



1

WP-24732-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VISHAL MISHRA

ON THE 14th OF JULY, 2025WRIT PETITION No. 24732 of 2025*SHAMIM BANO**Versus**THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS*

.....
Appearance:

Shri Prashant Chourasia - Advocate for petitioner.

Shri Sumit Raghuwanshi - Govt. Advocate for respondents/State.

.....

ORDER

This petition is filed seeking the following reliefs :

(i) To issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondent No.5 to 9 to order and initiate departmental enquiry against all police officials & directing to respondents No.2, 3 & 4 to decide petitioner's application as per law in terms of Annexure-P/3.

(ii) To issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents No. 2 to 4 to register F.I.R. under Sections 133 and 199 of BNS against respondents No.5 to 9.

(iii) Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in favour of the petitioner which may kindly may also be given in the interest of justice.

2. It is pointed out that applications have been filed by the petitioner who happens to be wife of Zubair Maulana, a notorious criminal with several cases registered against him, before the respondents-authorities concerned in terms of Annexure P/4 which is pending consideration and have not been decided till date. An innocuous prayer is made to direct the respondent No.10-Chairman, M.P. Human Rights Commission to consider and decide the pending application expeditiously.



3. Counsel appearing for the State has no objection to the limited prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner.
4. In such circumstances, this petition is disposed of by directing the petitioner to file a certified copy of this order to the respondent No.10 who, in turn, is directed to consider and decide the pending application of the petitioner which is the part of Annexure P/4 at Page 27 of the writ petition, as expeditiously as possible.
5. Needless to mention that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.
6. Accordingly, the petition is **disposed of** finally in above terms.

(VISHAL MISHRA)
JUDGE