



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8267/2025 & CM APPL. 36045/2025 (Dir.)**

ISHRAT JAHAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Fahad Khan, Adv.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

& ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Prabhsahay Kaur, Standing
Counsel with Ms. Harshita Rai,
Adv. for DDA.
Mr. Tushar Sannu, Adv. for
GNCTD with Mr. Sourav
Verma, Adv.

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER

04.06.2025

%

CM APPL. 36046/2025 (Ex.)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application shall stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 8267/2025 & CM APPL. 36044/2025 (Stay)

1. The present petition has been filed for the following prayers:

“In view of the foregoing facts and circumstances, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon’ble Court may be pleased to:

a) Issue a writ of certiorari, or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction, quashing the demolition notice dated 26.05.2025 issued by the office of the Deputy Director, LM South-East Zone, Delhi Development Authority (DDA) in respect of the Petitioner’s residential premises situated at I-12, Building No. 4 (Flat No. 4), 3rd Floor, Muradi Road, Batla House, Jamia Nagar, Delhi – 110025, situated in Khasra No. 283;



b) Pass such other or further writ(s), order(s), or direction(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

2. The Petitioner has also filed application bearing no. 36044/2025 seeking the following interim reliefs:

“In view of the foregoing, the Petitioner most respectfully prays that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to:

a) Stay the operation and implementation of the demolition notice dated 26.05.2025 issued by the Deputy Director, LM South-East Zone, Delhi Development Authority (DDA), and

b) Restrain the Respondents from taking any coercive or demolition action in respect of the Petitioner's residential premises situated at I-12, Building No. 4 (Flat No. 4), 3rd Floor, Muradi Road, Batla House, Jamia Nagar, Delhi – 110025, situated in Khasra No. 283; during the pendency of the accompanying writ petition;

c) Pass any other or further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 1, appearing on advance notice, fairly states that she would be filing an affidavit setting out the proposed action to be taken in respect of the various properties demarcated in the Batla House area, including the property of the Petitioner herein.

4. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 1 also fairly states that a demarcation report may be sought from the office of the Deputy Director in respect of the various properties against which demarcation action needs to be taken in terms of the Order dated 07.05.2025 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in CONMT. PET. (C) No. 335/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 4677/1985.

5. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 1 has undertaken to file an affidavit in respect of the action to be taken within a period of three



weeks from today.

6. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 2 also undertakes to file a demarcation report within a period of three weeks.

7. Objections, if any, to the same shall be filed within a period of one week thereafter.

8. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 1 fairly states that the matter is currently fixed for 30.07.2025, but that would not give sufficient time for them to take further action based on the Order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. She requests that the matter be preponed.

9. Accordingly, let the matter be listed on 10.07.2025 before the Roster Bench. The date of 30.07.2025 stands cancelled.

10. In the meanwhile, status quo shall be maintained by the parties.

**HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR
(VACATION JUDGE)**

JUNE 4, 2025/v/sj