code, can issue an order in writing to 'regulate' or 'prohibit' the transmission/re-transmission of such programme.

29. The issue now to be considered is the alleged violation of the Programme Code by the channel. The IMC has given its findings after detailed examination of the video clips of the episodes telecast, the written submissions made by Sudarshan TV channel Ltd. and the oral submissions made by its representatives during the course of its meeting held on 1st October,



2020. Para 5 of the IMC minutes contain the submission made by the Channel. Accordingly, the contention that IMC has not considered its submissions fully is not substantiated.

30. Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. has contended that no specific violation of Programme Code has been pointed out by the IMC. In this regard it may be mentioned that in the Show Cause Notice issued by the Ministry on 23rd September, 2020 some of the audio-visuals, etc. which were apparently found to be violative of the Programme Code were specified therein. Further, para 4 of the Show Cause Notice made reference to some of the specific items under the Programme Code which were apparently violated in the telecast of the aforementioned four episodes. The IMC has taken into consideration all these aspects and given its detailed findings on violation of the Programme Code.

31. The channel in its submission dated 28th September, 2020 gave its response on the 13 instances specified in the show cause notice, copy of which is annexed (**Annexure 'D'**). As noted by the IMC (para 7 of the Minutes of IMC), *"the episodes of 'UPSC – Jihad' can be categorized into three broad themes: (i) the reservation policy of the Government leading to entry into the Civil Services examinations conducted by the UPSC, and the language policy and other aspects of the examinations conducted by the UPSC; (ii) the alleged terrorist links of Zakat Foundation of India, how it is a threat to national security, and which finances students of the Muslim community to take coaching for preparing for the Civil Services, and students receiving such coaching enter the Civil Services allegedly with the intention to propagate Islam; and (iii) the alleged aim of the Zakat Foundation of India being to impress upon the Muslims to 'capture' the Indian bureaucracy and the entire country."*

32. The Ministry has examined the four episodes, including the 13 video clips mentioned in the show cause notice issued on 23rd September, 2020, in the context of the aforementioned three broad themes. The Ministry noted the contention of the Channel that the central theme of the programme is not directed towards a particular community as a whole but seeks to highlight the activities of one organization, namely the Zakat Foundation of India. However, the manner in which the channel has gone about its exposition, including its comments on the selection process,



examination system of the Civil Services, portrays one community and the UPSC in poor light, and the channel could well have avoided utterances and videos which were not in good taste or decency. The Ministry concurs with the findings of the IMC that the issue of alleged terror links of Zakat Foundation and alleged source of funding is a highly disputed matter of fact, which require a full investigation of evidence to adjudicate the veracity, truthfulness or falsity of the claim made in the said programme.

33. After taking into consideration the written and oral submissions of the channel, and the findings and recommendations of the IMC, the Ministry is of the opinion that while freedom of speech of expression is a Fundamental Right, the tone and tenor of the episodes telecast do indicate that the channel has through the various utterances and audio-visual content breached the Programme Code. The Ministry finds that they are not in good taste, offensive and has likelihood of promoting communal attitudes.

34. The Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, after examining all facts and circumstances of the case and balancing the fundamental rights of the broadcaster, hereby “CAUTIONS” Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. to be careful in future. It is further directed that if any violation of the Programme Code is found in future, stricter penal action would be taken. Further, the Ministry, by exercising its powers, inter-alia, the power of regulating, directs the Sudarshan TV Channel Ltd. as under:-

“The channel should review the content of the future episodes of the programme “*Bindas Bol – UPSC Jihad*”, and the audio-visual content should be suitably moderated and modified, so as to ensure that there is no violation of the Programme Code, particularly the following:-

- *offends against good taste or decency;*
- *contains attack on religions or communities or visuals or words contemptuous of religious groups or which promote communal attitudes;*
- *contains anything obscene, defamatory, deliberate, false and suggestive innuendos and half truths;*



- *is likely to encourage or incite violence or contains anything against maintenance of law and order or which promote anti-national attitudes;*
- *criticizes, maligns or slanders any individual in person or certain groups, segments of social, public and moral life of the country;*
- *contains visual or words which reflect a slandering, ironical and snobbish attitude in the portrayal of certain ethnic, linguistic and regional groups."*

35. The Sudarshan TV channel is hereby directed to make due compliance to the aforementioned directions of the Ministry before telecast and report the same to the Ministry forthwith.

36. In view of the orders of the Supreme Court dated 23.9.2020 in the WP(C) No. 956/2020, implementation of this Order of the Ministry will be subject to the outcome of the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in that case.

This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority in the Ministry.


