



IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE SANJAY DWIVEDI

&

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ACHAL KUMAR PALIWAL

ON THE 31st OF JANUARY, 2025

REVIEW PETITION No. 179 of 2025

K.L. SHARMA COLLEGE OF NURSING SEHORE

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS

.....
Appearance:

Shri Aayush Sharma - Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Prashant Singh - Advocate General with Shri Bharat Singh - Additional Advocate General and Shri Abhijeet Awasthi - Deputy Advocate General for the respondent-State/MPNRC-MPMSU.

Shri Mohan Sausarkar - Advocate for the Indina Nursing Council.

Shri Sudhir Kumar Sharma - Advocate for the C.B.I.
.....

ORDER

Per. Justice Sanjay Dwivedi

This review petition has been filed seeking recall of order dated 23.01.2025 passed in W.P. No.1770 of 2025, whereby this Court disposed of the petition with the following direction:-

"Now in the instant petition, again the report of the committee dated 07.11.2024 has been assailed by the college of the petitioner. Once the petition of the petitioner-college has been rejected on the ground of delay, no fresh petition can be entertained, although that was for the session 2022-23. Now the petitioner-college is seeking recognition for the session 2024-25. However, once the recognition for the session 2022-23 is not there with the petitioner-college, it cannot be granted for the session 2024-25 as there is no continuity, although the petition is disposed of directing petitioner to make an application for fresh recognition for the session 2025-26 because for the session 2024-25, the respondents are not granting recognition to any new college and if the application is made before the respondents for granting recognition for the session 2025-26, their application shall be considered and treated to be a fresh application for the session 2025-26 and if respondents are satisfied with the requirement fulfilled by the petitioner for granting recognition, they may consider the application of the petitioner-college for the said purpose."



2. This review petition has been filed mainly seeking quashing of report dated 07.11.2024 passed by the Committee constituted by this Court. We are surprised as to how this review petition can be filed seeking quashing of report dated 07.11.2024 especially when the petition got already disposed of taking note of the report of Committee dated 07.11.2024. As informed by learned counsel for the respondents, the petitioner-college has already filed 5-6 petitions for the same issue. Thus, it is clear that the petitioner, without any foundation, is wasting Court's time by filing frivolous petitions and applications. Though, according to the petitioner, the issue involved in the case might have its own importance, but simultaneously the fact in respect of burden of cases over the Courts cannot be ignored and despite that frivolous applications are being filed time and again.

3. It is clear that this review petition has been filed without any foundation or ground of review. That apart, it can also be seen from the review petition itself that it has been drafted very carelessly and without any sensible approach, as such, it is **dismissed** with a cost of **Rs.50,000/-**, which shall be deposited by the petitioner-college with the Madhya Pradesh State Legal Services Authority within a period of 30 days from today and a receipt whereof be also submitted before the Registry of this Court, failing which, recovery of said amount be made from the petitioner-college as per the provisions of Madhya Pradesh Land Revenue Code, 1959.

(SANJAY DWIVEDI)
JUDGE

(ACHAL KUMAR PALIWAL)
JUDGE