



Tikender Singh Panwar versus State of Himachal Pradesh & ors.

CWPIL No.66 of 2025

[Item No.S-3]

30.07.2025

Present: Mr. Vishwa Bhushan & Ms. Anuja Mehta, Advocates, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gobind Korla, Additional Advocate General, for respondents No.1 to 3, 5 & 6-State.

Mr. Mukul Sood, Advocate, for respondent No.4-Municipal Corporation, Shimla.

Inter alia contends that on the Ridge in Shimla a water tank is situated which is more than 140 years old which was constructed having storage capacity of more than 10 lac gallons of water without using a ounce of cement and only lime and mortar was used. It is submitted that while permitting commercial activity in the form of vending and exhibition on the Ridge, a large number of heavy vehicles are being allowed access and there is a threat of cracks to the tank, which is not being kept in mind.

2. Notice. Mr. Gobind Korla, Additional Advocate General and Mr. Mukul Sood, Advocate, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, 5 &

6-State and respondent No.4, respectively, and pray for time to file response/status report.

3. Let needful be done within four weeks.

4. List on **03.09.2025**.

(G.S. Sandhawalia)
Chief Justice

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

July 30, 2025
(Shivender/Bhardwaj)