(Sonika Khattar)

Under Secretary to the Government of India

Phone : 011-23387373

Enclosed : a/a

No. 1404/06(ii)/2004-TV (I) Vol- 3/6-12
 GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
 MINISTRY OF INFORMATION & BROADCASTING
 'A'- Wing, Shastri Bhawan,
 New Delhi- 110 001

Dated: 03.05.2019

To,

The Managing Director,
 M/s. Sudarshan TV Channels Ltd.,
 A-84, Sector-57,
 Noida(U.P.)-201307, India.
 Email ID: mail@sudarshantv.com

Sub: Renewal Permission for uplinking & downlinking of 1 News and current affairs TV channels, namely, "Sudarshan" of M/s Sudarshan TV Channels Ltd. for a further period of 10 years after the expiry of initial permission and revocation of the cancellation of the permission of the three channels viz. A To Z, A To Z Documentary and Telefilms, Sai TV – reg.

Sir,

In supersession to this Ministry's letter of even number dated 11.05.2018 and in pursuance of the Security Clearance given by Ministry of Home Affairs to the M/s Sudarshan TV Channels Ltd. and its' Directors vide OM No II/21021/90/2004-IS-II dated 04.04.2019 and 12.04.2019, the cancellation of the permission of uplinking and downlinking three TV Channels namely 'A To Z' (News Channel), 'A To Z Documentary and Telefilms' (Non-News Channel) and 'Sai TV' (Non News Channel) is hereby revoked and the permission of uplinking and downlinking 1 News and current affairs TV channel namely, "Sudarshan" for a further period of 10 years i.e. from 21.02.2015 to 20.02.2025 is conveyed under the extant Guidelines for uplinking and downlinking as per the following table and the conditions given below:-

Name of Channels and Language & Category	Sudarshan	Hindi	News & Current Affairs
Logo of the Channel	Last approved by this Ministry		
Mode of Transmission	Standard Definition (SD)		
Name of the Teleport	Teleport at Noida, M/s Planetcast Media Services Ltd.		
Name of the Satellite	INSAT-4A		
List of The Board of Directors	1. Shri Suresh Khanderao Chavhanke 2. Smt. Maya Suresh Chavhanke 3. Shri Ramdas Chavhanke 4. Shri Deepak Sopan Gorde 5. Shri Narayan Gopinath Phad 6. Shri Ramesh Nivritti Nagare		

o/c

2. The company shall comply with:

- (a) No change in this permission is permitted without prior approval of the Ministry.
- (b) **The approval is subjected to the submission of requisite documents for seeking clearance from DoS, submission of affidavits and submission of the outstanding annual permission fee within 10 days from the date of this Order failing which the permission granted would stand cancelled.**
- (c) This approval is subject to the condition that all the relevant instructions of the Election Commission of India shall be adhered to by your all channels.
- (d) All terms & conditions contained in up-linking and downlinking guidelines as amended from time to time.
- (e) The Channel (s) shall comply with the Programme and Advertising Code prescribed under the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act, 1995 and Rules framed there under.
- (f) Condition that the company will not use Uplinking facilities such as DSNG/SNG/RTTS/VSAT, etc. without prior approval of this Ministry.
- (g) Condition that the company would generate/ develop its own content for News and Current Affairs, and would not source it from any third party without prior approval of this Ministry.
- (h) Condition that the company is required to submit the fees at the rate of **Rs. 2 Lakhs** per channel per annum 60 days before it becomes due for obtaining renewal of their uplink permission every year.
- (i) The company would be required to submit fee at the rate of **Rs. 5 Lakhs** per channel per annum, to be deposited 60 days before it becomes due, for obtaining renewal of their downlink permission every year by this Ministry.
- (j) The company shall seek fresh permission/ registration from the Government at least 6 months before the due date of completion of permission period of 10 years.
- (k) The company shall remain clear from security angle and shall comply with such conditions of MHA as may become applicable in this regard from time to time.
- (l) The Permission holder company shall inform the Ministry regarding changes in management control or ownership pattern of the company.

3. The company shall also adhere to the following conditions with regard to security related clearance:

- a) The Ministry shall be empowered to impose such restrictions as may be necessary as and when required.
- b) The Ministry shall have the power to revoke the permission on grounds of national security and public order.
- c) The Ministry shall have the power to prohibit transmission of programmes considered to be prejudicial to friendly relations with foreign governments, public order, security of state, communal harmony, etc.

- d) Permission holder shall provide access to facilities of all equipment and records/ system to the Ministry or its representative.
- e) Permission holder should make available detailed information about all equipment and its location.
- f) Ministry shall be legally competent to take over the stations on the occurrence of public emergency or in the interest of public safety/ order.
- g) Monitoring stations should be set up so as to facilitate prompt intervention for deterrent action against violations of technical parameters and provisions laid down in the legislation/ Guidelines and licensing agreements/ Permission conditions.
- h) The Ministry shall be empowered to modify the laid down conditions or incorporate any condition as and when necessary in the interest of national security.
- i) The Permission holder shall make available to the Ministry the detailed technical information about the equipment used/ to be used.
- j) The Ministry shall have the power to revoke the permission if the Permission holder company is found indulging in acts inimical to India's national security.

