

ITEM NO.10

Court 4 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition (Criminal) No.524/2021

SARDAR CHARANJEET SINGH CHANDERPAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

**(With appln.(s) for IA No.162207/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
and IA No.162812/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT and IA
No.162810/2021-INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)**

Date : 21-01-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE BELA M. TRIVEDI**

**For Petitioner(s) Mr. S. Charanjeet Chanderpai, Petitioner-in-prson
Mr. Anil Kumar, AOR**

**For Respondent(s) Mr. Sanjay Kapur, AOR
Ms. Megha Karnwal, Adv.
Mrs. Shubhra Kapur, Adv.
Mr. Lalit Rajput, Adv.**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

- 1 Invoking the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 32 of the Constitution, Mr Charanjeet Singh Chanderpai, a member of the Bar, who appears in-person, has sought the following reliefs:

- “(a) That the Ministry of Home along with the Ministry of Information Technology and Telecom regulatory authority of India along with the Respective State Police Authorities of different states may be directed to take action (as ministry of Home of Union as well as State deals with police, law and order which falls in the concurrent list if the Constitution of India) to take Preventive action on social media against Kangana Rangaut i.e Respondent No. 17 and none of her post on social media should be allowed without amendment, deletion, modification or censoring in-order to maintain law and order in the Country. Such other preventive measures as may be deemed fit may also be taken.
- (b) That, in terms of the recent comments made by Kangna Ranaut, Respondent no. 17 as regards the Farmer’s Laws vis a vis its irrelevant reference as a Khalistani Arm twisting tactic, referred to in Annexure P/ 1 , all complaints received and FIRs registered all over India, in line with judgment of this court in T. T. Anthony vs State of Kerala 2001 (6) SCC may be sent to the Khar Police Station at Mumbai and may be investigated in or with FIR 725 of 2021 with Khar Police Station which shall file the chargesheet in a period of 6 months and the Trial Court may complete the trial expeditiously within a period of 2 years.”

- 2 As regards the first of the above reliefs, the petitioner in-person states that he has already adopted proceedings for the purpose of availing of his remedies in accordance with law by instituting a private complaint. In view of the invocation of the remedy by the petitioner in-person, he requests this Court to dispose of the petition, insofar as prayer (a) is concerned without the expression of any opinion by this Court.
- 3 Mr Charanjeet Singh Chanderpal, the petitioner in-person states that prayer (b) is not pressed.
- 4 For the above reasons, we are not inclined to entertain the Petition under Article 32 of the Constitution any further and dispose of the Petition.

5 Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(CHETAN KUMAR)
A.R. - cum - P.S.

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
COURT MASTER