



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT TAX No. - 4749 of 2025

Hindustan Aeronautics Limited Transport Aircraft
Division Chakeri

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Diptiman Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Abhinava Krishna Srivastava, C.S.C., Vaibhav Tripathi

Court No. - 3

**HON'BLE SHEKHAR B. SARAF, J.
HON'BLE PRAVEEN KUMAR GIRI, J.**

1. Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent has not been able to inform us as to who is the prescribed authority under Section 54 of the U.P. Water Supply and Sewerage Act, 1975 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act').
3. In our view, an appeal lies before that authority, however, the same is not being accepted by any of the authorities, who are stating that they are not the prescribed authority under the Act.
4. In light of the same, we are of the view that the balance of convenience and inconvenience is in favour of the petitioner and a *prima facie* case is made out in favour of the petitioner. Accordingly, the demand raised by the authorities is stayed for a limited period, i.e., till the next date of hearing.
5. List this matter as fresh on 14.10.2025.
6. The respondent authority is directed to inform this Court as to who is the prescribed authority under Section 54 of the Act.

(Praveen Kumar Giri,J.) (Shekhar B. Saraf,J.)

September 23, 2025/K.Tiwari