4. The company shall also follow the following basic conditions / obligations:

- a) The Permission holder company shall adhere to any other code/ Standards/ Guidelines/ restrictions prescribed by Ministry of Information & Broadcasting, Government of India for regulation of content on TV channels from time to time.
- b) The Permission holder company shall obtain prior approval of the Ministry of I & B before undertaking any up gradation, expansion or any other changes in the downlinking and distribution system/network configuration.
- c) The Permission holder company shall provide Satellite TV channel signal reception decoders only to MSOs/ Cable operators registered under the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act 1995 or to a DTH operator registered under the DTH guidelines issued by Government of India.
- d) The Permission holder company shall ensure that any of its channels, which is unregistered or prohibited from being telecast or transmitted or re-transmitted in India, under the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act 1995 or the DTH guidelines or any other law for the time being in force, cannot be received in India through encryption or any other means.
- e) The Union Government shall have the right to suspend the permission of the company/registration of channel for a specified period in public interest of in the interest of National security to prevent the misuse of the channel. The company shall immediately comply with any directives issued in this regard.
- f) The Permission holder company seeking permission to downlinking a channel shall operationalize the channels within one year from the date of the permission being granted by the Ministry of I & B, failing which the permission

1494


(19)

will liable to be withdrawn without any notice in this regards. However, the company shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity of being heard before such a withdrawal.

- g) The company/channel shall adhere to the norms, rules and regulations prescribed by any regulatory authority set up to regulate and monitor the Broadcast Services in the country.
- h) The Permission holder company shall keep a record of programmes downlinked for a period of 90 days and to produce the same before any agency of the Government as and when required.
- i) The Permission Holder Company shall furnish such information as may be required by the Ministry of I & B from time to time.
- j) The Permission holder company shall provide the necessary monitoring facility at its own cost for monitoring of programmes or content by the representative of the Ministry of I & B or any other Government agency as and when required.
- k) The Permission Holder Company shall comply with the obligations and conditions prescribed in the downlinking guidelines issued by the Ministry of I & B, and the specific downlinking permission agreement and registration of each channel.
- l) In the event of any war, calamity/national security concerns, the Government shall have the power to prohibit for a specified period the downlinking/reception/transmission and re-transmission of any or all channels. The company shall immediately comply with any such directions issued in this regards.
- m) The Permission Holder Company is required to provide information regarding criminal case(s), if any, registered against the company, owner, promoter, or any of its directors after the issue of permission.

5. **M/s Sudarshan TV Channels Ltd.** and their teleport operator **M/s. Planetcast Media Services Ltd.** is advised to approach WPC Wing, Ministry of Communications & IT for obtaining operational license/ frequency approval in this regard. This permission is valid only if the company/teleport holds a valid WPC license for the channel.

Yours faithfully,


(Vijay Kaushik)

Under Secretary to the Government of India
Tele: 23386199

Copy to:

- (i) Wireless Adviser, WPC Wing, Room No.501, Ministry of Communications, Sanchar Bhawan, New Delhi.
- ii) Director (Shri. T.K. Anuradha), SATCOM-PO Satellite Communication & Navigation Programme Office, ISRO Hqrs., Antariksh Bhawan, New BEL Road, Bangalore – 560231.
- iii) Secretary, TRAI, Mahanagar Doorsanchar Bhawan, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Old Minto Road), New Delhi-110002.
- iv) EMMC, 10th floor Sookna Bhawan, CGO Complex.
- v) DDG (Shri A.K. Kalia) (NOCC), Network operations Control Centre, Deptt. Of Telecom Room No. 214, 2nd Floor, Eastern Court Complex, Janpath, New Delhi-110001.
- vi) M/s Planetcast Media Services Ltd., C-34, Sector 62 Electronic City, Noida-201307


(Vijay Kaushik)

Under Secretary to the Government of India

Tele: 23386199



उत्तर प्रदेश UTTAR PRADESH

37AA 235940

FORM - 1A
AFFIDAVIT / UNDERTAKING

WHEREAS I, **Suresh Chavhanke** S/o **Shri Khanderao Chavhanke** in the capacity of Director have applied to the Ministry of Information & Broadcasting on behalf of **M/s. Sudarshan TV Channels Ltd** for approval / permission for down-linking of TV Channel "Sudarshan" in India.

WHEREAS as a condition precedent in above approval / permission, I am required to abide by the Programme & Advertising Codes prescribed under the Cable Television Network (Regulation) Act, 1995 and the rules passed thereunder.

WHEREAS I have read the above mentioned Programme & Advertising Codes.

WHEREAS I understand that the permission / approval so granted can be withdrawn, if I fail to abide by the said Programme & Advertising Codes.

