



1

WP-20853-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA,
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

&

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VINAY SARAF

ON THE 24th OF JUNE, 2025

WRIT PETITION No. 20853 of 2025

SHRANKHALA

Versus

BAR COUNCIL OF INDIA AND OTHERS

.....
Appearance:

Shri Vishnu Prasad - Advocate for the petitioner.
.....

ORDER

Per. Sanjeev Sachdeva, Acting Chief Justice

Petitioner by this petition, styled as a Public Interest Litigation, seeks a declaration that the result of the All India Bar Examination, 2025 be declared as arbitrary, unjust and discriminatory and a direction be issued to the Bar Council of India to refix the minimum passing marks in proportion to the valid number of questions and to reevaluate and republish the result.

Petitioner had taken the said examination and was declared as not qualified.

As per learned counsel for the petitioner, 7 questions out of 100 were deleted by the Bar Council of India on objections raised by the students and the result was declared based on 93 questions. Learned counsel submits that since the questions were reduced, the passing marks should also be reduced.



We note that as per notification, the passing percentage has been declared to be 45%. Out of 100, 7 questions were deleted by the Bar Council and accordingly the number of questions remaining were 93 and 45% of 93 is 41.85 marks.

As per learned counsel for petitioner, the marks are never indicated by the Bar Council and petitioner had made a calculation and as per her calculation, she had correctly answered 39 questions.

Even if we were to accept the argument of the petitioner, petitioner is alleged to have correctly answered only 39 questions; whereas 45% of 93 is 42 questions. Petitioner on her own showing, has not obtained 45% of the valid questions declared by the Bar Council. Furthermore, we note that petitioner has participated in the examination and has not qualified the same. Accordingly, a Public Interest Litigation at behest of petitioner would not be maintainable.

In that view of the above, we find no merit in the petition. The same is accordingly dismissed.

(SANJEEV SACHDEVA)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(VINAY SARAF)
JUDGE

TG /-