



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 16833/2024

RAHUL BAJAJ

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Taha Bin Tasneem, Adv. along
with Rahul Bajaj-Petitioner in person

versus

MYTHRI MOVIE MAKERS AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Deepank Singhal, Mr. Mohit
Bangwal, Advs. for R1.

Mr. Mukul Singh (CGSC), Mr.
Vedansh Anand (GP), Mr. Aryan
Dhaka, Adv. for R2 & R3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

21.01.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for respondent no.1 submits that an affidavit has been filed on behalf of the said respondent, however, the same is not on record. Let the same be brought on record before the next date of hearing.
2. The petitioner is at liberty to file a rejoinder thereto, within a period of four weeks.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent no.2 seeks some time to file an affidavit *inter alia* to place on record the concerned regulation which prescribes the accessibility features that are mandatorily required to be complied by the theatres.
4. The respondent no.3 is also directed to file an affidavit specifically setting out the steps being taken to ensure that accessibility features are duly published at the time of certification of films.



5. Let the respondent no.4 also file an affidavit setting out the regulatory framework for ensuring that the ticket booking platforms duly contain and disseminate information about accessibility features so as to ensure informed decision making by persons with disabilities.
6. Let an affidavit be also filed by respondent no.5 (which is a ticket booking platform) as to the accessibility features on its platform.
7. Let the aforesaid affidavits be filed within a period of four weeks.
8. The petitioner is at liberty to file a rejoinder thereto, before the next date of hearing.
9. List on 08.05.2025.

SACHIN DATTA, J

JANUARY 21, 2025/uk