Now, therefore, I hereby undertake as follows:-



1617

14/05/2019

(22)

38

DATE	14/05/2019
TIME	10:30
NAME	Def
ADDRESS	14/05/2019
PHONE	14/05/2019
EMAIL	14/05/2019
REMARKS	14/05/2019

Suresh Adhakar Chatterjee
87. Gopiveth
A/ Sec 57 Merck

- i) I undertake to abide by the Programme & Advertising Codes laid down by the Ministry of Information & Broadcasting, Government of India from time to time.
- ii) I undertake to furnish periodic information relating to public complaints, programme contents and any other information in respect of above-mentioned TV Channel as may be laid down / asked for by the Ministry of Information & Broadcasting from time to time.
- iii) I undertake to provide the necessary equipment and facility for continuous monitoring of the broadcaster service at my own cost and preserve the recordings of broadcasting materials for a period of 3 months from the date of broadcast and produce the same to Ministry of Information & Broadcasting or to its authorized representative as and when required.

SUDAKSHAN TV CHANNEL LTD.



Director

Place: Noida

Date: 13 May 2019

Name: Suresh Chavhanke

Designation: Director.

Seal of the Company: _____




ATTESTED



BALKRISHNA DIXIT
Advocate (Notary)
R. No. 7167

GAUTAM BUDDH NAGAR (U.P.)

14 MAY 2019



उत्तर प्रदेश UTTAR PRADESH

37AA 235939

FORM-1A
AFFIDAVIT / UNDERTAKING

WHEREAS I, SURESH CHAVHANKES/o Shri Khanderao Chavhanke in the capacity of DIRECTOR have applied to the Ministry of Information & Broadcasting on behalf of M/s. SUDARSHAN TV CHANNEL LTD for approval / permission for up-linking of TV Channel "SUDARSHAN" from India.

WHEREAS as a condition precedent to above approval / permission, I am required to abide by the Programme & Advertising Codes prescribed under the Cable Television Network (Regulation) Act, 1995 and the rules passed thereunder.

WHEREAS I have read the above mentioned Programme & Advertising Codes.

WHEREAS I understand that the permission / approval so granted can be withdrawn, if I fail to abide by the said Programme & Advertising Codes.

Now, therefore, I hereby undertake as follows:

- i) I undertake to abide by the Programme & Advertising Codes laid down by the Ministry of Information & Broadcasting, Government of India from time to time.
- ii) I undertake to furnish periodic information relating to public complaints, programme contents and any other information in respect of above mentioned TV



[Handwritten signature]

161

[illegible]

Suresh Khanna
Chauhanke St. Bopri
P/1 Sec 57 Meida

Channel as may be laid down / asked for by the Ministry of Information & Broadcasting from time to time.

- iii) I undertake to provide the necessary equipment and facility for continuous monitoring of the broadcaster service at my own cost and preserve the recordings of broadcasting materials for a period of 3 months from the date of broadcast and produce the same to Ministry of Information & Broadcasting or to its authorized representative as and when required.

SUDARSHAN TV CHANNEL LTD.



Director

Place: Noida

Date: 13 May 2019

Name: SURESH CHAVHANKE

Designation: CMD

Seal of the Company: _____



ATTESTED

BALKRISHNA DIXIT
Advocate (Notary)
R. No. 7167

GAUTAM BUDH NAGAR (U.P.)

14 MAY 2019

Annexure D

1. यूपीएससी में आरक्षण को लेकर मुस्लिम 35 साल तक की उम्र का लाभ ले सकते हैं। मुस्लिमों को 9 बार मौके का फायदा मिलता है दूसरे हिंदु के ये मौका 6 बार मिलता है क्या ये विरोधाभास नहीं है? (11th September, 2020, 20:37:58 – 20:43:16)

सुदर्शन का जवाब

पहली आपत्ति कार्यक्रम के किसी एक हिस्से से नहीं बल्कि अलग-अलग हिस्सों से ली गई है। एक घंटा 12 मिनट के कार्यक्रम में बीच-बीच में इसका कई बार संदर्भ के तौर पर उम्र और मौके का जिक्र किया गया है। यदि कार्यक्रम में दिए इसकी पूरी व्याख्या सुने तो चीजें अपने आप साफ हो जाएंगी।

2. जब मुस्लिमों को नौकरी हासिल करनी होती है तो वे सहूलियत के हिसाब से अल्पसंख्यक और ओबीसी बन जाते हैं और हिंदुओं से ज्यादा आरक्षण लेने की कोशिश करते हैं। (11th September, 2020,)

सुदर्शन का जवाब

यह आपत्ति भी कार्यक्रम को पूरा सुने बिना लिया गया है। कार्यक्रम में एंकर ने कहा है कि जब मुस्लिम में जाति होती ही नहीं है तो फिर उन्हें जाति आधारित ओबीसी आरक्षण का फायदा कैसे दिया जा रहा है ? मुस्लिम यदि ओबीसी आरक्षण का फायदा लेते हैं तो इससे हिंदु मतलब हिंदु ओबीसी को नुकसान होता है सीट उनके खाते से ही निकलती है।

