

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT GWALIOR
RP No. 1083 of 2025
(THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS Vs UTTAM SINGH)**

Dated : 17-11-2025

Shri G.K. Agrawal - Government Advocate for the petitioners/State.

As per the service report, the notice issued to respondent on 14.10.2025 has not been received back either served or unserved and as per the official website of postal department, no booking information was found.

This Court is observing that in many cases, "the consignment was not found" is being reflected on the website of postal department, whereas the consignment numbers are being issued.

Accordingly, Shri Suraj Baghel, Assistant Grade II was called to explain the anomaly.

It was submitted by Shri Suraj Baghel that on 04.11.2025, the notice was dispatched and as per the consignment tracking report taken on 14.11.2025 at 5:32:14 pm, no consignment was found to be booked.

Accordingly, Shri Arjun Bansal, Sub-Post Master, Branch High Court Gwalior was called to explain as to why the consignment tracking report is not showing the booking as well as the movement of consignment.

It was submitted by Shri Arjun Bansal that the consignment has not been dispatched so far.

It is really shocking that when the notice was given to the Post Office on 04.11.2025, still the consignment has not been dispatched. Whatever, the

personal difficulties of the Post Office may be, but they cannot keep the letters in their office for weeks together.

Office is directed that in future they shall submit a specific report that when the notice was handed over to the Post Office and if the details of the consignment is not reflected on the official website of the post office, then whether the notice has been actually dispatched by the Post office or not.

The Office is directed to take back the notice from the Post Office & issue fresh notice with new date of appearance.

List in **week commencing 15.12.2025**.

(G. S. AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Aman