3. मैं हजार से ज्यादा वीडियो दिखा सकता हूँ जिसमें आप स्पष्ट रूप से देख सकते हैं कि अगर आपको न्याय चाहिए तो अपने लोगों की मदद कैसे की जा रही। सिस्टम पर कब्जा करना होगा। पेपर जाँच करने वाले की पहचान छुपती है, लेकिन जब पेपर में डूँड का इस्तेमाल किया जाता है तो यह साफ हो जाता है कि पेपर देने वाला मुस्लिम है। इससे मुस्लिम अर्थिक खास लाभमिलने की संभावना बनी रहती है। (11th September, 2020, 20:44:22- 20:46:23)

सुदर्शन का जवाब

इस आपत्ति की पहली लाईन एंकर के कमेंट हैं जो उनके स्क्रीन पर आने से पहले दिखाई गई बाइट पर प्रतिक्रिया स्वरूप हैं। जिसमें बाइट पर कमेंट करते हुए कहा गया है कि मेरे पास सैकड़ों ऐसे वीडियो हैं। यह बोलने का मतलब यह है कि बाइट में दिखाए गए व्यक्ति की तरह बात करने वाले लोगों के और भी वीडियो हमारे पास हैं लेकिन हम उसे अभी नहीं दिखा रहे हैं। 'सिस्टम पर कब्जा करना है' वाला वाक्य एंकर ने नहीं बल्कि यह उस बाइट का हिस्सा है। जिसे हमने कार्यक्रम में दिखाया था। उर्दू में पेपर लिखने की बात से लिखने वाले की पहचान सामने आ जाती है इस बात पर हम कायम हैं। उर्दू में प्रश्न लिखने वाला यानि बनाने वाला, उत्तर देने वाला और चेक करने वाला एक ही समुदाय का है। आगे उर्दू में पेपर लिखने से पहचान सामने आने की जो आशंका व्यक्ति की गई है उस पर मैं आज भी कायम हूँ। कार्यक्रम में एंकर का ऐसा बोलना किसी प्रोग्राम कोड या कानून का उल्लंघन नहीं है।

4. उर्दू में यूपीएससी में सफलता की दर अन्य भाषाओं के विषयों की तुलना में कहीं ज्यादा है। उर्दू का पेपर देने वाले, चेक करने वालों के एक ही धर्म का होने की वजह से यह सफलता की दर बढ़ जाती है। आंकड़े साफ करते हैं कि मुस्लिम ओबीसी, गैर-मुस्लिम ओबीसी के हिस्से की नौकरियां ले रहे हैं। (11th September, 2020, 20:49:30-20:53:56)

सुदर्शन का जवाब

कार्यक्रम में उर्दू में सफलता दर नहीं, बल्कि उर्दू साहित्य में सफलता दर की बात की गई है। जिसके लिए यूपीएससी के 2011 से 2017 तक के रिजल्ट का ही विश्लेषण किया गया है। यह यूपीएससी की भाषा साहित्य के रिजल्ट का विश्लेषण मात्र है। इस विश्लेषण में यह दिखाया गया है कि कैसे 2011 से 2017 तक उर्दू भाषा साहित्य में सफलता की दर दूसरी भाषा साहित्य में सफलता की दर की तुलना ज्यादा है। इस आपत्ति में भी प्रोग्राम के दो अलग-अलग हिस्सों का ट्रांसक्रिप्शन किया गया है। आपकी सहूलियत के लिए दो अलग-अलग काउंटर के साथ वीडियो का ट्रांसक्रिप्शन भी दे रहा हूँ। इसे पढ़ कर आप सच्चाई को समझ सकते हैं। किसी भी परीक्षा परिणाम का विश्लेषण किसी प्रोग्राम कोड का उल्लंघन नहीं होता। यह मेरे जर्नलिस्टिक फ्रीडम का हिस्सा है जिसे कोर्ट ने भी बहाल किया है।

Unut Bindas Bolon #UPSC Jihad #नोकसही जिहाद पर सबसे बड़ा खलासा सरेशा चक्काणके लो के साथ

सुदर्शन NEWS

UPSC परीक्षा में भाषा साहित्य सफलता दर

वर्ष	उर्दू	संस्कृत	हिंदी
2011	40.9%	11.8%	13.1%
2012	22.2%	14.4%	11.7%
2013	17.9%	5.6%	4.2%
2014	19.4%	10.0%	5.4%
2017	19.2%	7.1%	7.1%

Play (0) 00:00

डाकूम तो और बेहतर होगा बॉलीवुड एक्ट्रेस कंगना रनौत का दम

Unut Bindas Bolon #UPSC Jihad #नोकसही जिहाद पर सबसे बड़ा खलासा सरेशा चक्काणके लो के साथ

सुदर्शन NEWS

मुस्लिम आबीसी कंस नान मुस्लिम आबीसी की सीट पर कर रहे हैं कब्जा (2017)

मुस्लिम	No.	%	नॉन मुस्लिम	No.	%
SC	03	5.88%	SC	162	17.3%
ST	02	3.92%	ST	72	7.7%
OBC	24	47.06%	OBC	251	26.7%
GEN	22	43.14%	GEN	454	48.3%
TOTAL	51			939	

Play (0) 00:00

क्रिश्चियानि हू प्रंट वॉर की तैयारी में जुट गया है, पाकिस्तान के सेना प्रमुख

5. भारत सरकार यूपीएससी में उर्दू को प्रतिबंधित करे। (11th September, 2020, 21:07:50 – 21:10:24)

सुदर्शन का जवाब

इस बात पर आज भी हम कायम हैं। यह आज भी मेरी मांग है। यह हमारी लोकतांत्रिक आजादी का हिस्सा है। यह किसी प्रोग्राम कोड का उल्लंघन नहीं है।

6. भारत सरकार UPSC में सुधार की तरफ आगे बढ़े और उर्दू माध्यम लेकर लिखने के छूट को बंद किया जाये। (11th September, 2020, 21:07:50 – 21:10:24)


सुदर्शन का जवाब

इस आपत्ति के पहले हिस्से पर मैं आज भी कायम हूँ। लेकिन इसमें भी मेरे वक्तव्य को अधूरा लिख कर आधा सच पेश किया गया है। आगे इसमें जो लिखा गया है वह भी अधूरा है कार्यक्रम में एंकर ने यह कहा है कि उर्दू माध्यम से पेपर लिखने की छूट बंद किया जाए क्योंकि इससे परीक्षार्थी की पहचान सामने आ जाती है। ऐसा कहना किसी प्रोग्राम कोड या कानून का उल्लंघन मुझे नहीं दिखता।


7. 40 उम्मीदवारों में से अकेले 27 उम्मीदवारों का चयन जकात के यहाँ से हुआ है। चैनल ने कहा कि बात केवल नोकरशाही पर कब्जे की नहीं है बल्कि हिंदू पर कब्जे की है। जकात फाउंडेशन की वेबसाइट से लिए गए अलग-अलग चित्रों के माध्यम से लिखी गए वाक्य 'You Can Sit In This Place' पर टिप्पणी करते हुए कहा कि You मतलब कौन? You मतलब भारतीय नहीं बल्कि जकात फाउंडेशन सीधे मुसलमानों का आह्वान कर रही है। ये भाईचारे की बातें करने वाले जरा मुझे उत्तर दें। (12th September, 2020, 20:02:00 – 20:03:00. Also see 20:03:22-20:05:54)

सुदर्शन का जवाब

यहां जो आपत्ति दर्ज की गई है वो एंकर की कही बातों का सही ट्रांसक्रिप्शन नहीं है। शब्द और वाक्य तो अलग हैं ही भाव को तो ट्रांसलेट किया ही नहीं गया है। जकात फाउंडेशन ऑफ इंडिया की वेबसाइट zakatindia.org की वेबसाइट से लिए गए चित्रों के माध्यम से हमने अपने दावों को साबित करने की कोशिश की है। आपत्ति में जो लाइन है वहां his की जगह this लिखा है। ओरिजन लाइन इस प्रकार है....you can sit in his place. आपत्ति की लाइन में you मतलब कौन ? के बाद तो प्रश्नवाचक चिन्ह लगाया गया है लेकिन बाद की लाइन को एकसाथ बिना किसी प्रश्नचिन्ह या विस्मयादीबोधक चिन्ह के लिख दिया गया है। पूरी बात का मतलब उसे पूरे भाव के साथ सुनने और देखने के बाद ही पता चलेगा। जिसका आपत्ति करनेवालों में घोर अभाव दिख रहा है। लाइन जकात की लिखी हुई है ना कि सुदर्शन की, हां उसकी व्याख्या एंकर ने जरूर की है लेकिन व्याख्या में किसी की भावना को ठेस पहुंचाने का उद्देश्य बिल्कुल नहीं है, उद्देश्य जकात के खतरनाक इरादों को बेनकाब करने का है। जो किसी एक चित्र या स्लाइड से पता नहीं चलेगा पूरे प्रोग्राम में दर्जनों स्लाइड हैं किसी एक स्लाइड की एक हिस्से को देख कर आपत्ति दर्ज कराना कहीं से भी ना तो उचित है और ना ही तर्कसंगत। यह 6 दृष्टिहीन व्यक्तियों द्वारा हाथी के अलग-अलग हिस्सों को महसूस कर सत्य बताने के जैसा है, जो अलग-अलग तो सत्य प्रतीत होता है लेकिन समग्रता में एकसाथ देखने पर असत्य। यहां सभी आपत्तियों में यही दोष दिखता है।



चित्र | य खुफिया संगठन के सदस्य थे और खुद को शिकारी बता रहे थे




चित्र | वैदिक चिकित्सा, संपर्क करें: डॉ अमित शर्मा खरोरा, जिला

8. देस चित्र को दिखाया जो कि राष्ट्रपति भवन का चित्र था और इस चित्र पर लिखा हुआ था For The Next 35 Years This Office Can Be Yours ये हिस्से को नहीं कहा जा रहा है, क्योंकि ये तो हिस्से ही ऑफिस है ना फिर कहा किसके लिए जा रहा है? ये सीधा-सीधा मुसलमानों को कहा जा रहा है कि ये ऑफिस आपका होगा। क्या ये हिस्से व्यवस्था को चुनौती नहीं है? (12th September, 2020)

सुदर्शन का जवाब

यह आपति एंकर की कही बात का सही ट्रांसक्रिप्शन नहीं है। आपति के लिए लिखे वाक्य में ना तो शब्द सही हैं और ना ही भाववाचक चिन्ह का ही उपयोग किया गया है। यहां एंकर ने अपनी तरफ से कोई जजमेंट पास नहीं किया है बल्कि सवाल पूछा है कि For the next 35 years this office can be yours में यह Yours किसके लिए लिखा गया है ? जानना चाहा है कि क्या यह हिंदुस्थान की व्यवस्था को चुनौती है ? लेकिन आपति करने वालों ने जानबूझ कर यहां भाव को

व्यक्त करने वाले चिन्हों का लोप कर दिया है और हिंदुस्थान की जगह हिंदू लिखा है। यह उनकी सांप्रदायिक सोच को बताता है। एंकर ने साफ कहा है कि जो सवाल पूछे गए हैं उसका जवाब आगे के एपिसोड में दिया जाएगा लेकिन आपति करने वालों को एक दिन का इंतजार भी करना गवारा नहीं शायद इसीलिए उन्होंने हमारे प्रश्न पर अपने मनमाफिक उत्तर चस्पा कर आपति दर्ज करायी है। यह गलत है और आधा नहीं बल्कि पूरा झूठ है। आपति करने वालों की यह लाइन कि 'क्या ये हिंदु की व्यवस्था को चुनौती नहीं है ?' पूरे एपिसोड में ही कही नहीं बोला गया है।



9. इनके द्वारा निकलने वाले स्टूडेंट्स आज आईएस और आईपीएस बन चुके हैं उनको तत्काल प्रभाव से मैंने सस्पेंड करने की बात क्यों की और नए लोगों को परीक्षा देने से रोकने के लिए क्यों कहा आज मैं आपके सामने सब कुछ रखूंगा। (12th September, 2020, 20:06:18 -20:06:35)

सुदर्शन का जवाब

इस आपत्ति को भी पूरा नहीं सुना गया है। आपत्ति की ही आखिरी लाइन में कहा गया है कि आज मैं आपके सामने पूरा तथ्य रखूंगा और फिर प्रोग्राम के अगले हिस्से में पूरे नेटवर्क को दिखाया गया है। ग्राफिक्स के जरिये यह साफ किया गया है कि जकात फाउंडेशन ऑफ इंडिया के डायरेक्ट या इनडायरेक्ट लिंक कैसे पाकिस्तान की ISI, ISIS और अलकायदा से जुड़ी हैं और कैसे यह राष्ट्रीय सुरक्षा के लिए खतरनाक हो सकता है। आपत्ति जताने वालों ने प्रोग्राम को पूरा देखे बिना ही अपनी आपत्ति दर्ज करा दी। यह आपत्ति बेबुनियाद है गलत है और अधूरी जानकारी के आधार पर तय की गई है।

10. जकात मुसलमानों को देश के सरकारी सिस्टम में फिट करना चाहता है। चैनल का कहना है कि जकात फाउंडेशन भारत के सरकारी तंत्रों पर कब्जा करने की भी प्लानिंग कर रहा है। चैनल ने जकात फाउंडेशन की कुछ स्लाइड्स दिखाते हुए कहा है कि जकात अपने लोगों को यानि कि मुसलमानों को देश के बड़े ओहदों पर काबिज करने का षडयंत्र कर रहा है। (13th September, 2020, 20:05:15-20:07:23)

सुदर्शन का जवाब

यहां भी वही दोष है कार्यक्रम यदि पूरा देखा गया होता तो जवाब देने की जरूरत नहीं पड़ती या हो सकता था कि सवाल ही नहीं पूछे जाते। आपत्ति में जो भी बातें कही गई हैं वो एक साथ कार्यक्रम में कहीं नहीं कही गई हैं यह कार्यक्रम के अलग-अलग हिस्सों से लिया गया है। फिर भी स्लाइड की जो बात कही गई है वो सच है। उसे हम भी मानते हैं लेकिन ये स्लाइड हमारी तैयार की गई नहीं है। ये खुद जकात फाउंडेशन की वेबसाइट से ली गई है जो शायद अभी भी उनकी साइट पर उपलब्ध हों। इन स्लाइड के विश्लेषण के जरिये बताने की कोशिश की गई है कि कैसे जकात सरकारी तंत्र में मुसलमानों की घुसपैठ कराने की मंशा रखता है। इसे दूसरे सबूतों के जरिये भी साबित किया गया है। जो पूरा कार्यक्रम देखने पर साफ हो जाता है।

11. इस देश में IAS, IPS बनाने वाले जकात फाउंडेशन के फाउंडर ने मनमोहन सिंह के OSD के तौर पर पीएमओ में काम किया था और इस व्यक्ति पर रासुका लगनी चाहिए और उसकी गिरफ्तारी होनी चाहिए। जकात फाउंडेशन हिस्सेदार कट्टरपंथियों का ब्रेन है और IAS, IPS बनाने की फैक्ट्री है। (13th September, 2020, 20:06:20 – 20:07:47)

सुदर्शन का जवाब

पूर्व प्रधानमंत्री मनमोहन सिंह के OSD रहे सैयद जफर महमूद ने कश्मीर के अवंतीपुरा में जो प्रेजेंटेशन दिया था उसके आधार पर और उनकी फाउंडेशन के प्रतिबंधित अंतर्राष्ट्रीय संस्थाओं के साथ जो लिंक के सबूत प्रोग्राम में पेश किए गए हैं उसके आधार इसे राष्ट्रीय सुरक्षा के लिए खतरनाक बताते हुए सैयद जफर महमूद पर रासूका लगाते हुए उसे तत्काल गिरफ्तार करने की मांग की है।

*यहां हिंदू शब्द का जिक्र समझ से बाहर है। पूरे कार्यक्रम में ऐसा वाक्यांश एंकर ने कहीं नहीं बोला है।

इस आपत्ति की लाइन भी शब्दसः सही नहीं हैं। प्रोग्राम में जकात फाउंडेशन ऑफ इंडिया और उसके फाउंडर पर जो भी आरोप लगाये गए हैं उस आधार पर उसकी जांच करने की मांग की गई है। जिस पर हम आज भी कायम हैं।

12. जकात की नजर अब देश की सत्ता और अनुसूचित जाति और जनजातियों के हक को हड़पने की दिशा में आगे बढ़ चुकी है। जकात देश के सभी सरकारी तंत्रों से लेकर बाबा साहेब भीम राव अंबेडकर जी के द्वारा दिए गए अनुसूचित जाति और जनजातियों की इन चुनावी सीटों पर भी कब्जा करना चाहता है। (11th September, 2020, 20:07:54 – 20:08:36)

सुदर्शन का जवाब

इस आपत्ति में भी ट्रांसक्रिप्शन की गलती है। एंकर ने जकात के हवाले से उनकी पीपीटी स्लाइड दिख कर कहा है कि वो Constituency की डेमोग्राफी बदल रहे हैं और अनुसूचित जाति के लिए आरक्षित सीट से आरक्षण हटा रहे हैं। ये दावा कोई सुदर्शन अपनी तरफ से नहीं कर रहा है बल्कि ये सारी बातें जकात खुद अपनी पीपीटी स्लाइड के जरिये साबित कर रहा है। यदि प्रोग्राम को पूरा देखा जाए तो साफ हो जाएगा। आगे की स्लाइड में जकात कहता है कि महाराष्ट्र राज्य चुनाव आयोग को नोटिस देकर उन्होंने 30 ऐसी Constituency को या तो मुस्लिम के लिए आरक्षित करवाया या नहीं तो उसे एससी के कोटे से हटवाया। इसी आधार पर हमारा निष्कर्ष है कि मुस्लिम अनुसूचित जाति के लिए आरक्षित सीटों पर कब्जा कर रहे हैं। जो कहीं से भी गलत नहीं है और ऐसा कहना किसी भी प्रोग्राम कोड का उल्लंघन भी नहीं है।

13. एक अन्य समर्थक का कहना है कि वह मेरा हिंदू समाज जो था जिनको ओबीसी कोटे के तहत आरक्षण मिल रहा था, उस ही में कटौती कर इनको मिल रहा है, यह हिंस्र के लिए अभिशाप है क्योंकि हिंदू समाज को जो आरक्षण मिल रहा था उसी में से काटकर इन कठ मुल्लाओं को दिया जा रहा है। (14th September, 2020, 20:40:23- 20:43:22)

सुदर्शन के एक दर्शक ने ये बातें कही हैं और उसकी बातें बिल्कुल सही हैं क्योंकि इस दर्शक ने हमारे सभी एपिसोड देखे हैं और वह हमारी इस बात से सहमत हैं कि मुसलमान ओबीसी, हिंदु ओबीसी की सीटों को हड़प रहे हैं। ये बातें हम अपने पिछले तीन एपिसोड में बता चुके हैं और इस चौथे एपिसोड में भी इसे जकात के पीपीटी के जरिये साबित किया गया है। इन सारे तथ्यों से अवगत होने के बाद इस तरह का बयान देना कहीं से भी अनुचित नहीं है।

True copy
[Signature]